Factors on nodal up-staging in clinical N0 adenocarcinoma patients who had minimally invasive anatomic lung resections
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Abstract

Aim: The incidence of adenocarcinoma among lung cancer patients has increased in recent years. We identified the factors affecting lymph node status in patients with primary lung adenocarcinoma who underwent minimally-invasive anatomic resection.

Methods: We retrospectively analyzed the medical records of primary lung adenocarcinoma patients who underwent minimally-invasive anatomic lung resections and mediastinal lymph node dissection between January 2012 and December 2017. We evaluated lymph node positivity and nodal status in each T and histologic subgroup, tumoral prognostic characteristics, minimally-invasive surgical methods and resection type.

Results: Of 473 patients who underwent anatomic resection for lung cancer between January 2012 and December 2017, 274 underwent minimally-invasive anatomic lung resections for primary lung cancer, 158 adenocarcinoma patients were analyzed in this study. Nodal status and number of positive lymph nodes were similar in the stages T1, T2, T3. Lymphovascular invasion (n : 78) and micropapillary predominance tended to be significant predisposing factors for lymph node metastasis. Mean dissected lymph node number was significantly higher in patients who underwent Robot-assisted thoracoscopic surgery compared to Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (P < 0.05), and in those who underwent lobectomy compared to segmentectomy (P < 0.05).
Conclusion: We were unable to demonstrate a relationship between T stage and N status. Factors contributing to unexpected N positivity were tumor characteristics that could not be identified in the preoperative period. We recommend performing systematic mediastinal lymph node dissection regardless of the size and histopathologic type of adenocarcinoma. In our study, robotic surgery and lobectomy operation showed superiority in dissecting more lymph nodes.
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INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is one of the leading causes of death worldwide. Anatomic lung resections with systematic lymph node dissection have become the recommended treatment for early stage nonsmall lung cancer (NSCLC)\(^1\). Many investigators and clinicians recommend systematic nodal dissection to all lung cancer patients except those with clinical stage I disease\(^2\). The Guidelines of the European Society for Medical Oncology (2014) recommend that preoperative invasive mediastinal staging (fine-needle aspiration with endobronchial ultrasonography/endoscopic ultrasonography guidance, or mediastinoscopy) should be used only if positive hilar nodes (stage N1 or N2) are suspected or tumor is located centrally on chest computed tomography (CT) or positron emission tomography (PET)/CT scan\(^3\). However, clinically diagnosed cNo disease preoperatively may sometimes be upstaged to N1 (pN1) or N2 (pN2) postoperatively\(^4\). Debate continues over whether systematic lymph node dissection is necessary for all patients with T1 or T2 tumors without signs of metastatic disease on preoperative clinical staging studies, such as CT-PET/CT, endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS), and endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS)\(^5,6\). Watanabe et al.\(^7\) advocated that mediastinal nodal dissection would be unnecessary in patients with peripheral small lung cancers (≤ 1 cm for adenocarcinomas and 2 cm for tumors other than adenocarcinoma). We speculated that adenocarcinoma subtypes are heterogeneous groups of lung cancers, and may even consist of mixed subtypes with different metastatic characteristics\(^8\). We also speculated that upstaging may be related to surgical technique and may change in the hands of the same surgeons with different surgical techniques.

Many studies have shown that the incidence of nodal upstaging postoperatively is a quality measure of surgery\(^9\). However, we speculated that upstaging may also be related to other characteristics of tumor in adenocarcinoma.

In this study, we tried to identify the relationships between tumor size, sub-histology, prognostic factors, and postoperative nodal upstaging in patients with clinical stage N0 adenocarcinoma who underwent minimally-invasive surgery.

METHODS

Of 274 patients who underwent minimally-invasive anatomic lung resections for primary lung cancer between January 2012 and December 2017, 158 (102 male, 56 female; mean age, 62.3 ± 8.4 years; range, 42–92 years) had clinical stage N0 primary lung adenocarcinoma and underwent minimally-invasive anatomic lung resections and systematic mediastinal lymph node dissections robotic assisted surgery (RATS; \(n = 83\)) and video thoracoscopic surgery (\(n = 75\)). We retrospectively analyzed their prospectively collected medical records. A total of 17 patients were excluded because of insufficient data. Patients with positive mediastinal lymph nodes on mediastinoscopy and EBUS were underwent neoadjuvant treatment and excluded from the study. Patients who were diagnosed to have positive mediastinal lymph nodes
preoperatively were excluded. Forty-one patients who underwent mediastinoscopy or EBUS preoperatively and patients who were reported as negative were included in this study. A total number of 158 patients without PET/CT, Mediastinoscopy, EBUS- evident stage N1 or N2 disease and those who underwent anatomic lung resections with systemic mediastinal and hilar complete lymph node dissections were analyzed. Mediastinal lymph node dissections yielded 1242 (mean, 18.8 ± 10.6) lymph nodes with complete dissection of stations 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 on the left, and 1919 lymph nodes (mean 20.9 ± 11.8) with complete dissection of stations 2R, 4R, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 on the right hemithorax.

