Steatosis/steatohepatitis: how sustainable is the non-invasive instrumental differential diagnosis in clinical practice?
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Abstract

Aim: Simple, rapid, and non-invasive methods for the early diagnosis of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) in patients with fatty liver are an unmet need in clinical practice. Transient elastography (TE), commonly used for measuring liver stiffness (LS), which is significantly influenced by both liver fibrosis and inflammation is a promising tool.

Methods: We studied retrospectively the impact of TE in a cohort of 98 consecutive asymptomatic patients with fatty liver who underwent a liver biopsy (21 non-alcoholic fatty liver (NAFL) and 77 NASH) and TE on the same day at the Hepatology Unit of University Hospital of Pisa. Patients positive for HBSAg, anti-HCV, HIV, autoantibodies, drug-induced liver disease, Wilson's disease, hemochromatosis, alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency, type 2 diabetes, or neoplasia were excluded.

Results: NAFL patients were younger (42.5 years vs. 47.7 years, P = 0.02) and with lower BMI (25.5 kg/m² vs. 28.8 kg/m², P < 0.001) than NASH patients. TE was higher in NASH than NAFL patients (8.1 kPa vs. 5.4 kPa, P = 0.01). Age, BMI, TE, and total/LDL cholesterol were statistically significantly different between NAFL and NASH patients, but with multivariate analysis only BMI (P = 0.009) and TE (P = 0.031) were independent predictors of NAFL/NASH.
with AUROCs of 0.771 and 0.754, respectively. A score combining TE and BMI (TE*BMI) showed the best AUROC (0.817, by De Long test, $P = 0.01$) to differentiate NAFL/NASH ($P = 0.005$).

**Conclusion:** Ultrasound based LS measure qualifies as a candidate tool for the early screening of NASH in fatty liver patients provided that its measure is properly standardized and tested in large prospective studies enrolling patients with different clinical and histological features.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), defined as evidence of hepatic steatosis by imaging or histology, and lack of secondary causes of hepatic fat accumulation, occurs in about one-third of the general population in Europe and Italy and is characterized by a multifaceted pathogenesis well reviewed and discussed in the other contributions of this monograph. NAFLD behaves as a major morbidity and mortality risk factor; however, progression of liver disease as well as its associated complications occur almost invariably in patients in whom the additional burden of intrahepatic inflammation acts as disease accelerator\(^{[1-4]}\). NAFLD can be classified histologically in a less progressive form, namely non-alcoholic fatty liver (NAFL), characterized by the presence of steatosis without major hepatocellular inflammation or injury, and in a progressive form, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) defined by the presence of steatosis and inflammation with hepatocyte ballooning regardless of fibrosis.

Recently, a new definition for NAFLD that takes into account the risk factors and clinical characteristics of subjects has been suggested; the proposed criteria for metabolic disfunction-associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD) are based on histological (biopsy), imaging, or blood biomarker evidence of fat accumulation in the liver (hepatic steatosis), in subjects with overweight/obesity or presence of type 2 diabetes mellitus. In lean or normal weight, non-diabetic individuals with steatosis, MAFLD is diagnosed when at least two metabolic abnormalities are present\(^{[5,6]}\).

However, this new definition of MAFLD has not yet substituted NAFLD, because it has not been validated in a consensus conference accepted by all the major Hepatology and Diabetes Scientific Societies, thus we maintain the old, accepted nomenclature throughout all the manuscript.

