Endothelial dysfunction and coronary artery disease: new insights from reactive hyperemia test
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Abstract

Aim: The percentage variation of Resistance Index (ΔRI%) after reactive hyperemia test at the brachial artery is a marker of endothelial dysfunction that could be used as a surrogate indicator for the extent of coronary artery disease (CAD). We assessed flow-mediated dilatation (FMD) and ΔRI% in 39 consecutive patients undergoing coronary angiography.

Methods: Patients were classified in groups 0 to 3 according to the number of diseased vessels and the SYNTAX score was calculated. The hyperemia reactive test was performed 1 day before to 3 days after coronary angiography.

Results: Both FMD and ΔRI% decreased significantly from groups 0 to 3 (ANOVA P < 0.001 and P = 0.002, respectively). Reduced ΔRI% was linearly associated with SYNTAX score (β = 0.34, P = 0.036). At multivariable modeling, impaired ΔRI% was the only significant independent predictor of the presence of CAD (OR = 1.28, 95%CI: 1.06-1.54, P = 0.01).

Conclusion: This study shows that a reduced ΔRI% after reactive hyperemia test is observed in patients with CAD and that such reduction is related to the extent of the disease. Therefore, to evaluate FMD and ΔRI% at the brachial artery can reliably indicate the burden of CAD.
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INTRODUCTION
The role of cardiovascular risk factors in the development of structural and functional changes of endothelium, known as endothelial dysfunction (ED), is well recognized\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^5\). In turn, ED can be considered as the \textit{primum movens} in the atherosclerotic disease and, thus, a marker of adverse cardiovascular prognosis\(^4\). A growing interest is being observed in the assessment of endothelial function through several techniques: flow-mediated dilation (FMD) of the brachial artery in response to post-ischemic reactive hyperemia, assessed with ultrasounds, is the most widely used because of its availability and non-invasiveness\(^6\),\(^7\). The correlation between impaired FMD and coronary artery endothelial function was already demonstrated\(^8\),\(^9\). Moreover, in a previous study we showed the relationship between the extent of the ED, expressed by FMD, and both presence and severity of coronary artery disease (CAD), evaluated qualitatively and by means of the SYNTAX score\(^10\).

Peripheral ED in the macro-circulation, as assessed by FMD, was demonstrated to predict major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) in patients at risk for CAD\(^11\),\(^12\). However, these findings were not confirmed in other prevention trials, in which markers of endothelial dysfunction in the micro-circulation (hyperemic velocity\(^13\) and invasive forearm technique with acetylcholine\(^14\)) were stronger predictors of increased MACE risk.

Due to the known operator-dependency of FMD, in the present study we proposed to investigate the use of an ancillary ultrasound-based hemodynamic parameter, namely the resistance index (RI). The RI is a non-invasive hemodynamic parameter influenced by arterial compliance, peripheral resistance and microcirculation state. The reactive hyperemia test leads to a transient reduction in vascular resistances and increase in flow velocity\(^6\). Thus, calculation of \(\triangle\text{RI}\)\% during reactive hyperemia test, differently from FMD, might be considered as a marker of both macrovascular and microvascular ED. Hyperemic velocity is, indeed, a measure of microvascular function related not only to endothelium-dependent nitric oxide release but also to other factors including adenosine, K+ATP channels, hyperpolarizing factors, and prostaglandins\(^13\).

Although it is currently employed in the assessment of renal circulation\(^15\),\(^16\), the RI is a measure of peripheral vascular resistance which can be easily obtained in other peripheral arteries, such as the brachial artery, and is influenced by wall compliance and endothelial-dependent vasodilation.

Particularly, we aimed to evaluate the percentage variation of RI (\(\triangle\text{RI}\)\%) after reactive hyperemia test at the brachial artery and explore its association with the presence of CAD in a population of patients undergoing coronary angiography.

METHODS
Study population
Thirty-nine subjects, admitted to our Department over 3 months and undergoing coronary angiography because of either chronic coronary syndrome or valvular heart disease or cardiomyopathy, were consecutively enrolled. The protocol was compliant with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained by patients according to Institutional Review Board request.
Medical history was obtained for all participants and cardiovascular risk factors were considered: family history (first-degree relatives with cardiovascular disease); smoking (or previous smoking within the last 5 years); diabetes mellitus (fasting serum glucose levels > 126 mg/dL or therapy with oral hypoglycemic agents or insulin); hyperlipidemia (total serum cholesterol > 220 mg/dL and/or serum triglycerides > 200 mg/dL or treatment with anti-dyslipidemia drugs); hypertension (systolic blood pressure > 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure > 90 mmHg on two consecutive seated measurements or treatment with antihypertensive medication). An arbitrary score, ranging 0-5 points, was built assuming one point for each risk factor.

