

Circulating neuroendocrine tumors biomarkers. Why? When? How? Suggestions for clinical practice from guidelines and consensus

Paola Razzore¹, Giorgio Arnaldi²

¹SC Endocrinologia, AO Ordine Mauriziano, 10128 Turin, Italy.

²Clinica di Endocrinologia e Malattie del Metabolismo, AOU Ospedali Riuniti, 60100 Ancona, Italy.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Paola Razzore, SC Endocrinologia, AO Ordine Mauriziano, Largo Turati 62, 10128 Torino, Italy.

E-mail: razzorepaola@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT

Neuroendocrine neoplasms (NETs) are rare tumors that are increasing in incidence. NETs are characterized by heterogeneous biological behaviour, clinical presentation and course. A sensitive and specific diagnostic and prognostic circulating biomarker useful for all sites, grading and staging of neuroendocrine tumors is still an unmet need. The aim of this article was to review current neuroendocrine and oncologic scientific society guidelines and position statements, and propose recommendations for the most frequent clinical practice queries on circulating neuroendocrine tumors biomarkers. The authors searched for NCCN, NANETS, ESMO, ENETS, UKINETS, AME management guidelines or position statements available from PubMed up to 7th January 2016. From these results we chose guidelines or position statements published by scientific societies or institutions in USA, Europe and Italy with recognized expertise in neuroendocrine tumor patient management. The authors present suggestions for clinical practice based on this analysis.

Key words: Neuroendocrine tumors; neuroendocrine markers; neuroendocrine management; chromogranin A; guidelines; clinical practice

INTRODUCTION

Neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) are rare but have been increasing in incidence.^[1] NETs are characterized by heterogeneous biological behavior, clinical presentation, and course. NETs arise from neuroendocrine cells aggregate in classical endocrine glands -- like adrenal, pituitary and parathyroid -- but also in the diffuse neuroendocrine system (DNES).

An early diagnosis is crucial since lower survival was demonstrated in patients with metastatic disease.^[2] However an interval of many years is reported from earliest symptoms to diagnosis. Symptoms are often nonspecific and do not lend themselves to identifying the specific underlying tumor. In addition, clinical presentations are protean and mimic a variety of other non-neoplastic diseases.^[3] Many specialists may be individually involved from earliest signs and symptoms but a multidisciplinary team may be the most successful approach to reduce time latency from symptoms to diagnosis and improve overall survival.^[4] In this context the choice of circulating neuroendocrine biomarkers and interpretation of these

values needs to be carefully considered with respect to the clinical presentation and other putative diagnoses.^[5,6] Many different diagnostic and therapeutic approaches are reported in real life NET management according to different physician expertise, accessibility of medical care in different countries, and financial reimbursement. Translation of guidelines and consensus into clinical practice is often difficult because suggestions are not always universally applicable.

The aim of our paper was to review current neuroendocrine and oncologic scientific society guidelines and position statements and provide recommendations for the most frequent clinical practice queries on circulating neuroendocrine tumor biomarkers.

We searched the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN), North American Neuroendocrine Tumor (NANETS), European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO), European Neuroendocrine Tumor Society

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: service@oaeublish.com

How to cite this article: Razzore P, Arnaldi G. Circulating neuroendocrine tumors biomarkers. Why? When? How? Suggestions for clinical practice from guidelines and consensus. *J Cancer Metast Treat* 2016;2:348-56.

Received: 08-02-2016; **Accepted:** 18-07-2016

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:
www.jcmtjournal.com

DOI:
10.20517/2394-4722.2016.39

Table 1: Comparative practical clinical suggestion for circulating NET biomarkers use in functioning and non-functioning tumors from NCCN 2.2015, NANETS 2010-2013, ESMO 2012, ENETS 2009-2015-2016, UKINETS 2012 guidelines and AME posizione statement 2014

