Targeting histone lysine-specific demethylase KDM1A/LSD1 to control epithelial-mesenchymal transition program in breast cancers
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Abstract
Epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a plastic and reversible process, essential for development and tissue homeostasis. Under pathological conditions, EMT causes induction of tumor growth, angiogenesis and metastasis. According to its reversible nature, the EMT program is associated with vast epigenetic changes. Targeting the epigenetic network that controls the EMT pathway in disease progression is a novel promising strategy to fight cancer metastasis. The impact of alterations in histone methylation in cancer has led to the identification of histone methyltransferases and demethylases as promising novel targets for therapy. Specifically, the lysine specific demethylase 1 (LSD1, also known as KDM1A) plays a pivotal role in the regulation of EMT. Here we present an overview of the causative role of LSD1 in the EMT process, summarizing recent findings on its emerging functions in cell migration and invasion in breast cancer.
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INTRODUCTION
Breast cancer is the most frequent cancer in women worldwide. It has a predictable incidence of 246,660 new cases (29% of all sites cancers) and 40,450 estimated deaths (14% of all sites) in 2016 in the United States[1].
The major causes of death in breast cancer patients are the result of metastasis in distant organs\[^2\]. Metastasis is a complex biological process, which occurs through a series of steps: (1) rising of a locally invasive and migratory behavior, (2) arriving to the blood vessel and into the circulation via the blood flow, (3) halting in the distant organ, (4) surviving the initial stress, and (5) reinitiating outgrowth in distant stroma\[^2,3\]). Metastasis involves a multitude of molecular signals\[^3\]. Single cells leaving the primary tumor undergo epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT), consisting in the loss of epithelial polarity and the achievement of a mesenchymal morphology\[^4\]. The opposite process, the mesenchymal to epithelial transition, takes place when metastatic cells switch back to an epithelial state in order to colonize secondary sites\[^5\].

The mechanism of EMT is currently a major focus in metastasis research. The knowledge of its mechanisms is still fragmentary and more in-depth studies are needed in order to improve therapeutic approaches and influence, in the long-term, control of breast cancer outcome\[^6,7\].

According to the reversible nature of EMT-associated processes, epigenetic mechanisms, such as DNA methylation and histone modifications, exert a great influence on the EMT program\[^8-11\]. Particularly, wide ranges of studies demonstrate that the lysine specific demethylase 1 LSD1, also known as KDM1A, plays a pivotal role in the EMT regulation\[^12-15\].

High levels of LSD1 expression in various tumors, including breast cancer, are correlated with poor prognosis\[^16-19\]; several works reported that the ablation of LSD1 in breast cancer cells inhibits the invasion capabilities and suppresses their metastatic potential\[^20-22\].

LSD1 is classified as an amine oxidase that uses FAD as the co-factor for its enzymatic action\[^23\]; LSD1 is composed by three major domains: an N-terminal SWIRM (small alpha-helical domain), for protein stability; a central protruding Tower domain and a C-terminal amine oxidase like domain \[Figure 1A\]; LSD1 is able to demethylate mono and di-methylated lysine 4 and 9 of the histone H3 (H3K4, H3K9)\[^23-27\]. Exerting its activity LSD1 can function as co-repressor, removing H3K4 methylation on gene promoters and enhancers, or co-activator, by removing H3K9 methylation, indicating that substrate specificity and the binding to different interactors define its biological outcome \[Figure 1B\]\[^12\]. Furthermore, LSD1 regulates methylation dynamics of non-histone proteins\[^16,28-33\].

**Figure 1.** Lysine specific demethylase 1 (LSD1) protein domains and structure. A: In orange the SWIRM domain, in green the AOD domains and in yellow the Tower Domain; B: LSD1 selective substrate specificities: LSD1 represses promoter and enhancer activities through the demethylation of histones lysines, H3K4 or in cooperation with the androgen receptor (AR), activates transcription through Histones H3K9 demethylation.
This review summarizes recent advantages in understanding the mechanisms through which LSD1 controls EMT in breast cancer, and discusses how these findings could be used to establish a new approach for therapeutic intervention in breast cancer.