Exclusion criteria were severe obesity (BMI > 40 kg/m²) because of the potential cuff/arm mismatch; severe chronic kidney disease (eGFR < 60 mL/min per 1.73 m² as assessed by the MDRD formula); ongoing treatment with vasoactive drugs, such as nitrates, if they could not be withheld for at least 4 half-lives before the test; known or newly diagnosed arterial atherosclerotic disease of the upper limbs.

**Coronary angiography**

Coronary angiography was performed using a standard Judkins technique. Two expert interventional cardiologists, who were blinded to the clinical data, interpreted all angiograms independently.

The SYNTAX score, an angiographic tool grading the complexity of CAD taking into account the number, position, and anatomical characteristics of coronary lesions\[13,14\], was calculated for each patient. A dichotomic variable (SYNTAX score ≥ 0, namely the absence or presence of CAD) was derived to stratify the role of \(\Delta\text{RI}\%\) according to the presence of CAD.

Patients were further classified in groups 0 to 3 according to the number of diseased vessels (any stenosis > 70% and > 50% on the left main stem by visual inspection was considered significant).

**Post-ischemic reactive hyperemia test**

The post-ischemic reactive hyperemia test at brachial artery was performed in a quiet, temperature-controlled (20 °C) room 1 day before to 3 days after coronary angiography, early in the morning, in order to minimize physiological fluctuations in endothelial function. We used the contralateral arm than the one used for vascular access. Subjects fasted for at least 8 h before the study. An Ultrasound system (Philips ATL HDI 5000) equipped with multiple-frequency (L12-5) vascular transducer and ECG gating was used.

The brachial artery was imaged above the antecubital fossa in the longitudinal plane. A sphygmomanometer was first placed on the upper arm and a baseline rest RI was calculated by pulsed wave (PW) Doppler according to the subsequent formula for high resistive flow:

\[
\text{Basal RI} = \frac{\text{peak systolic velocity} - \text{backflow diastolic velocity}}{\text{peak systolic velocity}}.
\]

Thereafter, the cuff was inflated to 50 mmHg above systolic pressure to occlude arterial inflow for 3 min. Cuff was deflated subsequently, causing a reactive hyperemia, and RI was measured during 10 s after cuff release, according to the subsequent formula for low resistive flow:

\[
\text{Hyperemic RI} = \frac{\text{peak systolic velocity} - \text{end-diastolic velocity}}{\text{peak systolic velocity}}.
\]

The percentage variation of RI (\(\Delta\text{RI}\%\)) was recorded, according to the formula: \(\Delta\text{RI}\% = (\text{basal RI} - \text{hyperemic RI})/\text{basal RI}\).
Flow-mediated dilatation was studied as previously described\textsuperscript{10}. In addition, carotid doppler ultrasonography\textsuperscript{15} was performed in order to evaluate carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT).

**Statistical analysis**
Continuous variables are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) and compared with t-test and one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Scheff\'e test, as appropriate; categorical variables are expressed as absolute counts and/or percentages and compared with \(\chi^2\) test.

The relations between \(\Delta RI\%\) and continuous or categorical variables were explored with linear and logistic regression analysis, respectively. Multivariable modeling was used to evaluate \(\Delta RI\%\) as an independent predictor of the actual presence of CAD in addition to traditional risk indicators (age, body mass index, coronary risk factors, CIMT); Odds Ratios (OR) with 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) are presented.

Receiver-Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to establish the cutoff values of \(\Delta RI\%\) in patients with CAD, with the AUC as a measurement of accuracy. Sensitivity and specificity were calculated, and the cutoff was identified according to the Youden index \[(\text{ sensitivity} + \text{ specificity}) - 1\].

A \(P < 0.05\) was always requested for statistical significance. Statistical calculations were performed with R (R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), version 3.3.3.

**RESULTS**
Over the study period, 39 patients (mean age 63 \pm 11 years, 28 males) were enrolled. Among them, 27 patients (69\%) had an established CAD (Syntax Score > 0) and underwent subsequent myocardial revascularization either by PCI or CABG, while in 12 patients (31\%) diagnostic coronary angiography did not reveal any disease (Syntax Score = 0). Of them 2 had a dilated cardiomyopathy, 4 were valvular heart disease patients scheduled for cardiac surgery, and 6 had undergone coronary angiography because of atypical chest pain and inconclusive noninvasive studies. Clinical and ultrasound variables are shown in Table 1.

