Chronic venous disease among nurses in operating room and outside operating room
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Abstract

Aim: Chronic venous disease (CVD) is very common in nurses. Noticeably, operating room (OR) nurses are predicted to have a major prevalence of varicose veins. We investigated whether the prevalence of CVD in OR nurses was more than non-OR nurses.

Methods: Study populations were OR nurses and non-OR nurses at the Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University. Information was compiled by questionnaire. Physical examination was operated by examiners for CVD based on clinical finding using Comprehensive Classification System for Chronic Venous Disorders classification.

Results: 222 nurses were included. The prevalence of C0-C2 was notably different between the two groups ($P < 0.001$). The prevalence of C1 in OR nurses and non-OR nurses was 59.6% and 72.1% while the prevalence of C2 in OR nurses and non-OR nurses was 8.1% and 16.4%, respectively. Nevertheless, the quality of life was not remarkably different between the two groups.

Conclusion: The results demonstrated that CVD in non-OR nurses appear to be higher than OR nurses.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic venous disease (CVD) is a condition that affects the veins of the leg. It includes various clinical signs from mild to severe forms such as telangiectasia, reticular vein, varicose vein, leg edema, hyperpigmented skin changes, dermal sclerosis, and venous ulcer. Telangiectasia and reticular veins are defined as the modest form of chronic venous disease. They are abnormalities of the vein inside or near the skin layer, which are swollen with blood accumulating to blue or purple lines, usually in the legs or feet. Varicose veins are larger than telangiectasia and are located below the skin layer and in subcutaneous fat. They are common, especially for women, elderly individuals, pregnant women, obese people, family history, and those who walk or stand for long periods of time, which gives fatigue, swelling, and other symptoms[1-5]. This disease usually does not cause serious complications such as death, but it can affect the quality of life.

This study focuses on nurses. Nurses typically have to walk or stand constantly, which results in an increased risk of developing CVD due to their working conditions[4-7]. There is often a debate in the nursing field that operating room nurses (OR nurses) walk and stand all day and are more likely to have varicose veins than other nurses (non-OR nurses). However, non-OR nurses have claimed that because they are constantly walking, they are more likely to have varicose veins. Thus far, there have been no studies to settle the debate. Therefore, our study will investigate the prevalence of chronic venous disease in OR nurses and non-OR nurses, as well as a comparative study between the two groups.

METHODS

Patients and data collection

A cross-sectional study was performed between May 2013 and June 2013. The study subjects were nurses including OR nurses and non-OR nurses at Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand. This study was approved by our local ethics committee, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University (SUR-13-1390-EX). All subjects provided written informed consent. This study was conducted per the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. Data was collected by a questionnaire that was divided into two sections. The first section of the questionnaire pertained to individual characteristics, risk factors, and history of CVD. The second section pertained to quality of life by using Chronic Venous Insufficiency Quality of Life Questionnaire-14 (CIVIQ-14) that was validated as a useful measurement in assessing CVD[8]. The physical examination was operated by the examiners for the varicose vein based on the clinical finding using CEAP classification. The CEAP classification includes clinical, etiologic, anatomic, and pathologic parts[9]. The clinical classification consists C0 to C5. C0 is no visible sign of CVD. C1 is telangiectasia or reticular veins. C2 is varicose veins. C3 is leg edema. C4 is hyperpigmented skin or dermal sclerosis. C5 is healed venous ulcer and C6 is active venous ulcer.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with STATA 14.0 (StataCorp LP, USA) for Windows. Descriptive statistics of continuous variables were represented using mean ± standard deviation (SD). Categorized variables were represented with percentages. Differences between the two groups were analyzed with T-test/ Mann Whitney U test or Chi-square. Statistical significance was set at \( P < 0.05 \). Sample size calculation for this study were based on the possible proportion of varicose veins from 30% to 35%[4]. Significance levels are 5% and power levels are 80%.

RESULTS

A total of 222 nurses were included in this study. Two hundred and nine (94.1%) nurses were female, and 99 (44.6%) were OR nurses. The baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. We found that the height of the OR nurses was greater than that of the non-OR nurses (155.61 ± 5.67 cm vs. 157.77 ± 6.05 cm; \( P = 0.013 \).
However, we found that the body mass index (BMI), weight, standing hours, sitting hours, exercise, and family history of varicose veins to be similar in both nurses.

Concerning the severity of clinical CEAP classification in nurses, the most frequent stages were C1 (66.5%), C0 (20.8%), and C2 (12.7%). The prevalence of C0-C2 was notably different between the two groups (P < 0.001) as shown in Figure 1. The prevalence of C1 in OR nurses and non-OR nurses was 59.6% and 72.1%, respectively, while the prevalence of C2 in OR nurses and non-OR nurses was 8.1% and 16.4%, respectively.