NASH has an estimated incidence of 2%-3% per year in primary fatty liver disease\(^{[1-4]}\). Large-scale epidemiological studies and meta-analyses associated NASH with a higher risk of dying from hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), even before the progression of liver disease to cirrhosis\(^{[4,7-13]}\). The association of HCC with NAFLD is alarming because of the high and increasing prevalence of fatty liver globally that could contribute to a rising HCC incidence in the near future. However, HCC develops only in patients with progressive steatohepatitis, thus the early non-invasive diagnosis of the presence of intrahepatic inflammation with/without fibrosis is a very important issue in clinical practice. The complexity of the mechanisms involved in transition from NAFL and NASH was extensively studied in recent years and a great deal of new knowledge on the physiopathology of fatty liver was achieved\(^{[14-18]}\). All the most recent guidelines call for a new systems medicine approach and new concepts for patient stratification to identify different clinically significant profiles within the general context of NAFLD. Therefore, the identification of simple, sensitive, non-invasive biomarkers of intrahepatic inflammation is necessary to assess the individual risk of progression from NAFL to NASH and accordingly the individual HCC risk. On this premise liver stiffness (LS) that results from the combination of intrahepatic fibrosis, inflammation and vascular congestion represents a promising biomarker to assess the NAFL progression to NASH\(^{[19-24]}\).
report here the results of a single center retrospective study to evaluate the role of LS measured by transient elastography (TE) for the differential diagnosis between NAFL and NASH in consecutive asymptomatic patients who underwent a liver biopsy.

**METHODS**

**Patients**
We studied 98 consecutive asymptomatic patients with NAFLD who underwent liver biopsy with a concomitant TE on the same day at the Hepatology Unit of University Hospital of Pisa between January 2006 and June 2020. Exclusion criteria were: infection with major hepatitis viruses (HBsAg or anti-HCV positive), positive HIV status, positive for autoantibodies (ANA, ASMA, and AMA), drug-induced liver disease, Wilson’s disease, hemochromatosis, alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency, type 2 diabetes, or neoplasia.

The database for our cohort included information on patient characteristics (age, sex, and BMI), laboratory results (AST, ALT, GGT, ALP, blood count, plasma glucose, insulin, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and triglycerides), and LS values at the time of enrollment.

NAFLD Fibrosis score and FIB-4 were calculated respectively according with the following formulas:

\[ \text{NAFLD Fibrosis score} = \left(1.675 + 0.037 \times \text{age (years)} + 0.094 \times \text{BMI (kg/m}^2) + 1.13 \times \text{IFG/diabetes (yes 1, no 0)} + 0.99 \times \frac{\text{AST/ALT ratio}}{0.013} \times \frac{\text{platelet (} \times 10^9/L)}{0.66} \times \frac{\text{albumin (g/dl)}}{ \text{ALT (U/L)}^\frac{1}{2} } \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \]

The study was performed in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki and an informed consent was obtained for the use of their clinic pathologic data for the scientific aims of this study.

**Measurement of liver stiffness**
LS was performed on the same day as liver biopsy after fasting for at least 8 h. LS was measured by FibroScan® (EchoSens, Paris, France). All measures were performed by expert physicians on the liver right lobes throughout intercostal spaces in patients lying on their back with right arm in maximal abduction. The ultrasound (US) guide was used to identify a target liver area, at least 6 cm thick without major vascular structures. The procedure was based on at least 10 validated measurements; the success rate (i.e., ratio between numbers of validated and total measurements) was > 60% with < 20% interquartile ranges. LS was recorded in kilopascals as the median value of all measurements.

**Liver histology and diagnosis of NAFL and NASH**
Liver biopsy were obtained using 16 G disposable needles (Hepafix; B. Braun, Melsungen, Germany). Liver specimens were fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin, and then stained with hematoxylin-eosin. NAFL was defined with evidence of hepatic steatosis (> 5%) without evidence of hepatocellular injury in the form of hepatocyte ballooning. NASH was defined as hepatic steatosis and inflammation with hepatocyte injury (e.g., ballooning), with or without any fibrosis. Steatosis (from 0 to 3), ballooning (from 0 to 2), lobular inflammation (from 0 to 3), fibrosis (from 0 to 4), and NAFLD activity score (NAS) were scored using the NASH Clinical Research Network System\[^{27,28}\]. NASH patients were divided into three groups based on NAFLD activity score (NAS): G1 = NAS 0-3, G2 = NAS 4-5, and G3 = NAS 6-8. All the specimens were greater than 1.5 cm in length and had at least 11 portal tracts.