| Source of indications | Cromogranin A | NSE | u-5HIAA | Plasma gastrin, insulin, glucagon, somatostatin, VIP, PP | Others (plasma calcitonin, GHRH, IGF1, ACTH, PTH-rp)* |
|---|--|------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| NCCN 2. 2015 ^[32] | YES for NENs diagnosis and FU | | YES for diagnosis and FU | YES* for diagnosis and FU YES PP in pNEN for diagnosis and FU | YES* for diagnosis and FU |
| NANENS 2010-2013 ^[29,37-40] | YES GEP-NENs diagnosis and FU (only if + at diagnosis and not resected) SUGGESTED THY-BRO NENs diagnosis and FU | Useful in THY-BRO diagnosis and FU | YES diagnosis and FU mid-gut NENs YES* others NENs | SUGGESTED** for diagnosis and FU (only if significant before) | SUGGESTED** for diagnosis and FU (only if significant before) |
| ESMO 2012 ^[41-42] | YES GEP NEN diagnosis and FU YES THY-BRO diagnosis and FU | YES in THY-BRO | YES in SI-NEN YES* in THY-BRO | YES* for diagnosis and FU NF-pNEN USEFUL PP | YES* in THY-BRO (ACTH-GHRH-IGF1) |
| ENETS 2015-2016 ^[11,22,25,31,43,44] | YES GEP-NEN diagnosis and FU USEFUL in NEC diagnosis and FU YES THY-BRO diagnosis and FU | Useful in NEC diagnosis and FU | YES in SI-NEN YES* in THY-BRO | YES* for diagnosis and FU | YES* for diagnosis and FU |
| UKINETS 2012 ^[33] | YES for NENs diagnosis and FU | | YES in SI, digiunal, colon, appendiceal NENs | YES* for diagnosis and FU NF-pNEN USEFUL PP | YES* for diagnosis and FU |
| AME 2014 ^[5] | YES for GEP-NEN diagnosis and follow only after diagnosis or strong clinical suspicion | | YES* diagnosis YES for FU if significant before | YES* NOT PP in practical clinical use | YES* |

NCCN: National Comprehensive Cancer Network; NANETS: North American Neuroendocrine Tumor; ESMO: European Society of Medical Oncology; ENETS: European Neuroendocrine Tumor Society; UKI NETS: UK and Ireland Neuroendocrine Tumour Society; NSE: plasmatic neuron-specific enolase; u-5HIAA: urinary 5-Hydroxy-indolacetic acid; NENs: neuroendocrine tumors; VIP: vasoactive ntestinal peptide; PP: pancreatic polypeptide; GHRH: growth hormone releasing hormone; IGF1: insulin like growth factor 1; ACTH: adrenocorticotropin; PTH-rp: parathyroid-hormone like hormone; YES: recommended; FU: follow up; YES*: recommended when clinically indicated; THY-BRO: neuroendocrine thymic and bronchial tumors; GEP-NEN: neuroendocrine gastroenteric tumors; SUGGESTED**: suggested a large panel of markers at diagnosis or key point individually tailored; NEC: neuroendocrine carcinoma; SI-NEN: small intestine neuroendocrine tumors; NF-pNENs: non functioning pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors; NOT: recommend against

relationship between biomarker level and the degree of disease burden, higher levels are frequent in patients with metastasis, particularly in the liver. In other words, circulating biomarkers may reflect the tumor burden. Circulating markers are useful for monitoring specific tumors by providing a surrogate endpoint: CgA for the majority of cases, pancreastatin for hepatic tumor load, and neurokinin A for serotonin-secreting tumors of the small bowel.^[33] In particular, circulating CgA is higher in patients with large metastases compared with localized disease or even limited hepatic involvement

(when assessed as < 25%, 25-50%, > 50%) and correlates with survival. In addition, CgA levels are reduced after hepatic resection or transplantation. In a retrospective study, a CgA decrease of 80% or more was predictive of complete symptom resolution and disease stabilization. By contrast, reduction of urinary 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid concentrations of 80% or more (or normalization) was predictive of symptomatic relief but not of disease stabilization.^[45]

Despite the fact that gastrinomas show high circulating

Table 2: Pitfalls and bottlenecks and possible remedies for circulating chromogranin A and gastrin interpretation

| Pitfalls and bottleneck | Possible causes | Remedies suggested |
|--|---|--|
| High CrA levels during diagnostic work up for NETs | Others disease and cancers than NETs | Keep in mind non-malignant pathological causes of elevated CrA as severe hypertension, systemic inflammatory response syndrome, pulmonary obstructive disease, bowel disease renal insufficiency, liver or heart failure, chronic gastritis, chronic hepatitis, pancreatitis, Helicobacter Pylori infection, inflammatory bowel disease, hyperthyroidism, giant cell arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, exercise-induced physical stress |
| | Doubtful in accuracy determination | Keep in mind malignant pathological causes of elevated CrA others than NETs as breast cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, colon cancer, ovarian cancer, prostate cancer, medullary thyroid cancer |
| | High individual intervariability | Recommend only certificated laboratories with high quality control certification |
| | Drugs (PPIs) | Complete with imaging according to clinical presentation Repeat determination if doubtful Stop proton pump inhibitor 2 weeks before or according with drugs half life |
| Unexpected individual changes in patient with known NETs | Doubtful in accuracy determination | Recommend only certificated laboratories with high quality control certification and the same laboratory and assay for each patient |
| | High individual intervariability | Report information on lab and normal reference in patient medical record |
| | Different assay and normal values in different labs | Check for possible new drugs or physiological interference (fasting, exercise <i>etc.</i>) |
| | Samples from different physiological condition | Recommend CrA determination during long acting SSA therapy at regular interval after drug injection |
| | Consider drugs interference (SSA) | If crucial data for diagnosis or therapy management retest in same condition Compare biochemical, clinical and imaging data |
| High gastrin levels in patient with clinical suspicion of gastrinoma | Drugs interference (PPIs) | Stop PPIs under careful patient monitoring (in-patient setting or daily checks) and switch to H2 receptor antagonist If PPIs interruption is not clinically indicated try to tapered the IPPs dose If the diagnosis is unclear (fasting serum gastrin < 10× increased, gastric pH < 2, no tumor imaged), a secretin test is indicated |
| | Concomitant disease interference | Consider atrophic gastric, Helicobacter Pylori infection, renal failure, short bowel syndrome |