**LSD1 MODULATE THE EMT PROCESS BY ITS PARTICIPATION IN DIFFERENT COMPLEXES**

In human cancers, EMT contributes to tumor progression and invasion of surrounding tissues and confers chemo-resistance. Morphological and phenotypic changes are orchestrated by transcriptional reprogramming in which several transcription factors, known as EMT-TF\cite{34}, have a role in silencing of epithelial genes (e.g., E-cadherin, Occludin) and in the activation of mesenchymal genes (e.g., N-cadherin, Vimentin, Fibronectin)\cite{35}. EMT is characterized by reprogramming of epigenetic marks: following the induction of the EMT by the transforming growth factor beta (TGF-\(\beta\))\cite{34}, a global increase of trimethylation in H3K4 and H3K36 histones and a decrease in the dimethylation of H3K9 are observed\cite{34}. McDonald et al.\cite{35} demonstrated that these epigenetic modifications depend largely on LSD1, and that loss of LSD1 functions affects EMT-driven cell migration and chemo-resistance.

Taking in consideration different studies, it is clear that LSD1 may function as enhancer or inhibitor of EMT functioning in a cell type-specific fashion, probably due to the cells genetic background and depending on its interacting partners\cite{36}. A number of studies have described LSD1 as a critical player in epigenetic reprogramming during EMT. Two independent reports demonstrated that LSD1 physically associates with SNAIL1 (snail family transcriptional repressor 1) in breast cancer cells\cite{15,34,37}. The members of the SNAIL family of zinc finger transcription factors (Snail, Slug and Smuc) control the invasive phenotype and metastasis in several types of cancers, included breast cancer. In the EMT pathway, SNAIL family proteins repress the expression of epithelial genes, such as E-cadherin (CDH1), through an LSD1-dependent molecular mechanism. It has been shown that LSD1, interacting with Snail, leads to CDH1 repression\cite{15,34} [Figure 2A]. In particular, it has been defined that the N-terminal SNAG domain of Snail is essential and responsible for the interaction and recruitment of LSD1 to gene promoters. Notably, SNAG domain of Snail1 resembles a histone H3-like structure, thus, it acts as a pseudo-substrate “hook” for LSD1 to recruit it together with CoREST to the Snail1 target gene promoters [Figure 2A]. The inhibition of the Snail/Slug-LSD1 interaction, using pharmacological LSD1 inhibitors or a cell-permeable peptide corresponding to the SNAG domain of Slug, suppresses motility and invasiveness of breast cancer cells\cite{34}.

Notably, high expression of LSD1 or ERR\(\alpha\) associates with breast cancer poor prognosis, decreased survival, cancer progression and metastasis, and LSD1 has been found to protect the ERR\(\alpha\) protein from proteasome degradation\cite{38}. The ERR\(\alpha\)-LSD1 complex regulates a subset of genes involved in migration and invasiveness in breast cancer. The LSD1-ERR\(\alpha\) complex binds the TSSs of common target genes and this interaction induces LSD1 to demethylate H3K9 leading to transcriptional activation\cite{39} [Figure 2B].

Among co-regulated genes LSD1-ERR\(\alpha\) enhances the expression of the matrix metalloproteinase 1 (MMP1), a secreted protein involved in extracellular matrix degradation and cell invasion, and this can account for the capacities of LSD1-ERR\(\alpha\) to induce tumor progression\cite{39}.

Although the majority of work indicates that LSD1 is a key positive regulator of the EMT program, in cooperation with other proteins such as the NuRD complex, it could promote opposite effects. It has been reported that the LSD1/NuRD complex inhibits TGF-\(\beta\) signaling pathway, reducing breast cancer metastatic potential\cite{20} [Figure 2C].

LSD1 has been found to have a role also as epigenetic regulator of EMT-TFs transcription factors expression [SNAIL, zinc finger E-box-binding homeobox 1 (ZEB1) and ZEB2]\cite{34}. It has been reported that in breast cancer stem cells LSD1 interacts with the Ubiquitously transcribed tetratricopeptide repeat, X chromosome
UTX functions as lysine-specific demethylase on H3K27me2/H3K27me3. Choi et al. demonstrated that the role of UTX in epigenetic silencing of EMT-TF is not due to its H3K27 demethylation activity but to the ability to disrupt c-Myc and MLL4 recruitment on the E-boxes of their promoters. LSD1 recruited by UTX on the E-boxes form a transcriptional repressive complex with histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1) and DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1) [Figure 2D]. LSD1 together with UTX in this case of human breast cancers functions directly as epigenetic silencer of EMT TFs and consequently acts as tumor suppressor.