Surgical technique

Patients were intubated and single-lung ventilation was placed via fiberoptic bronchoscopy. A lateral decubitus position was used. A two-port technique was used for videoassisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) lobectomy. For RATS, three ports were opened, keeping 10 cm between each port and 10-15 cm from the target. We preferred to use the VATS-based approach for our RATS technique. When a fourth arm was used, it was placed lateral to the posterior arm. The technique we described here was used for Da Vinci SI systems (Da Vinci System Intuitive Surgical, Sunnyvale, CA, USA[9]). The Da Vinci robotic system was used in 83 patients, while 75 underwent biportal VATS. Postoperative nodal status and number of positive lymph nodes were evaluated in each group and compared with each other.

Segmentectomy was preferred for tumors smaller than 2 cm and negative lymph nodes or for larger tumors in patients with poor pulmonary function who could not tolerate lobectomy, especially those who do not have visceral pleural invasion in our center. But if the tumor invaded visceral pleura or especially hilar lymph node positivity could change the surgeon’s decision. Also if the remaining tissue after segmentectomy seems not have a good ventilation or blood supply, we decided to perform completion lobectomy during the operation.

Lymph node dissection

Mediastinal and N1-level lymph node dissections were performed in similar manners on all patients regardless of whether they underwent RATS or VATS. Typically dissected mediastinal lymph node stations were 2R, 4R, and 7-9 for patients with right-sided tumors, and 5-9 en bloc with perinodal fatty tissue for those with left-sided tumors. Segmentectomy became an operation option for peripheral, clinical T1N0M0, and 2 cm or smaller in size tumors. N1-level lymph nodes, and stations 10 and 11 for lobectomies, and additionally, station 12 were dissected completely and separately during segmentectomies. For segmentectomy, stations 11 and 12 nodes were dissected completely and evaluated by frozen section analysis[10]. If either of them was positive, we preferred to perform lobectomy instead of segmentectomy.

Lymph node status and number of positive lymph nodes were evaluated for each patient. Rate of positive lymph nodes was calculated as the ratio of positive lymph nodes to the number of dissected lymph nodes.

Histopathology

Tumors were classified histologically as well (grade 1), medium (grade 2), and poorly (grade 3) differentiated. Histopathologic types of tumors also were analyzed. In 134 patients, the tumor could be categorized according to predominance of acinar, solid, lepidic, and micropapillary patterns.

Lymphovascular and visceral pleural invasion of tumors was analyzed according to positive lymph nodes and possible effects on N upstaging.

Statistical analysis

Lymph node positivity and nodal status were evaluated in each subgroup, comparing stages T1 vs. T2, T1 vs. T3, and T2 vs. T3. T stage subgroups, such as T1a, Tb, T1c, T2a, T2b, and T3, also were compared using
the Mann-Whitney $U$ and analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests. Regression of histopathologic subtypes and lymph node positivity, and nodal status were analyzed with ANOVA. Resection types (lobectomy, segmentectomy) and operative technique (VATS, RATS) also were analyzed with the Mann-Whitney $U$ test.

**RESULTS**

There were 158 patients in the study group with a mean age of 62.36 ± 8.4 (range 42-92). There were 102 male and 56 female patients. Characteristics of the patients, tumors and operations are noted in Table 1.

**Operative techniques**

Of the patients, 83 underwent RATS and 75 underwent VATS. Mean number of dissected lymph nodes was 23.3 ± 11.3 (range, 1-57) in the RATS group and 16.3 ± 10.2 (range, 2-49) in the VATS group. Robotic surgery showed higher numbers of lymph node dissections ($P < 0.05$). Mean number of positive lymph nodes was 1.2 ± 2.6 (range, 0-11) in RATS group and 0.3 ± 0.8 (range, 0-4) in the VATS group ($P = 0.06$). In final pathology 14 patients were staged as N2, 7 patients were N1, and 62 were N0 in RATS group; 7 patients were N2, 4 patients were N1 and 64 were N0 in the VATS group ($P = 0.13$; Table 2).

The mean number of dissected lymph nodes was 22.5 ± 11.05 in patients who underwent lobectomy, which was significantly higher than that in patients who underwent segmentectomy (14.5 ± 10.5; $P < 0.05$). However, the numbers of positive lymph nodes were comparable between the groups (0.75 ± 1.9 vs. 0.6 ± 1.9, respectively; $P = 0.36$).