**Laboratory results**
Serum biochemistry included aspartate amino-transferase (AST), alanine amino-transferase (ALT), AST/ALT ratio, gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase, alkaline phosphatase, blood count, plasma glucose, insulin, total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, and triglycerides. Tests were performed on fresh serum samples by routine procedures at the Central Laboratory of the Hospital (Roche, Cobas Analyser).
Statistical analysis

Measured values were expressed as mean with standard deviation or median with IQR for continuous variables and percentages/counts for categorical variables.

In the univariate analysis, comparisons of continuous variables between groups were determined using Student's t-test and Mann-Whitney U test as appropriate.

The variables identified in univariate analysis were then introduced in a multiple logistic regression analysis.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were computed for the most significant variables in the univariate analysis, and the respective areas under the curve (AUC) were compared using De Long test.

Contingency tables were constructed using cutoff values obtained minimizing Youden index of ROC curves. Based on results provided by the univariate analysis, the following multiparametric score was generated: Multi-Score = TE*BMI, and both univariate and ROC curves analysis were performed. The multiparametric score was used to predict NAFL/NASH category and a contingency table was generated.

Statistical analyses were carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics for Mac OsX version 26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA) and MedCalc Statistical Software version 16.4.3 (MedCalc Software bv, Ostend, Belgium; https://www.medcalc.org; 2016).

RESULTS

A total of 98 patients (21 NAFL and 77 NASH) with a mean age of 46.6 (SD = 11.2) and various stages of fibrosis were included (F0: 29, F1: 46, F2: 7, F3: 12, and F4: 4 patients). The population characteristics are reported in Table 1. NAFL subjects were younger (42.5 years vs. 47.7 years, P = 0.02) and their BMI...
was lower (25.5 kg/m² vs. 28.8 kg/m², \( P < 0.001 \)) with respect to NASH patients. Furthermore, liver stiffness measured by TE was higher in the NASH group compared to NAFL (8.1 kPa vs. 5.4 kPa, \( P = 0.01 \)). Distribution of TE values in NASH patients stratified for staging and grading groups are reported in Figure 1 and Table 2. Total and LDL cholesterol levels, age, BMI, and TE were statistically significantly different between NAFL and NASH patients, while in the stepwise multivariate logistic regression final model, only BMI (\( P = 0.009 \)) and TE (\( P = 0.031 \)) were statistically significant independent predictors of NAFL/NASH group. ROC curves were generated for both BMI and TE and are reported in Figure 2, and AUC, sensitivity, specificity, and cutoff values are reported in Table 3.

Univariate, multivariate, and ROC analyses were repeated excluding subjects with BMI > 30 kg/m². Again, age (\( P = 0.03 \)), BMI (\( P = 0.017 \)), and TE (\( P = 0.003 \)) values were statistically different between NAFL and NASH patients; however, only TE (\( P = 0.005 \)) was an independent predictor of patient’s group after multivariate logistic regression. AUC of ROC curves was 0.706 and 0.759 for BMI and TE, respectively.

Finally, the analysis was repeated selecting F0/F1 patients only. In this case, BMI (\( P = 0.004 \)) was statistically different in NAFL/NASH groups at univariate analysis while TE (\( P = 0.067 \)) only reached a borderline significance. After multivariate logistic regression analysis, only BMI (\( P = 0.009 \)) was an independent predictor of the patient’s group.

**Multiparametric score**

A multiparametric score simply combining TE and BMI was generated (Multi-Score = TE*BMI). This score was able to statistically differentiate between NAFL/NASH groups (\( P = 0.005 \)). The ROC curve of the multiparametric score is reported in Figure 3. Sensitivity and specificity of Multi-Score were 75.3% and 80%
when a cutoff of 154.56 kPa•kg/m$^2$ was considered, respectively. Furthermore, AUC of Multi-Score (0.817) was significantly higher when compared with AUC of TE (0.754) according to the De Long test ($P=0.01$).