LSD1 POST-TRANSLATIONAL TARGETING IN EMT MODULATION

Several studies established that LSD1 activity and stability is altered by post-translational modifications. LSD1 is acetylated in epithelial, but not in mesenchymal cells. In particular, the acetyltransferase MOF (or KAT8, lysine acetyltransferase 8) is critically involved in LSD1-induced EMT, acting on LSD1 acetylation. MOF-mediated acetylation of LSD1 on lysines 432, 433 and 436 alters the association between LSD1 and target chromatin loci, increasing H3K4me2 levels and expression of epithelial markers. Moreover, while LSD1 is ubiquitously expressed, MOF is enriched in epithelial cells, but down-regulated in mesenchymal cells. Thus, MOF-mediated acetylation of LSD1 may represent a crucial regulatory switch that, modulating LSD1 control of the EMT process. Accordingly, in epithelial breast cancer cells, MOF blocks LSD1 association with epithelial gene promoters, increases H3K4me2 levels at these loci and activates E-cadherin expression [Figure 3A].

In addition, recent evidences highlight that phosphorylation of LSD1 on Serine-111 (analogous to murine serine-112) is crucial for its activity in the EMT programs. It has been reported that PKCα (Protein kinase

---

**Figure 2.** Lysine specific demethylase 1 (LSD1) regulates cell motility and epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT). A: Snail is stabilized through the formation of a ternary Snail1-LSD1-CoREST complex. SNAG domain of Snail binds LSD1 and recruits it together with CoREST to E-boxes of Snail target gene promoters. LSD1 binds the histones H3 tail and removes the activation marks on H3K4. HDAC1 and 2 deacetylate histones 3 and 4 (H3/H4), subsequently PRC2 directs the trimethylation of H3K27; B: H3K9 demethylation activity by LSD1 in complex with ERRα leads to transcriptional activation of pro-invasive genes; C: LSD1 inhibits EMT process through binding to NuRD impairing TGF-β signaling genes expression. D LSD1 with UTX functions as epigenetic silencer of EMT TFs.
C alpha), that phosphorylate LSD1 at Serine-111, is required for LSD1 association with the E-cadherin promoter [42]. Specifically, LSD1-mediated demethylation at E-cadherin promoter is PKCα dependent and knockdown of PKCα impairs EMT induced by LSD1 overexpression in breast cancer cells [Figure 3B]. Another study reports that, upon induction of EMT, LSD1 is transiently induced and regulates epigenetic changes that result in transcriptional activation of several EMT-related genes in breast cancer cells. Phosphorylation of LSD1 at Serine-111 by a different PKC isoform, the PKC-θ (Protein kinase C theta), regulates its pro-EMT function [22]. Indeed, PKC-θ co-localizes with LSD1 in mesenchymal-like, but not in epithelial breast cancer cells and co-binding with LSD1 occurs predominantly at promoter regions of genes activated during EMT. Moreover, LSD1 phosphorylated at Ser-111 is enriched in chemo-resistant breast cancer cells with mesenchymal phenotype after therapy. In vivo studies also demonstrate that targeting LSD1 in combination with chemotherapy significantly reduces tumor growth by inhibiting the mesenchymal properties generated by chemotherapy alone [22]. Thus, targeting specific LSD1 post-translational modifications may be instrumental to modulate LSD1 functions and poses the basis to develop selective therapeutic tools.

**LSD1/HIF-1α AXIS IN BREAST CANCERS**

Several studies demonstrate that LSD1 acts also on several non-histone substrates, such as lysine 370 on p53, which allows the binding of 53BP1 [24,45]. Furthermore, LSD1 regulates the protein stability of the DNMT1 [29], E2F1 (E2F transcription factor 1) [30], MYPT1 (myosin phosphatase) [31], STAT3 (signal transducer and activator of transcription 3) [32] and HIF-1α (hypoxia inducible factor 1 subunit alpha) [33,44] through its non-histone demethylase activity. LSD1 demethylation of non-histone substrates confirms its versatility to regulate different processes. One of the most important LSD1 non-histone substrate is HIF-1α, the master transcriptional regulator of developmental response to hypoxia [45]. High levels of HIF-1α have been strongly implicated in cancer biology, as well as a number of other pathophysiologicals, vascularization and angiogenesis, metabolism, cell survival, and tumor invasion. HIF-1α protein accumulates under a hypoxic microenvironment, and it appears increased in various cancers. HIF-1α plays a crucial role in adaptive responses of the hypoxic tumor cells and it functions as a transcriptional activator of genes that regulate biological processes required for tumor survival and progression. Furthermore, high levels of HIF-1α induce up-regulation of different EMT-associated transcription factors, which in turn activate or repress EMT-associated signaling pathways and modulate EMT-associated inflammatory cytokines [46]. In breast cancer cells, EMT is prompted by ZEB1-MYB-E-cadherin signaling under hypoxic stress, which induces higher expression of ZEB1 and lower expression of MYB [47]. In particular, HIF-1α promotes EMT through the regulation of E-cadherin, SNAIL, ZEB1, TWIST (twist family bHLH transcription factor 1), and
transcription factor 3 (TCF3, also known as E47)\textsuperscript{[47-49]}. In this contest, LSD1 has a pivotal role in HIF-1α post-translation regulation. LSD1 demethylates lysine 32 (K32) of HIF-1α, while the same site can be methylated by SET9 inducing HIF-1α protein degradation\textsuperscript{[50]} [Figure 4A].