NETs: neuroendocrine tumors; PPIs: proton pump inhibitors; SSA: somatostatin analogues

CgA values even in the absence of liver metastasis, gastrin levels are generally proportional to tumor burden and highest gastrin levels are present in patients with metastatic disease. In addition, gastrin seems higher in pancreatic compared to duodenal primary tumors, with no discernible difference between sporadic and multiple endocrine neoplasia (MEN1) or Zollinger Ellison syndrome patients.^[46] On the contrary, authors of a recent consensus agreed that circulating biomarkers levels in patients with neuroendocrine tumors do not correlate with tumor grade and do not differentiate low-level malignancy from high-grade disease.^[12]

SHOULD CIRCULATING BIOMARKERS BE USED IN DISEASE FOLLOW UP?

When specific circulating biomarkers are elevated at the diagnosis in a patient there is indication to follow these over time. If new signs and symptoms emerge, it is necessary to test for new paraneoplastic syndromes according to clinical presentation.^[6]

All guidelines [Table 1] recommend the use of CgA for follow up in all NETs even though there is an absence of prospective studies supporting its use.

SHOULD BIOMARKERS REFLECT INTERVENTION?

CgA has been used in gastroenteric NETs as a predictive biomarker to identify patients most likely to have durable responses to long acting somatostatin analogue therapy.^[47] Further, early decreases in CgA after somatostatin analogues plus everolimus was predictive of early response in pNET patients.^[34] Increases in CgA levels after radical surgery in a large Italian observational

study was reported to be predictive of tumor relapse 9-12 months before the clinical and radiological evidence of disease recurrence.^[48] In a recent paper, CgA was an early predictor of recurrence 6 months before radiological progression in metastatic NETs.^[49] A reduction of > 80% in CgA after cytoreductive surgery was shown to predict disease control^[50] and reduction of CgA was observed after successful peptide receptor radionuclide therapy^[51] and liver transplantation.^[52]

Table 3: Pitfalls and bottlenecks and possible remedies for circulating u-5HIAA

| Pitfalls and bottleneck | Possible causes | Remedies suggested |
|---|---|---|
| High u-5HIAA in patient with suspected or known NETs | Urinary collection not correct | Give some written information how to collect 24 h urine and to conserve. If result is doubtful and crucial for diagnostic and therapeutic choose repeat |
| | Intraindividual Variation | Perform two consecutive 24-h urine collections and take mean value of these two especially when collection required for diagnosis or when crucial for therapeutic choose Recommend only certificated laboratories with high quality control certification |
| | Doubtful in accuracy determination | Keep in mind others pathological causes of elevated u-5HIAA as coeliac and Whipple's disease, intestinal stasis and cystic fibrosis |
| | Others disease | |
| | Tryptophan/serotonin-riche food consumption | Exclude from the diet from 72 h preceding and during urine collection plums, pineapples, bananas, eggplants, tomatoes, avocados, walnuts, avocados, kiwi, pecans, coffee, tea, cocoa, chocolate, vanilla, sweets and cookies |
| | Drugs interference | Keep in mind possible drugs interference. Stop if not contraindicated. u-5HIAA levels were increased during Acetaminophene, naproxen, coumaric acid, phenacetin, diazepam, ephedrine, glyceryl guaiacolate, methocarbamol, reserpine, cisplatin, fluorouracil, melphalan, rauwolfia |
| Low u-5HIAA in patients with known or highly suspected NETs | Urinary collection not correct | The same as for high levels |
| | Intraindividual variation | Keep in mind possible drugs interference. Stop if not contraindicated. U-5HIAA levels were reduced during Chlorpromazine, heparin, imipramine, isoniazid, levodopa, monoamine oxidase inhibitors, methenamine, methyl dopa, phenothiazines, promethazine, tricyclic antidepressants, chlorophenylalanine, corticotrophin, guanfacine, imipramine, isocarboxazid, isoniazid, levodopa, MAO inhibitors, moclobemide, acetylsalicylic acid, streptozotocina uses |
| | Doubtful in accuracy determination | |
| | Drugs interference | Ethanol reduce u-5HIAA |
| | Alcohol addiction | SSA is known to decrease u-5HIAA. Assays for diagnostic purposes should be made in patients not on somatostatin analogues therapy |
| | Possible inhibitory roles of SSA | In the follow up setting urinary samples need to be collected on stable or comparable SSA doses |
| | | Report in patient medical record type of somatostatin analogue and frequency of administration and eventually subcutaneous octreotide performed in the last 24 h before determination |