The classification performance as contingency table for the optimal point on ROC curve of the Multi-Score provided 75.3% sensitivity and 80% specificity using the cut-off of 154.56 kPa•kg/m$^2$. Seventeen of 21 NAFL subjects were correctly classified, thus potentially limiting (80% reduction) the number of unnecessary biopsies, but conversely, 19 of 77 NASH subjects were erroneously classified as NAFL. Therefore, the ROC curve was analyzed to maximize the sensitivity: with the cutoff of 98.11 kPa•kg/m$^2$ only 1 out of 77 NASH patients was erroneously classified as NAFL, whereas 16 NAFL were identified as NASH [Table 4], thus leading to sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and diagnostic accuracy of 82.6%, 83.3%, 98.7%, 23.8%, and 82.7%, respectively. Finally, all patients with TE < 5 kPa and BMI < 29 kg/m$^2$ were selected, obtaining a subgroup of 15 patients. Table 5 reports the contingency table obtained using high-sensitivity cut-off of Multi-Score with these subjects. Accordingly, 4 of 6 unnecessary biopsies could be prevented while only 1 NASH patient was erroneously classified as NAFL.

### Table 3. AUC, sensitivity, specificity, and cutoff values of ROC curves generated for BMI and TE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test variable</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Cut-off</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AUC: Area under ROC curve; BMI: body mass index; TE: transient elastography.
DISCUSSION

Liver stiffness is mainly an expression of hepatic fibrosis, but it is also influenced by inflammation, vascular congestion, and cholestasis\textsuperscript{[19-24]}. Inflammation is the hallmark of NASH, and fibrosis develops as a consequence of long lasting intrahepatic inflammation that can be triggered by different pathways (e.g., fatty acid accumulation, bacterial endotoxin reaching the liver from the intestine, and damage-associated molecular patterns released from dying hepatocytes), activating the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines from Kupffer cells and macrophages.

Table 4. Classification performances reported as contingency table for high sensibility point on ROC curve of the Multi-Score (TE*BMI). Cut-off of 98.11 kPa\textcdot kg/m\textsuperscript{2}, sensitivity = 82.6%, specificity = 83.3%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NAFL (predicted)</th>
<th>NASH (predicted)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAFL (real)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASH (real)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NAFL: Non-alcoholic fatty liver; NASH: non-alcoholic steatohepatitis.

Table 5. Classification performances reported as contingency table for the high sensitivity point on ROC curve of the Multi-Score (TE*BMI) in a subgroup of patient with TE < 5 kPa & BMI < 29 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}. Cut-off of 98.11 kPa\textcdot kg/m\textsuperscript{2}. Sensitivity 88.89%, specificity 66.67%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NAFL (predicted)</th>
<th>NASH (predicted)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAFL (real)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASH (real)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NAFL:Non-alcoholic fatty liver; NASH: non-alcoholic steatohepatitis.
that stimulate hepatic stellate cells to produce collagen, causing liver fibrosis. In addition, stellate cells produce leukocytes chemo-attractants, which maintain a vicious cycle of inflammation leading to further fibrosis. Thus, in NASH patients the measure of liver stiffness appears useful not only for detecting advanced liver disease where inflammation is combined with fibrosis, but also to identify patients with inflammation who have not yet developed significant fibrosis.

There are two main methods for measuring liver stiffness: ultrasound-based and magnetic resonance-based elastography techniques. In clinical practice the ultrasound-based technique is easier, cheaper, and thus the most widely used worldwide; the velocity of the shear waves detected by ultrasound is converted into a liver stiffness measurement, expressed in kilopascals (kPa) or in meters/second (m/s). Vibration-controlled transient elastography (TE) is the most common, but other elastography modalities exist (pSWE, 2D-SWE) using acoustic radiation force impulse technology (ARFI) which are integrated in conventional ultrasound systems. In our study, we found that after a stepwise multivariate logistic regression, TE was the only statistically significant independent predictor of NAFL/NASH other than the well-known BMI. ROC analysis using a 5.75-kPa threshold of LS showed a 72.7% sensitivity and 75% specificity for discriminating NASH from NAFL (AUROC = 0.754). Similar data emerged also for BMI that, as expected, correlates with the risk of metabolic syndrome, including NASH.