**Tumor size**

According to the 8th Tumor, Nodes, and Metastases (TNM) classification, 108 patients had pathologic stage T1 (11 T1a, 69 T1b, 28 T1c), 34 stage T2 (23 T2a, 11 T2b), and 16 stage T3 tumors. A total of 26 patients

---

**Table 1. Characteristics of patients, tumors and operations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients’ characteristics</th>
<th>$n = 158$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>102 (64.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>56 (35.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>66 (41.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>92 (58.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of operation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segmentectomy</td>
<td>32 (20.25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment 6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment 3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment 1-2 (left)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common basal segmentectomy</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lingulectomy</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lingula sparing LUL</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment 2 (right)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobectomy</td>
<td>126 (79.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUL</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLL</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUL</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RML</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLL</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilobectomy</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LUL: left upper lobectomy; LLL: left lower lobectomy; RUL: right upper lobectomy; RML: right middle lobectomy; RLL: right lower lobectomy
had multifocal tumors. Mean tumor size was 2.8 ± 1.9 cm (range, 0.6-11; 2.9 ± 2 in the RATS and 2.6 ± 1.8 in the VATS groups; \( P = 0.4 \)).

### Lymph nodes

The most commonly dissected lymph nodes were those at stations 5-7, 10, and 11 for left-sided tumors, and at stations 2R, 4R, and 7-11 for right-sided tumors. Mean number of dissected lymph nodes was 20.0 ± 11.3 (range, 1-57).

Among all patients, the nodal status was N2 in 21 (13.3%), N1 in 11 (7%), and N0 in 126 (79.%). Total upstaging rate in all groups was 20.3%.

Nodal status and number of positive lymph nodes were evaluated with the Mann-Whitney \( U \) test for stages T1 vs. T2 \( (P = 0.79, P = 0.77) \), T1 vs. T3 \( (P = 0.32, P = 0.36) \), and T2 vs. T3 \( (P = 0.29, P = 0.39) \) disease. All differences were statistically insignificant. Nodal status and number of positive lymph nodes also were not statistically significant when comparing the three stage (T1, T2, T3) groups via ANOVA [Table 3].

Subgroups of T status (T1a, T1b, T1c, T2a, T2b, and T3) were compared and they had similar numbers of positive lymph nodes, positive lymph node ratios, and similar nodal status [Table 3].

### Histopathology

Lymphovascular and visceral pleural invasions are the most commonly investigated histopathologic parameters. A total of 77 patients had lymphovascular and 34 had visceral pleural invasion. When analyzed, the effect of visceral pleural invasion on lymph node positivity was not significant \( (P = 0.29) \). However, lymphovascular invasion tended to be significantly related to lymph node positivity \( (P = 0.08) \).

Histologic tumor grade was classified according to differentiation: 16 patients had grade 1, 86 grade 2, and 41 grade 3 disease. There was no correlation between differentiation grade and lymph node positivity \( (P = 0.86) \) and N status \( (P = 0.79) \).
Histopathologic tumor types and their effect on lymph node positivity also were analyzed retrospectively. Of the patients, 134 could be categorized according to predominance of acinar, solid, lepidic, and micropapillary patterns. Micropapillary histologic subtype was associated with lymph node positivity. Other subtypes did not show significance ($P = 0.65$, $P = 0.22$, $P = 0.78$, $P = 0.005$, respectively).

**DISCUSSION**

Lymphatic dissemination is the major route of systematic metastasis, and it is the major determinant of long-term patient outcome. When a patient with clinical stage N0 disease has been demonstrated to have N1 or N2 positivity, not only prognosis, but also treatment modalities change. Larger consolidation size, central tumor location, and clinical N1-N2 stage have been defined as predictors of mediastinal lymph node metastasis\(^{[11]}\). A recent study demonstrated a significant increase in nodal upstaging to be related with the duration between radionuclear evaluation and surgery\(^{[12]}\). A current study shows that < 2 cm tumors may show occult nodal metastasis, so that dissection of lymph nodes during sublobar resection increases survival for patients underwent sublobar resection\(^{[13]}\). The same study claimed that nonanatomic resections without hilar lymphadenectomy may miss this upstaging. Preoperative radiographic tumor size, tumors not in the upper lobe, high carcinoembryonic antigen levels, and micropapillary predominant adenocarcinomas were identified as predictors for unexpected N1 or N2 node positivity in adenocarcinoma patients\(^{[14]}\). When two major types (squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma) were compared, lymph node metastasis occurred more frequently in adenocarcinomas than in squamous cell carcinomas and it was reported to be uncommon for mediastinal lymph node metastasis in tumors with a diameter < 3 cm. Poor differentiation grade may have an important role in lymph node metastasis\(^{[15]}\). Despite these findings, several investigators claim that mediastinal lymph node dissection may be unnecessary for adenocarcinomas ≥ 1 cm\(^{[11]}\). In our study, patients with clinicaly N0 disease, had postoperatively N stages (N1, N2) as high as 7.4% and 12.3% of cases, respectively, among all those with stages T1a and Tab cancer. Stage T1c group demonstrated similar upstaging (3.5% patient was N1 disease and 17.8% patient was N2 disease postoperatively). Our results contradicted the literature findings and recommendations. We recommended mediastinal and hilar systematic lymph node dissection to be performed for all stages, including stage 1A.