NETs: neuroendocrine tumors; PPIs: proton pump inhibitors; SSA: somatostatin analogues; u-5HIAA: urinary 5-Hydroxy-indolacetic acid

HOW TO AVOID MISINTERPRETATION OF CgA, GASTRIN AND U-5HIAA IN CLINICAL PRACTICE?

There are many conditions that interfere with CgA and u-5HIAA measurements. For CgA there is no universally accepted CgA assay and the different methodologies can lead to confusing results. Many physiological conditions as stress, pregnancy or exercise can increase circulating CgA levels and the same is true for many drugs and non-neuroendocrine diseases. U-5HIAA measurements also have inherent pitfalls since they require a 24 h urine collection and are subject to interference by dietary habits.^[2,5,8,9,13-15,29,31,33] Tables 2 and 3 show the most important pitfalls and bottlenecks and possible remedies in CgA, gastrin and u-5HIAA interpretation and provide suggestions to reduce interference in circulating biomarker measurements for more accurate tumor management.

MONOANALYTE OR MULTIANALYTES?

The identification of effective biomarkers in patients with NETs is a high priority. In a recent Delphi consensus, the panel of neuroendocrine experts agreed that an acceptable standard for a diagnostic biomarker should have a sensitivity of at least 80%, specificity of at least 90%, and positive and negative predictive values of each at 80% or more.^[12] In addition, the biomarker should be able to provide information regarding the proliferative and metastatic capacity of a tumor, the identification of surgical and medical treatment effectiveness and correlate with patient survival. Unfortunately current universal circulating biomarkers are not able to provide this standard and, in particular, the role of CgA in the diagnosis of neuroendocrine tumors is decreasing.

The principal limitation in the measurement of circulating CgA is the absence of a gold standard assay and wide variability of results from different kits and laboratories. In addition, false positive results are reported as a result of other neoplasia (prostate and breast cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma) and common conditions (kidney, liver or heart failure, chronic gastritis, inflammatory bowel disease, PPI use, essential hypertension and physical stress). In addition, the current biomarkers used for gastroenteropancreatic NETs are inadequate for bronchopulmonary NETs and vice versa. For these reasons, a multianalyte approach would likely be more effective compared to a monoanalyte circulating biomarker. To this end, a specific multianalyte assay with algorithmic analyses (MAAA) named NETest has recently been developed. NETest is a PCR-based, 51-transcript signature that is based on correlating and normalizing multiple sets of variables that represent gene clusters specific to NETs and their biological behavior. The use of this blood-based test is proposed to facilitate early detection of disease recurrence and to predict therapeutic efficacy. The diagnostic performance of MAAAs was

better when compared to CgA (93-98% vs. 50-80%)^[53,54] exceeding the performance criteria proposed by an expert panel convened to evaluate NET biomarkers. MAAAs and NETest in particular may improve diagnostic accuracy and offer better interdisciplinary perspective than single analyte testing.

IS THERE A CLINICAL ROLE FOR NOVEL BIOMARKERS?

Recently, several novel biomarkers for NETs have been developed using an integration of genomics and technology platforms. In addition to gene transcript by MAAAs, circulating tumor cell (CTC) and microRNA (miRNA) analyses have been proposed.^[12]

Khan *et al.*^[55] showed that the number of CTC detected in patients with neuroendocrine tumors was comparable to other tumors in which CTC have been shown to have prognostic relevance. In this study, 47% of patients with midgut ($n = 101$) and 24% of patients with pancreatic ($n = 42$) tumors had \geq two CTC detected. Presence of CTC was clearly associated with increasing tumor burden and weakly with tumor grade. In a more recent, large prospective study, the same group demonstrated that changes in CTC were associated with response to treatment and overall survival in metastatic neuroendocrine tumors, suggesting CTC may be useful as a surrogate marker to direct clinical decision making.^[56] Although there is an increasing interest in CTC as a biomarker, recent consensus concluded that CTC analyses have several technical limitations and need further validation before being adopted into routine clinical practice.^[12]