Interestingly, after multivariate logistic regression, LS can still distinguish NASH from NAFL groups, even if considering only patients with BMI < 30 kg/m², suggesting that TE can be proposed as a valuable tool for prediction of NASH in lean/overweight NAFL patients. Prospective clinical studies are needed to test the TE diagnostic accuracy in this important clinical setting.

On the other hand, the ability of TE to differentiate the two studied groups failed when we considered only patients with low fibrosis stages (F0-F1). This finding stems from the well-established evidence that hepatic fibrosis prevails over inflammation in determining LS values. Moreover, we have to take into account the evidence provided by Petta et al. that in NAFLD patients with poor fibrosis, severe steatosis can overestimate the values of LS assessed by TE resulting in a "gray area" in which steatosis overlaps with inflammation. Thus, it is not unexpected that TE at low threshold could not discriminate hepatic intraparenchymal inflammation to distinguish NASH from NAFL.

Combining the two parameters, TE and BMI, in a simple Multi-Score (TE*BMI) results in AUC (0.817), significantly higher when compared with AUC of TE alone (0.754). Using the optimal point on ROC curve of the Multi-Score (sensitivity = 75.3%; specificity = 75.3%; cut-off = 154.56 kPa*kg/m²), 17 out of 21 NAFL subjects were correctly diagnosed, with an 80% reduction in the number of unnecessary biopsies; on the other hand, 19 out 77 NASH patients were incorrectly classified, resulting in 25% of misdiagnosis in subjects with progressive liver disease.

In order to improve the ability of the Multi-Score to identify patients with NASH, ROC curve was analyzed to maximize the sensitivity (sensitivity = 82.6%; specificity = 83.3%; cut-off = 98.11 kPa*kg/m²). In such a way the diagnosis of NASH was consistently ameliorated as only 1 out of 77 NASH was erroneously classified as NAFL, whereas only 5 out of 21 NAFL were correctly identified, with a 24% reduction of unnecessary biopsies. Interestingly this high sensitivity cut-off when applied in the subgroup of patients with low risk profile for NASH (15 patients with TE < 5 kPa and BMI < 29 kg/m²) allowed the correct classification of 4 out of 6 NAFL, missing only 1 diagnosis of NASH. Currently, a high accuracy for early diagnosis of NASH is an unmet need; in our cohort with a low prevalence of patients with significant liver fibrosis (F3-F4 = 16 out 98 patients), both NAFLD fibrosis score and FIB-4, the most widely used multiparametric scores to identify NASH patients in NAFLD subjects, failed to distinguish NAFL from NASH.
In a previous work Lee et al. [40] demonstrated in 183 subjects who underwent liver biopsy (NASH = 94; without NASH = 89) that ALT level, LS value, and controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) value were all significantly higher in patients with NASH than those without NASH ($P < 0.05$). The authors identified a CAP value greater than 250 dB/m (OR = 4.133, 95%CI: 1.037-16.470), an LS value greater than 7 kPa (OR = 3.399, 95%CI: 1.295-8.291), and an ALT level greater than 60 IU/L (OR = 7.557, 95%CI: 2.997-19.059) as independent predictors of NASH. Using these three independent predictors they proposed a score, “CLA” for the non-invasive characterization of patients with metabolic liver disease (AUC 0.812) [31]. The lower mean TE values and cut-offs, and the lack of a significant difference between ALT levels in our patients suggest that our cohort differs significantly because we excluded more severe patients. Unfortunately in our study we did not include the CAP measures because, due to the retrospective nature of the study, they were not available for patients biopsied before January 2017 (77 out 91 patients) [40].