Moreover, in breast cancer, it has been reported that LSD1 regulates indirectly HIF-1α at post-translation level influencing its stability and avoiding its degradation. In particular, LSD1 upregulates HIF-1α demethylating the RACK1 (receptor for activated C kinase 1) protein (a component of the HIF-1α ubiquitination machinery) on lysine 271 (K271). After that, the degradation of HIF-1α is suppressed. These results indicate that in breast cancer the levels of FAD in the cells and the FAD-dependent LSD1 activity on RACK1-K271me2 could be the main determinants of HIF-1α stability during prolonged hypoxia\textsuperscript{[51]} [Figure 4B]. Accordingly, it has been shown that LSD1 inhibitors also impair the accumulation of HIF-1α, which is implicated in the activation of EMT in breast cancer. Therefore, even if at the moment there is a lack of evidences for an in vivo link between LSD1/HIF-1α/EMT, this pathway needs to be further explored since FAD modulation could represent a potential therapeutic strategy to regulate LSD1 and HIF-1α pathway.

**CONCLUSION**

Oncogenic roles of LSD1 in the pathogenesis of different epithelial cancers, such prostate, bladder, liver, non-small cell lung cancer and neuroblastomas, have been widely reported.

In breast cancer, LSD1 expression increases with cancer progression and its overexpression is positively correlated with the estrogen receptor negative status; high levels of LSD1 are a considerate molecular marker for predictive aggressive biology in ER-negative\textsuperscript{[17,24]} and basal-like\textsuperscript{[17]} breast cancer. Moreover, the depletion of LSD1 reduces proliferation and invasiveness of breast cancer cells in vitro.

In breast cancer, the oncogenic role of LSD1 depends on its versatility to interact with different partners. Current clinical trials utilizing epigenetic drugs for combination therapy have been shown to be promising in treating metastatic cancers. Vasilatos et al.\textsuperscript{[52]} suggest that the combination therapy of LSD1 and HDAC inhibitors leads to expression activation of genes such as E-cadherin in Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC)\textsuperscript{[52-54]}. More recently, Yang et al.\textsuperscript{[55]} proposed that LSD1 interacts with SIN3A/HDAC complex, which plays a role in EMT-induced cancer stemness inhibiting a series of genes, such as TERT (Telomerase reverse transcriptase), CUL4A (Cullin4A), TGFB2 (Transforming growth factor beta 2), MDM2 (Mouse double minute 2 homolog), RHOA (Ras homolog family member A) and HIF-1α\textsuperscript{[55]}.

Progresses have been made in drug targeting for breast cancer over the years. Current clinical treatments typically involve surgery if disease is promptly diagnosed. Moreover, depending on molecular characteristics of injury, breast cancer surgery may be followed by radiation, chemotherapy, hormone therapy and targeted therapy. Nevertheless, the major limitation of targeted anticancer therapies is the intrinsic or acquired resistance\textsuperscript{[56]}.

Epigenetic therapies are prime candidates for adjuvant treatments to improve cancer therapy efficacy. Thus, establishing how exactly LSD1 regulates cell proliferation and invasive capacity will potentially facilitate the development of epigenetic therapies to attenuate tumor progression and metastasis in human breast cancer.

Recent studies identify LSD1 as a potent inhibitor of anti-tumor immunity and responsiveness to immunotherapy. LSD1 inhibition leads to double-stranded RNA stress and activation of type 1 interferon, which stimulates anti-tumor T cell response and represses tumor growth\textsuperscript{[57]}.

Qin et al.\textsuperscript{[58]} have recently shown that the inhibition of LSD1 reactivates key immune checkpoint regulator and cytotoxic T cell-attracting chemokines in TNBC to immune checkpoint blocking antibodies. In vivo,
the inhibition of chemokine receptors, by combined treatment with PD-1 antibody and LSD1 inhibitors, suppresses tumor growth and metastasis\textsuperscript{[58]}.

In conclusion, LSD1 inhibitors represent a promising epigenetic approach to treat breast cancer. Furthermore, for a possible therapeutic goal, developing new drugs that target LSD1 and its partners or immune modulators would carry high innovation and translational potential.
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