There is also increasing interest in miRNAs as clinical biomarkers of tumorigenesis, treatment response and outcomes, but to date clinical data are scarce and clinical application challenging. Similarly, there are several novel monoanalyte assays (i.e. connective tissue growth factor for carcinoid heart disease (CCN2) or paraneoplastic Ma antigen 2 (PNMA2) for small intestinal neuroendocrine tumors, but these analyses are not available in clinical practice.^[12] Further, panelists of the recent Delphi consensus gave the strongest support to the use of emerging biomarkers in multianalyte technology based on genomics.^[12]

CONCLUSION

To date, the identification of sensitive, specific and reproducible NET circulating biomarkers for the prediction, diagnosis, prognosis and classification of NETs and to evaluate changes during therapy has been limited^[12] and remains an unfulfilled unmet medical need as defined by the 2007 National Cancer Institute NET meeting.^[57] There are no specific circulating monoanalyte biomarkers for neuroendocrine tumors that fulfill the NIH recommended criteria and the search continues for

markers with diagnostic and prognostic capabilities. Since Feyrter have discovered the neuroendocrine equivalent of Pandora's Box, a unique relationship between these various neuroendocrine peptides and different tumors has not been found yet.^[7] We are hopeful that in the era of Precision Medicine, specific circulating markers or a multianalyte panel for specific tumor types can be developed for NETs giving more reliable diagnostic and prognostic information. The road is long and new, robust prospective studies in different neuroendocrine tumors settings are required before new accurate biomarkers are validated and implemented into routine clinical practice.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Patient consent

No patient involved.

Ethics approval

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals.