Both FMD and \(\Delta RI\%\) decreased significantly from group 0 to group 3 (one-way ANOVA \(P < 0.001\) and \(P = 0.002\), respectively, \textbf{Table 1} and \textbf{Figure 1}). In group 0 \(\Delta RI\%\) was \(-46.3\% \pm 4.3\%\). In groups 1, 2 and 3, respectively, \(\Delta RI\%\) was \(-30.3\% \pm 16.3\%\), \(-38.3\% \pm 9.4\%\) and \(-29.9\% \pm 10.1\%\) (\(P < 0.05\) in group 1 and 3 vs. group 0). The \(\Delta RI\%\) values were all negative because the test results in a decrease of the resistance downstream the brachial artery. In other words, the more negative \(\Delta RI\%\), the higher the vasodilation.

There was a significant linear relation between Syntax Score and \(\Delta RI\%\) (\(R^2 = 0.113, P = 0.036, \textbf{Figure 2}\)). At multivariable logistic regression analysis, a reduction in the absolute value of \(\Delta RI\%\) was the only significant independent predictor of the presence (Syntax score > 0) of CAD (OR = 1.28, 95\%CI: 1.06-1.54, \(P = 0.01\)) and, with ROC analysis [\textbf{Figure 3}], a \(\Delta RI\% > -43\%\) had 85\% sensitivity and 92\% specificity (AUC = 0.85, \(P < 0.001\)) to identify the presence of CAD.

**DISCUSSION**
In a previous study, we had demonstrated the significant correlation between ED assessed by FMD and the presence and severity of CAD\textsuperscript{10}. In the present study, carried out in a subgroup of the same population, we added the measurement of the RI and its percentage variation (\(\Delta RI\%\)) to the measurement of FMD at the brachial artery during reactive hyperemia test. In this study, \(\Delta RI\%\) was significantly reduced in patients
Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the patient population divided by CAD status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>No CAD (n = 12)</th>
<th>1-vessel CAD (n = 9)</th>
<th>2-vessel CAD (n = 9)</th>
<th>3-vessel CAD (n = 9)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>59 ± 14</td>
<td>67 ± 10</td>
<td>59 ± 8</td>
<td>69 ± 10</td>
<td>0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>0.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>0.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>0.845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors score</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>26.4 ± 2.5</td>
<td>24.7 ± 2.4</td>
<td>24.6 ± 3.7</td>
<td>25.8 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMD</td>
<td>16.4 ± 4.4</td>
<td>7.95 ± 6.05*</td>
<td>5.99 ± 5.78*</td>
<td>0.51 ± 2.98*</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syntax score</td>
<td>10 ± 6</td>
<td>16 ± 8</td>
<td>27 ± 5</td>
<td>27 ± 5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIMT</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.20</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta RI%</td>
<td>-46.3 ± 4.3</td>
<td>-30.3 ± 16.3*</td>
<td>-38.3 ± 9.4</td>
<td>-29.9 ± 10.1*</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P values are from chi-squared test or from one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Scheffé test. *P < 0.05 vs. No CAD. **P < 0.05 vs. 1-Vessel CAD. BMI: Body mass index; CAD: coronary artery disease; CIMT: carotid intima media thickness; FMD: flow-mediated dilatation; RI: resistance index. Data are expressed as percentages (%) or mean ± SD.

Figure 1. △RI% and Syntax Score according to CAD status. △RI% decreases according to worsening CAD disease status (ANOVA P < 0.001). CAD: Coronary artery disease.

with CAD compared to controls, confirming the role of ED as a marker of atherosclerotic disease. Our data showed also a significant association between △RI% and severity of CAD, assessed as the number of diseased vessels. It, in fact, significantly decreased from group 0 to group 3 and △RI% was the only independent predictor of presence of CAD in a multivariable model assessing traditional cardiovascular risk factors. These findings support the role of △RI% as an index of global ED burden, which involves macrovascular and microvascular properties, confirming that impaired systemic endothelial vascular reactivity represents an independent predictor for increased coronary risk[17,18].
Figure 2. Relation between $\Delta$RI% and Syntax Score. A linear relation exists between $\Delta$RI% and CAD evaluated by Syntax Score ($P = 0.036$). CAD: Coronary artery disease.