In another trial on adenocarcinomas ≤ 30 mm, solid pattern, maximum standardized uptake value, and lymphovascular invasion were independent predictors for lymph node metastasis\(^{[16]}\).

Adenocarcinoma is a heterogeneous type of lung carcinoma and mostly consists of mixed subtypes. According to one study on solitary peripheral subsolid nodules; speculation, lesion size, vascular convergence and solid proportion are predictive parameters of invasive adenocarcinoma\(^{[17]}\). In another study that used nomogram for predicting risk of invasive pulmonary adenocarcinoma for pure ground-glass opacity nodules; lesion size, speculation, lobulation, air bronchogram, vascular convergence, pleural tag were risk factors for being invasive pulmonary adenocarcinoma\(^{[18]}\).

Adenocarcinoma \textit{in situ} and minimally-invasive adenocarcinoma, in which a lepidic pattern is a major component, may show very good prognosis without mediastinal and hilar lymph node metastasis\(^{[19]}\). Lepidic predominant, minimally-invasive adenocarcinoma, and adenocarcinoma \textit{in situ} also are specified as safe tumors for lymph node invasion\(^{[20,21]}\). Since a lepidic pattern is known to be noninvasive and often appears as a ground glass opacity (GGO) on radiologic evaluation, and a patient with a GGO nodule on tomography is likely to be diagnosed with adenocarcinoma \textit{in situ} or minimally-invasive adenocarcinoma pathologically, systematic lymph node dissection might not be considered\(^{[19]}\). Lepidic predominance has been shown to be the safest subtype in regard to mediastinal and hilar lymph nodes in our study ($P = 0.78$), similar to findings in the literature.
Moon et al.\cite{19} claimed that mediastinal lymph node dissection may not be necessary for clinical stage N0 NSCLC presenting with a ≤ 3 cm GGO-predominant nodule. Segmentectomy can be a preferred technique if there is almost no lymph node metastasis at stations 12 and 11. In patients with adenocarcinoma and a micropapillary or solid component, lobectomy should be considered because of possible interlobar and intralobar lymph node metastasis\cite{22}, which may not be identified before and during surgery. A histologic component may be an important factor in patients with nodal upstaging of clinically N0 tumors\cite{4}. It has been shown that micropapillary and solid tumor patterns significantly increase the risk of nodal upstaging\cite{22,24}. Our study demonstrated that the micropapillary pattern is related to a higher rate of lymph node positivity. However, we were not able to identify solid predominance as a risk factor for lymph node positivity.

Spread through air spaces (STAS) was defined as spread of lung cancer tumor cells into air spaces in the lung parenchyma adjacent to the main tumor. Three morphologic patterns of STAS were identified: (1) micropapillary structures, consisting of papillary structures without central fibrovascular cores that occasionally form ring-like structures within air spaces; (2) solid nests or tumor islands, consisting of solid collections of tumor cells filling air spaces; and (3) single cells consisting of scattered discohesive single cells\cite{25}. Lymphovascular invasion and high-grade morphologic pattern were identified more frequently in STAS-positive than STAS-negative tumors. Besides this, the risk of locoregional or distant recurrence was significantly higher in patients with STAS-positive than STAS-negative tumors who underwent limited resection. However, this association was not noted in the lobectomy group\cite{26}.

Another remarkable finding of this study was the number of dissected lymph nodes. The number of positive and total number of dissected lymph nodes were higher in the RATS compared to the VATS groups (\(P = 0.06, P < 0.05\), respectively). These results were compatible with those of previous studies from our department\cite{27}. We believed that RATS increases the capability of mediastinal dissection. On the other hand, our group has been performing VATS lobectomy consistently for more than a decade; we do not see this finding in VATS.

Limitation of our study are as follows: this study is performed in a single center with relatively small size population. We could not analyze the patients with preoperatively diagnosed N2 disease. Relatively a small number of patients underwent mediastinoscopy and/or or EBUS preoperatively in our series.

The number of positive lymph nodes and lymph node status were comparable between the T groups and subgroups in adenocarcinomas. These results may be related with heterogenous types and subgroups of adenocarcinoma. We demonstrated that lymphovascular invasion and micropapillary predominance could be considered candidates for nodal upstaging. Since these features of tumors cannot be identified preoperatively in most patients, we recommended performing systematic hilar and mediastinal lymph node dissection for an adenocarcinoma of any size considering minimally-invasive surgery; we preferred the robotic-assisted approach.
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