REFERENCES

1. Yao JC, Hassan M, Phan A, Dagohoy C, Leary C, Mares JE, Abdalla EK, Fleming JB, Vauthey JN, Rashid A, Evans DB. One hundred years after "carcinoid": epidemiology of and prognostic factors for neuroendocrine tumors in 35,825 cases in the United States. *J Clin Oncol* 26:3063-72.
2. Vinik AI, Silva MP, Woltering G, W.Go VL, Warner R, Caplin M. Biochemical Testing for Neuroendocrine Tumors. *Pancreas* 2009;38:876-89.
3. Vinik AI, Gonzales MR. New and emerging syndromes due to neuroendocrine tumors. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am* 2011;40:19-63.
4. Metz DC, Choi J, Strosberg J, Heaney AP, Howden CW, Klimstra D, Yao JC. A rationale for multidisciplinary care in treating neuroendocrine tumours. *Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes* 2012;19:306-13.
5. Grimaldi F, Fazio N, Attanasio R, Frasoldati A, Papini E, Angelini F, Baldelli R, Berretti D, Bianchetti S, Bizzarri G, Caputo M, Castello R, Cremonini N, Crescenzi A, Davi MV, D'Elia AV, Faggiano A, Pizzolitto S, Versari A, Zini M, Rindi G, Oberg K. Italian Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AME) position statement: a stepwise clinical approach to the diagnosis of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms. *J Endocrinol Invest* 2014;37:875-909.
6. Vinik AI, Chaya C. Clinical presentation and diagnosis of neuroendocrine tumors. *Hematol Oncol Clin N Am* 2016;30:21-48.
7. Modlin IM, Champaneria MC, Bornschein J, Kidd M. Evolution of the Diffuse Neuroendocrine System - Clear Cells and Cloudy Origins. *Neuroendocrinology* 2006;84:69-82.
8. Oberg K. Circulating biomarkers in gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2011;18 Suppl 1:S17-25.
9. Kanakis G, Kaltsas G. Biochemical markers for gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours (GEP-NETs). *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 2012;26:791-802.
10. Bosman FT, Carneiro F. World Health Organization Classification of Tumours, Pathology and Genetics of Tumours of the Digestive System. Lyon: IARC Press 2010.
11. Kloppel G, Couvelard A, Perren A, Komminoth P, McNicol AM, Nilsson O, Scarpa A, Scoazec JY, Wiedenmann B, Papotti M, Rindi G, Plockinger U, and all other Mallorca Consensus Conference participants. ENETS Consensus Guidelines for the Standards of Care in Neuroendocrine Tumors: Towards a Standardized Approach to the Diagnosis of Gastroenteropancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors and Their Prognostic Stratification. *Neuroendocrinology* 2009;90:162-6.
12. Oberg K, Modlin IM, De Herder W, Pavel M, Klimstra D, Frilling A, Metz DC, Heaney A, Kwekkeboom D, Strosberg J, Meyer T, Moss SF, Washington K, Wolin E, Liu E, Gol-denring J. Consensus on biomarkers for neuroendocrine tumour disease. *Lancet Oncol* 2015;16:435-46.
13. Lawrence B, Gustafsson BI, Kidd M, Pavel M, Svejda B, Modlin IM. The clinical relevance of chromogranin A as a biomarker for gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am* 2011;40:111-34.
14. Modlin IM, Gustafsson BI, Moss SF, Pavel M, Tsolakis AV, Kidd M. Chromogranin A-biological function and clinical utility in neuro endocrine tumor disease. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2010;17:2427-43.
15. Giusti M, Sidoti M, Augeri C, Rabitti C, Minuto F. Effect of short-term treatment with low dosages of the proton-pump inhibitor omeprazole on serum chromogranin A levels in man. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2004;150:299-303.
16. Yang X, Yang Y, Li Z, Cheng C, Yang T, Wang C, Liu L, Liu S. Diagnostic value of circulating chromogranin a for neuroendocrine tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0124884.
17. Zatelli MC, Torta M, Leon A, Ambrosio MR, Gion M, Tomassetti P, De Braud F, Delle Fave G, Dogliotti L, degli Uberti EC; Italian CromaNet Working Group. Chromogranin A as a marker of neuroendocrine neoplasia: an Italian Multicenter Study. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2007;14:473-82.
18. Bajetta E, Ferrari L, Martinetti A, Celio L, Procopio G, Artale S, Zilembo N, Di Bartolomeo M, Seregini E, Bombardieri E. Chromogranin A, neuron specific enolase, carcinoembryonic antigen, and hydroxyindole acetic acid evaluation in patients with neuroendocrine tumors. *Cancer* 1999;86:858-65.
19. Janson ET, Holmberg L, Stridsberg M, Eriksson B, Theodorsson E, Wilander E, Oberg K. Carcinoid tumors: analysis of prognostic factors and survival in 301 patients from a referral center. *Ann Oncol* 1997;8:685-90.
20. Sherman SK, Maxwell JE, O'Dorisio MS, O'Dorisio TM, Howe JR. Pancreastatin predicts survival in neuroendocrine tumors. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2014;21:2971-80.
21. Frank R, Hargreaves R. Clinical biomarkers in drug discovery and development. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2003;2:566-80.
22. Jensen RT, Cadiot G, Brandi ML, de Herder WW, Kaltsas G, Komminoth P, Scoazec JY, Salazar R, Sauvanet A, Kianmanesh R; Barcelona Consensus Conference participants. ENETS Consensus Guidelines for the Management of Patient with Digestive Neuroendocrine Neoplasms: Functional Pancreatic Endocrine Tumor Syndromes. *Neuroendocrinology* 2012;95:98-119.
23. Cryer PE, Axelrod L, Grossman AB, Heller SR, Montori VM, Seaquist ER, Service FJ; Endocrine Society. Evaluation and management of adult hypoglycemic disorders: an Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2009;94:709-28.
24. Kon T, Wada R, Suzuki R, Nakayama Y, Ebina Y, Yagihashi S. VIP and calcitonin-producing pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor with watery diarrhea: clinicopathological features and the effect of somatostatin analogue. *JOP* 2012;13:226-30.
25. Falconi M, Eriksson B, Kaltsas G, Bartsch DK, Capdevila J, Caplin M, Kos-Kudla B, Kwekkeboom D, Rindi G, Klöppel G, Reed N, Kianmanesh R, Jensen RT; all other Vienna Consensus Conference participants. Consensus Guidelines Update for the Management of Functional p-NETs (F-p-NETs) and Non-Functional p-NETs (NF-p-NETs). *Neuroendocrinology* 2016;103:153-71.
26. Boscaro M, Arnaldi G. Approach to the Patient with Possible Cushing's Syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2009;94:3121-31.
27. Grohé C Berardi R, Burst V. Hyponatraemia-SIADH in lung cancer diagnostic and treatment algorithms. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2015;96:1-8.
28. Barakat MT, Meeran K, Bloom SR. Neuroendocrine tumours. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2004;11:1-18.
29. Kunz PL, Reidy-Lagunes D, Anthony LB, Bertino EM, Brendtro K, Chan JA, Chen H, Jensen RT, Kim MK, Klimstra DS, Kulke MH,