Figure 3. ROC curve for $\Delta$RI% as predictor of CAD status. AUC is 0.853. $\Delta$RI% > -43% was the cutoff value according to the Youden index to identify the presence of CAD. ROC: Receiver-operating characteristic; CAD: coronary artery disease.

The role of FMD as the most widely employed non-invasive technique to study endothelial function and its
correlation with CAD were extensively demonstrated\cite{7,19,20}. However, FMD has some limitations related to its operator-depenendency, lack of standardization and need for extensive training of operators\cite{6,7,21}. Moreover, while FMD assesses the function of conduit arteries, velocity changes secondary to reactive hyperemia depend on maximal forearm resistances and are an important parameter of endothelial-dependent vasodilation. The RI is usually employed in renal arteries and the role of RI variations at the brachial artery during reactive hyperemia had not been investigated so far. However, the RI is a velocity-derived parameter, easy to obtain from PW Doppler, and evidence for velocity changes after reactive hyperemia has already been provided\cite{22-24}. Shear stress and velocity changes induced by reactive hyperemia, in fact, showed stronger correlation with cardiovascular risk factor than FMD and predicted cardiovascular outcome\cite{22-24}. For these reasons, we proposed to test the value of $\Delta$RI\% as a predictor of presence and severity of CAD in our population. Moreover, the RI and its percentage variation during reactive hyperemia have the advantage of being less operator-dependent than FMD, due to the easier and more reproducible measurement of velocity compared to edge detection of arterial wall, which requires high-resolution sonography equipment. Being a parameter influenced also by microvascular dysfunction, it might be impaired earlier than FMD, potentially providing an earlier tool for cardiovascular risk stratification. Several studies, in fact, showed that FMD has prognostic value in some but not in all populations\cite{25-27}. Thus, $\Delta$RI\% could be employed to further stratify patients at risk of CAD. We found that $\Delta$RI\% $>$ -43\% was associated with the presence of CAD, with good sensitivity and specificity, in a real-world population of patients with risk factors undergoing coronary angiography. These findings need further investigations and confirmation in larger population and should be also supported by prognostic data. We speculate that $\Delta$RI\% could play a role as a non-invasive tool for risk stratification not only in patients with CAD but also in the wide group of patients with Ischemia and No Obstructive Coronary Artery disease related to microvascular dysfunction\cite{28}. However, its application in this subset of patients was out of the scope of our study.

This study should be viewed in the light of some limitations. We enrolled a small cohort of patients undergoing coronary angiography based on a clinical indication in a single-center, real world setting. Therefore, our observations should not be generalized to the general population. We cannot rule out that our patients in group 0 with valvular or dilated cardiomyopathy may have a different vasodilation profile than subjects free from any cardiovascular disease. The possible confounding effects of cardiovascular medications, of their interaction with risk factors and of timing of FMD study with respect to coronary angiography also need to be acknowledged.

The diagnostic value of RI during hyperemia test at brachial artery and its association with CAD had never been investigated before the present study. However, previous studies on similar velocity-derived parameters during reactive hyperemia showed promising results\cite{22-24}. On note, we did not compare RI with any invasive technique for the evaluation of arterial resistance or coronary microvascular dysfunction. Additional research in asymptomatic individuals with low-to-intermediate risk of CAD is needed to quantify the cost effectiveness and impact of imaging for subclinical atherosclerosis on cardiovascular risk factor management and patient outcomes\cite{29}.

Finally, we used the Syntax score, among others, to quantify the extent of CAD. The Syntax score was developed to express the procedural complexity of coronary revascularization\cite{13}, rather than that of CAD burden, and its value to the purpose of this study may be questioned. Nonetheless, it has been demonstrated\cite{30} that commonly used angiographic scoring systems are strongly correlated both each other and with IVUS-derived measures of atherosclerotic plaque burden, even in the absence of significant luminal stenosis. Therefore, it is likely that any angiographic scoring system may be used to provide meaningful assessment of CAD burden for use in both clinical practice and research.
To conclude, this study showed that $\triangle RI\%$ during post-ischemic reactive hyperemia is reduced in patients with CAD and is somehow related with the severity of disease. We suggest the assessment of $\triangle RI\%$ could complement that of FMD, with the advantage of being less operator-dependent, for a non-invasive estimation of atherosclerotic disease burden in coronary arteries. These data need to be confirmed in larger studies and further investigations are warranted to validate the role of $\triangle RI\%$ as a reliable and early tool for cardiovascular stratification.
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