Nevertheless, the quality of life was not remarkably different (85.75 ± 11.91 vs. 85.60 ± 12.24; P = 0.962) between the two groups, as shown in Table 2.

### DISCUSSION

The important finding in this study is that the prevalence of C2 and C1 in non-OR nurses was significantly higher than OR nurses. Particularly, C2 in non-OR nurses was two times more frequent than in OR nurses. Telangiectasia and reticular veins are the most common findings of chronic venous disorder in the general population. A study of 5,187 people in Italy found that 64.8% had telangiectasia. Our study had similar results. C1 was the most common finding of clinical sign; the average prevalence was 66.5%. As we know, nursing is a career that involves standing for a long time at work. Prolonged standing is one of the risk factors of CVD. Some studies showed that prolonged standing was found to be a significant factor for varicose veins among nurses at Dhulikhel Hospital and a university hospital in Busan, South Korea. The standing position results in high venous pressure or venous hypertension in the veins of the leg. Particularly, persons who stand still in the same position for long periods of time are exposed to venous hypertension, which when combined to valvular incompetence or muscle pump dysfunction can aggravate this disease. Bahk et al. demonstrated that prolonged standing time was associated with varicose veins and nocturnal leg cramps. However, the standing time per day in our study is not different between the two groups of nurses. The mean standing time per day of OR nurses is 5.6 h and non-OR nurses is 6.14 h.

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics and comparison between non-OR nurses and OR nurses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total n = 222</th>
<th>Non-OR nurses n = 123</th>
<th>OR nurses n = 99</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13 (5.9)</td>
<td>4 (3.3)</td>
<td>9 (9.1)</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>209 (94.1)</td>
<td>119 (96.7)</td>
<td>90 (90.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 35</td>
<td>36 (16.5)</td>
<td>13 (10.8)</td>
<td>23 (23.5)</td>
<td>0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-40</td>
<td>37 (17.0)</td>
<td>22 (18.3)</td>
<td>15 (15.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>82 (37.6)</td>
<td>47 (39.2)</td>
<td>35 (35.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>63 (28.9)</td>
<td>38 (31.7)</td>
<td>25 (25.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>44.36 ± 9.57</td>
<td>45.80 ± 8.49</td>
<td>42.60 ± 10.51</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>56.36 ± 9.19</td>
<td>56.09 ± 8.01</td>
<td>56.69 ± 10.49</td>
<td>0.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>156.58 ± 5.92</td>
<td>155.61 ± 5.67</td>
<td>157.77 ± 6.05</td>
<td>0.013*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>22.99 ± 3.51</td>
<td>23.18 ± 3.27</td>
<td>22.76 ± 3.78</td>
<td>0.224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing (h/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>6.03 ± 2.58</td>
<td>6.14 ± 2.64</td>
<td>5.60 ± 2.52</td>
<td>0.459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting (h/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>4.45 ± 2.61</td>
<td>4.72 ± 2.72</td>
<td>4.11 ± 2.45</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>111 (50.9)</td>
<td>56 (47.1)</td>
<td>55 (55.6)</td>
<td>0.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>107 (49.1)</td>
<td>63 (52.9)</td>
<td>44 (44.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of varicose veins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>49 (22.1)</td>
<td>32 (26.0)</td>
<td>17 (17.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>173 (77.9)</td>
<td>91 (74.0)</td>
<td>82 (82.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N: number; BMI: body mass index; SD: standard deviation. *P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant
Other risk factors of chronic venous disease such as older age, female gender, obesity, and family history of varicose veins are not different between the groups. The height of the OR nurses is greater than non-OR nurses and have statistical significance, but perhaps the difference around two centimeters might not have any clinical relevance. In short, we found that non-OR nurses had more prevalence of CVD, although our study did not show risk factor differences. The reason behind this difference is not clear. Further cohort study is needed to continue for more specific and further study risk factors. This can help nurses improve both their physical and mental health.

There were limitations in this study. The OR nurse group has a greater frequency of younger nurses (double frequency in the below 35 group) than those in non-OR nurse group. Also, there were more males in OR nurse group than those in non-OR group. As is well known, the prevalence of CVD is age and gender dependent. These might be a bias of this study. There are a large number of risk factors that can determine the progression in CVD including weight, body mass index, number of pregnancy, career, and family history of chronic venous disease. Therefore, our findings need to be confirmed in a large population with adjusting confounders (multivariate analysis). Additionally, this study was a cross sectional study, so it cannot explain cause and effect.

CVD has a substantial effect on the quality of life, especially physical health aspects\(^{[12,13]}\). The mental health aspect is affected only in a severe stage (C3 or more)\(^{[14]}\). Our study shows non-OR nurses had more CVD (C1 and C2), but the quality of life was not remarkably different \((P = 0.962)\).
In conclusion, CVD in non-OR nurses seems to be more common than in OR nurses; however, the quality of life was not different between the two groups.
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