- Liu EH, Metz DC, Phan AT, Sippel RS, Strosberg JR, Yao JC; North American Neuroendocrine Tumor Society. Consensus guidelines for the management and treatment of neuroendocrine tumors. *Pancreas* 2013;42:557-77.
30. Jilesen AP, Busch OR, van Gulik TM, Gouma DJ, Nieveen van Dijkum EJ. Standard pre- and postoperative determination of chromogranin a in resectable non-functioning pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors--diagnostic accuracy: NF-pNET and low tumor burden. *Dig Surg* 2014;31:407-14.
 31. O'Toole D, Grossman A, Gross D, Delle Fave G, Barkmanova J, O'Connor J, Pape UF, Plöckinger U and all other Mallorca Consensus Conference participants. ENETS Consensus Guidelines for the Standards of Care in Neuroendocrine Tumors: Biochemical Markers. *Neuroendocrinology* 2009;90:194-202.
 32. Kulke MH, Shah MH, Benson AB 3rd, Bergsland E, Berlin JD, Blaszkowsky LS, Emerson L, Engstrom PF, Fanta P, Giordano T, Goldner WS, Halfdanarson TR, Heslin MJ, Kan-deel F, Kunz PL, Kuvshinov BW 2nd, Lieu C, Moley JF, Munene G, Pillarisetty VG, Saltz L, Sosa JA, Strosberg JR, Vauthey JN, Wolfgang C, Yao JC, Burns J, Freedman-Cass D; National comprehensive cancer network. Neuroendocrine tumors, version 1.2015. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 2015;13:78-108.
 33. Ramage JK, Ahmed A, Ardill J, Bax N, Breen DJ, Caplin ME, Corrie P, Davar J, Davies AH, Lewington V, Meyer T, Newell-Price J, Poston G, Reed N, Rockall A, Steward W, Thakker RV, Toubanakis C, Valle J, Verbeke C, Grossman AB. Guidelines for the management of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine (including carcinoid) tumours (NETs). *Gut* 2012;61:6-32.
 34. Yao JC, Pavel M, Phan AT, Kulke MH, Hoosen S, St. Peter J, Cherfi A, Öberg KE. Chromogranin A and neuron-specific enolase as prognostic markers in patients with advanced pNET treated with everolimus. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2011;96:3741-9.
 35. van Adrichem RC, Kamp K, Vandamme T, Peeters M, Feelders RA, de Herder WW. Serum neuron-specific enolase level is an independent predictor of overall survival in patients with gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. *Ann Oncol* 2015; 27:746-7.
 36. Kulke MH, Siu LL, Tepper JE, Fisher G, Jaffe D, Haller DG, Ellis LM, Benedetti JK, Bergsland EK, Hobday TJ, Van Cutsem E, Pingpank J, Oberg K, Cohen SJ, Posner MC, Yao JC. Future directions in the treatment of neuroendocrine tumors: consensus report of the National Cancer Institute Neuroendocrine Tumor Clinical Trials Planning Meeting. *J Clin Oncol* 2011;29:934-43.
 37. Vinik AI, Woltering EA, Warner RP, Caplin M, O'Dorisio TM, Wiseman GA, Coppola D, Go WLW. NANETS consensus guidelines for the diagnosis of neuroendocrine tumor. *Pancreas* 2010;39:713-34.
 38. Phan AT, Oberg K, Choi J, Harrison LH Jr, Hassan MM, Strosberg JR, Krenning EP, Ko-cha W, Woltering EA, Maples WJ. NANETS consensus guideline for the diagnosis and management of neuroendocrine tumors: well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumors of the thorax (includes lung and thymus). *Pancreas* 2010;39:784-98.
 39. Boudreaux JP, Klimstra DS, Hassan MM, Woltering EA, Jensen RT, Goldsmith SJ, Nutting CDO, Bushnell DL, Caplin ME, Yao JC. The NANETS Consensus Guideline for the diagnosis and management of neuroendocrine tumors: well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumors of the Jejunum, Ileum, Appendix, and Cecum. *Pancreas* 2010;39:753-66.
 40. Kulke MH, Anthony LB, Bushnell DL, de Herder WW, Goldsmith SJ, Klimstra DS, Marx SJ, Pasiaka JL, Pommier RF, Yao JC, Jensen RT. NANETS treatment guidelines: well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumors of the stomach and pancreas. *Pancreas* 2010;39:735-52.
 41. Öberg K, Knigge U, Kwekkeboom D, Perren A on behalf of the ESMO Guidelines Working Group. Neuroendocrine gastroentero-pancreatic tumors: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Annals Oncol* 2012;23:124-30.
 42. Öberg K, Hellman P, Ferolla P, Papotti M; ESMO Guidelines Working Group. Neuroendocrine bronchial and thymic tumors: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 2012;23:120-3.