However, in our cases with low and intermediate levels of steatosis the use of CAP would have been limited by its poor accuracy in detecting the different grades of steatosis e by discordant results depending by the type of probe used (M or XL) [41]. Certainly, the rapid, non-invasive, and accurate simultaneous measurement of liver fat (LF) and hepatic stiffness should bring enormous advantages in the knowledge and characterization of NAFLD patients [42-47]. Recently Di Lascio et al. [48] Combined five different parameters obtained after processing of standard US images and proposed a simple non-invasive quantitative multiparametric measure of LF, worthy of further validation in large-cohort clinical trials. So far, magnetic resonance imaging-derived proton density fat fraction remains the gold standard for the non-invasive measure of liver fat and MRI-elastography and multiparametric MRI technology provides a highly accurate fibrosis-inflammatory index for the non-invasive differential diagnosis between NAFLD and NASH. These methods demonstrated good specificity and sensitivity in detecting patients with steatohepatitis even in absence of fibrosis [49-54]. However, the poor availability and high costs of MRIs limit their use in the everyday clinical practice.

Acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) technology, as alternative ultrasound based elastography technique, showed a good correlation with liver biopsy on 32 patients with morbid obesity who underwent bariatric surgery [55]. ARFI results differed in patients with simple steatosis, inflammation, and fibrosis, and the cut-off proposed for differentiating NAFL from NASH or fibrosis was 0.899 (optimal cut-off value 1.3 m/s; sensitivity 85 %; specificity 83.3 %). Similarly, on 64 patients with histologically proven NAFLD, ARFI elastography proved suitable for distinguishing patients with NASH from those with NAFL, with an AUC of 0.867 (95%CI: 0.782-0.953). Additionally, in this study which considered patients with advanced liver disease as well, there was a highly significant correlation ($r = 0.843$) between ARFI elastography measurements and fibrosis ($P < 0.001$) as expected [56]. The advantages of ARFI are that it is widely available on the new ultrasound machines (i) and that is possible to select the part of the hepatic parenchyma to perform the measurement (ii); thus, a widespread use of elastography by ARFI is expected to occur in the near future in NAFLD patients. Currently, there is an unmet need for the standardization of LS measurement by ARFI using different instruments and stratifying patients based on the presence and absence of cirrhosis.

In conclusion, elastography techniques to measure LS appear promising useful tools to be used in clinical practice for the early screening of NAFLD patients. In particular, the analysis of the trend of TE values based on different degrees of histological grading and staging in NASH patients shows that LS increases in parallel for both parameters, but with a steeper trend in the presence of significant fibrosis [Figure 1, FS > 10 KPa, F3/F4]. These data confirm the rationale for using elastography to screen for the initial stages of liver disease in patients with fatty liver, exploiting the ability of TE to provide a reliable index combining both inflammation and fibrosis [23].
Both TE and ARFI technology showed similar performance in distinguishing NAFL from NASH, but the use of different measurement techniques along with the lack of standardized measurement procedures, and the availability of data from small cohorts with different clinical and demographic characteristics, do not currently allow the identification of a widely usable thresholds.

The simultaneous measurement of intrahepatic fat could represent a step-forward in the initial characterization of NAFLD patients. The new generation ultrasound systems in which the elastography is incorporated along with the development of a multiparametric measurement of liver fat using ultrasound could help the clinicians to categorize non-cirrhotic patients with NAFLD. Provided that reliable standardized cut-offs for non-invasive LF measurement are identified we could hypothesize four major “phenotypes”: (1) high LF and high LS (> 10 KpA) = very high probability of having NASH → a specialist visit is required; (2) high LF and low LS (5-10 KpA) = patient a risk of having NASH → further diagnostic investigations and/or clinical monitoring; (3) Low LF and high LS (> 10 KpA) = consider other etiologies of liver disease; and (4) low LF and low LS (≤ 5 KpA) = absence of progressive liver disease.
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