

Comments on “Loss of intranetwork and internetwork resting state functional connections with Alzheimer’s disease progression”

Jiu Chen

Department of Neurology, Affiliated ZhongDa Hospital, School of Medicine, Southeast University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210009, China.

Correspondence to: Dr. Jiu Chen, Department of Neurology, Affiliated ZhongDa Hospital, School of Medicine, Southeast University, No. 87 DingJiaQiao Road, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210009, China. E-mail: ericcest@aliyun.com

How to cite this article: Chen J. Comments on “Loss of intranetwork and internetwork resting state functional connections with Alzheimer’s disease progression”. *Neuroimmunol Neuroinflammation* 2016;3:247-8.

Article history: Received: 20-06-2016 Accepted: 27-06-2016 Published: 28-10-2016



Dr. Jiu Chen is a Ph.D. researcher with the background of neurology and clinical psychology. His research focus is to investigate the pathogenesis of subjects at high risk to Alzheimer’s disease by using the multi-modal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and event related potential (ERP) approaches.

Neuroimaging evidence of disconnection syndrome of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is extremely fascinating. In the study by Brier *et al.*,^[1] they examined resting-state functional-connectivity magnetic resonance imaging (rs-fcMRI) in 5 functionally defined brain networks: default mode network (DMN), executive control network (CON), salience network (SAL), dorsal attention network (DAN), and sensory-motor network (SMN). Within a large sample size of human participants of either sex ($n = 510$), they divided subjects into three subgroups according to different AD severities, i.e. unaffected (clinical dementia rating, CDR 0), very mild (CDR 0.5), and mild AD (CDR 1). The major findings of this study were as follows. First, they found a loss

of intra-network correlations in the DMN and other networks at CDR 0.5. Second, they found increases of intra-network correlations within the SAL between CDR 0 and CDR 0.5; however, they found reduced intra-network correlations within all networks at CDR 1. Third, they found that the three network pairs, DMN-DAN, DMN-SMN, and CON-SMN were preferentially affected at certain CDR stages. Finally, they found all inter-network correlations consistently reduced with advancing CDR stage.

Therefore, the authors concluded from their study that AD is associated with widespread loss of both intra- and inter-network correlations; these findings suggested



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Quick Response Code:



For reprints contact: service@oaepublish.com



that AD pathology may manifest focally in certain region of interest (ROI) pairs but not others. This is all good news for understanding AD pathophysiology and reinforces an integrative view of the brain's functional organization.

After reading the article with interest, I have some concerns about the study as follows:

First, in their study,^[1] the authors defined 36 spherical (6 mm radius) ROIs by maximizing the topographic concordance between mapping derived by seed-based correlation and by spatial independent component analysis (ICA). A problem of ICA derived networks is they separate brain areas into subnetworks that show higher interconnectivity with each other. In addition, this approach can lead to overlapping anatomical areas that complicate the interpretation of the brain areas.

Second, the authors emphasized the notion that composite scores can capture the critical phenomenology. They performed global signal regression during preprocessing to reduce non-neuronal physiological noise in the fMRI BOLD time series (Raichle *et al.*^[6]; Seeley *et al.*^[7]), which could have markedly enhanced negative correlations (Dosenbach *et al.*^[8]; Cole *et al.*^[9]). Subsequently, those negative correlations would have been treated as neuronal signals in the composite scores. However, when calculating the mean effects of composite scores an offsetting effect of positive and negative correlations can occur. Therefore, care must be taken to explain thoroughly the composite scores.

Third, the authors performed a one-way analysis of variance and *post hoc t*-tests. However, they did not control the effects of age, education, or sex on the resting-state intra- and inter-network functional connections, which may have affected the results.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflict of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Patient consent

No patient involved.

Ethics approval

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals.

REFERENCES

1. Brier MR, Thomas JB, Snyder AZ, Benzinger TL, Zhang D, Raichle ME, Holtzman DM, Morris JC, Ances BM. Loss of intranetwork and internetwork resting state functional connections with Alzheimer's disease progression. *J Neurosci* 2012;32:8890-9.
2. Chai XJ, Castanon AN, Ongur D, Whitfield-Gabrieli S. Anticorrelations in resting state networks without global signal regression. *Neuroimage* 2012;59:1420-8.
3. Deco G, Jirsa V, McIntosh AR, Sporns O, Kotter R. Key role of coupling, delay, and noise in resting brain fluctuations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009;106:10302-7.
4. Cole DM, Beckmann CF, Long CJ, Matthews PM, Durcan MJ, Beaver JD. Nicotine replacement in abstinent smokers improves cognitive withdrawal symptoms with modulation of resting brain network dynamics. *Neuroimage* 2010;52:590-9.
5. Zuo XN, Kelly C, Adelstein JS, Klein DF, Castellanos FX, Milham MP. Reliable intrinsic connectivity networks: test-retest evaluation using ICA and dual regression approach. *Neuroimage* 2010;49:2163-77.
6. Raichle ME, MacLeod AM, Snyder AZ, Powers WJ, Gusnard DA, Shulman GL. A default mode of brain function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001;98:676-82.
7. Seeley WW, Menon V, Schatzberg AF, Keller J, Glover GH, Kenna H, Reiss AL, Greicius MD. Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience processing and executive control. *J Neurosci* 2007;27:2349-56.
8. Dosenbach NU, Fair DA, Miezin FM, Cohen AL, Wenger KK, Dosenbach RA, Fox MD, Snyder AZ, Vincent JL, Raichle ME, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE. Distinct brain networks for adaptive and stable task control in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007;104:11073-8.
9. Cole MW, Pathak S, Schneider W. Identifying the brain's most globally connected regions. *Neuroimage* 2010;49:3132-48.