 43. Caplin ME, Baudin E, Ferolla P, Filosso P, Garcia-Yuste M, Lim E, Oberg K, Pelosi G, Perren A, Rossi RE, Travis WD; ENETS consensus conference participants. Pulmonary neuroendocrine (carcinoid) tumors: European Neuroendocrine Tumor Society expert consensus and recommendations for best practice for typical and atypical pulmonary carcinoids. *Ann Oncol* 2015;26:1604-20.
 44. Niederle B, Pape UF, Costa F, Gross D, Kelestimir F, Knigge U, Öberg K, Pavel M, Perren A, Toumpanakis C, O'Connor J, O'Toole D, Krenning E, Reed N, Kianmanesh R; all other Vienna Consensus Conference participants. ENETS Consensus Guidelines Update for Neuroendocrine Neoplasm of the Jejunum and Ileum. *Neuroendocrinology* 2016;103:125-38.
 45. Frilling A, Modlin IM, Kidd M, Russell C, Breitenstein S, Salem R, Kwekkeboom D, Lau WY, Klersy C, Vilgrain V, Davidson B, Siegler M, Caplin M, Solcia E, Schilsky R; Working Group on Neuroendocrine Liver Metastases. Recommendations for management of patients with neuroendocrine liver metastases. *Lancet Oncol* 2014;15:8-21.
 46. Berna MJ, Hoffmann KM, Serrano J, Gibril F, Jensen RT. Serum gastrin in Zollinger-Ellison syndrome: I. Prospective study of fasting serum gastrin in 309 patients from the National Institutes of Health and comparison with 2229 cases from the literature. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2006;85:295-330.
 47. Massironi S, Conte D, Sciola V, Spampatti MP, Ciafardini C, Valenti L, Rossi RE, Peracchi M. Plasma chromogranin A response to octreotide test: prognostic value for clinical outcome in endocrine digestive tumors. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010;105:2072-8.
 48. Massironi S, Rossi RE, Casazza G, Conte D, Ciafardini C, Galeazzi M, Peracchi M. Chromogranin A in diagnosing and monitoring patients with gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms: a large series from a single institution. *Neuroendocrinology* 2014;100:240-9.
 49. Rossi RE, Garcia-Hernandez J, Meyer T, Thirlwell C, Watkins J, Guy Martin N, Caplin ME, Toumpanakis C. Chromogranin A as a predictor of radiological disease progression in neuroendocrine tumours. *Ann Transl Med* 2015;3:118.
 50. Jensen EH, Kvols L, McLoughlin JM, Lewis JM, Alvarado MD, Yeatman T, Malafa M, Shibata D. Biomarkers predict outcomes following cytoreductive surgery for hepatic metastases from functional carcinoid tumors. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2007;14:780-5.
 51. Kwekkeboom DJ, Teunissen JJ, Bakker WH, Kooij PP, de Herder WW, Feelders RA, van Eijck CH, Esser JP, Kam BL, Krenning EP. Radiolabeled somatostatin analog [¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTA0, Tyr3]octreotate in patients with endocrine gastroenteropancreatic tumors. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:2754-62.
 52. Olausson M, Friman S, Herlenius G, Cahlin C, Nilsson O, Jansson S, Wängberg B, Ahl-man H. Orthotopic liver or multivisceral transplantation as treatment of metastatic neuroendocrine tumors. *Liver Transpl* 2007;13:327-33.
 53. Modlin IM, Drozdov I, Alaimo D, Callahan S, Teixeira N, Bodei L, Kidd M. A multianalyte PCR blood test outperforms single analyte ELISAs (chromogranin A, pancreastatin, neurokinin A) for neuroendocrine tumor detection. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2014;21:615-28.
 54. Modlin IM, Aslanian H, Bodei L, Drozdov I, Kidd M. A PCR blood test outperforms chromogranin A in carcinoid detection and is unaffected by proton pump inhibitors. *Endocr Connect* 2014;3:215-23.
 55. Khan MS, Kirkwood A, Tsigani T, Garcia-Hernandez J, Hartley JA, Caplin ME, Meyer T. Circulating tumor cells as prognostic markers in neuroendocrine tumors. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:365-72.
 56. Khan MS, Kirkwood AA, Tsigani T, Lowe H, Goldstein R, Hartley JA, Caplin ME, Meyer T. Early changes in circulating tumor cells are associated with response and survival following treatment of metastatic neuroendocrine neoplasms. *Clin Cancer Res* 2016;22:79-85.
 57. Modlin IM, Moss SF, Chung DC, Jensen RT, Snyderwine E. Priorities for improving the management of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2008;100:1282-9.