Sequential treatment with doxorubicin and zoledronic acid has no additive effects in an aggressive model of established bone metastases
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Abstract
Aim: Bisphosphonates are used as an adjuvant treatment in breast cancer bone metastasis patients, often simultaneously with chemotherapeutic agents. Interestingly, their sequential combination has been reported to have synergistic anti-tumor effects on bone metastases in preclinical models. We studied the effects of doxorubicin (DOX) and zoledronic acid (ZOL) and their combination on established bone metastases in the MDA-MB-231(SA) GFP bone metastasis model.

Methods: Tumor burden and osteolytic bone lesions were quantitated by fluorescence imaging and radiography, respectively. The mice were randomized in four groups receiving vehicle, DOX, ZOL or both DOX and ZOL in a sequential combination on day 14. Serum marker of osteoclast number was followed weekly, and blood ionized calcium was measured at sacrifice. Bone and tumor area, apoptosis and proliferation of tumor cells were analyzed from histological sections.

Results: ZOL prevented hypercalcemia and osteolytic lesion progression, whereas DOX induced apoptosis in the MDA-MB-231(SA)GFP cells. However, neither of the treatments alone nor in sequential combination were able to reduce tumor burden in bone. Furthermore, no additive effects on tumor cell apoptosis were observed in the
Conclusion: No additive effects in combination of DOX and ZOL were observed in this aggressive model of breast cancer bone metastasis.
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INTRODUCTION

Despite major advances in the treatment of primary breast cancer within the past decades, treatment of advanced breast cancer with bone metastases is still palliative in nature, as no curative treatments are available. Inhibitors of bone resorption, such as zoledronic acid (ZOL) or denosumab are used as adjuvant treatment in breast cancer patients with bone metastases, often simultaneously with chemotherapeutic agents such as doxorubicin[1,2]. In these patients bisphosphonates decrease bone pain and skeletal-related events, but do not increase the overall survival[3]. However, before the formation of bone metastases, bisphosphonate treatment in combination with standard chemotherapy may prevent the occurrence of bone metastases in postmenopausal, early stage breast cancer patients[4]. Many preclinical studies in established experimental breast cancer bone metastasis models have reported direct anti-tumor effects of bisphosphonates alone, such as increase of apoptosis in intraosseous tumors and improved survival[5-7], but we have reported no effects on survival in a model of established bone metastases[8].

Bisphosphonates seek and incorporate into the hydroxyapatite in bone matrix. Therefore, it has remained an unanswered question how tumor cells in soft tissues can be exposed to effective concentrations of bisphosphonates. It is also possible that what was believed to be a direct anti-tumor effect is indeed, indirect. It has been shown that macrophages take up bisphosphonates in cytotoxic quantities, and tumor growth in soft tissues can be inhibited due to the lack of tumor-associated macrophages[8,9].

Some exploratory preclinical studies investigating the effects of combinations of aminobisphosphonates and chemotherapeutic agents have suggested additional benefits. Sequential treatment with doxorubicin (DOX) followed by a single dose of ZOL 24 h later was reported to decrease tumor volume and proliferation and to increase apoptosis as well as mouse survival with established bone metastases with MDA-MB231/BO2 breast cancer cells[11]. In addition, a single cycle of sequential administration of DOX and ZOL in a very early phase, 2 days after cancer cell inoculation, was reported to decrease intraosseous tumor area in an intracardiac mouse model using MDA-MB231 cells with green fluorescent protein (GFP)[12]. Three additional studies by the same group with MDA-MB436 breast cancer cells showed synergistic effects on tumor volume reduction with the weekly administration of DOX and ZOL in combination, both on bone metastasis and primary tumor[13-15]. In these studies, the combination treatment reduced the intraosseous tumor area and increased survival compared to DOX or ZOL monotherapy. The mode-of-action of the combination is not understood, but increased uptake of ZOL by tumor cells was observed with the sequential combination[14]. Because of the varying results of anti-tumor effects of bisphosphonates, we felt a need to investigate these effects in a fairly aggressive and widely used model of breast cancer bone metastases, utilizing the human MDA-MB231(SA) GFP cells in nude mice. The treatment schedule was otherwise the same as used by Ottewell et al.[13], but the treatment was started 3 days earlier to accommodate the more aggressive model.

METHODS

Compounds and cell line

DOX (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) was dissolved in saline (0.9% NaCl, Baxter, Deerfield, IL, USA) to concentration of 0.5 mg/mL. ZOL (Zometa®, Novartis Pharma GmbH, Basel, Switzerland) infusion
concentrate (0.8 mg/mL) was diluted in saline to obtain the concentration of 0.02 mg/mL. Saline was used as a vehicle control. MDA-MB231(SA)GFP\(^8\) were authenticated using short tandem repeat analysis (GenePrint10 system, Promega, Madison, WI, USA) at Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland (FIMM, Helsinki, Finland).

**In vivo study**

The animal experiment was approved by the Animal Experiment Board of Finland and performed according to European Union directive 2010/63/EU. Five-week-old female athymic nude mice (Hsd: Athymic nude-nu, Harlan Laboratories B.V./Envigo, Horst, The Netherlands) were used. The mice were housed in groups of five in Scantainer\(^2\) (Scanbur, Karlsunde, Denmark) and fed an autofluorescence-reducing chlorophyll-free purified diet (AIN-76A, Special Diets Services, Witham, UK). The animals were weighed three times per week and daily during the last five days. On day 0, \(10^5\) MDA-MB231(SA)GFP breast cancer cells were inoculated into the left cardiac ventricle of the animals, as described previously\(^{16,17}\). The mice were anesthetized with 4 mg/kg xylazine (Rompun Vet 20 mg/mL, Bayer Animal Health GmbH, Leverkusen, Germany) and 75 mg/kg ketamine (Ketalar 10 mg/mL, Pfizer, NY, USA) intramuscularly (im) for inoculations and imaging procedures. Buprenorphine (Temgesic, Invidior UK Ltd, Slough, UK) was used as analgesic at 0.1 mg/kg subcutaneously (s.c.) and was administered once before the intracardiac inoculations and twice a day during the last five days of the study. The mice were randomized into four treatment groups (\(n = 8\)) on day 14 based on tumor growth and osteolytic lesion size as well as body weight. Tumor growth and osteolytic lesion size were measured by fluorescence imaging and X-ray analysis, respectively, on study day 14 and at sacrifice. The mice were sacrificed on day 25, except the 2-3 mice per group, which were sacrificed earlier due to weight loss over 20% or paraplegia. All the mice were included in the endpoint analyses. The mean day of sacrifice was very similar in all groups ranging from 24.13 in the DOX group to 24.63 in the ZOL group.

The control group received vehicle 5 mL/kg s.c. on day 15 and intraperitoneally (i.p.) on days 15 and 22. The DOX group received half of the maximal tolerated dose, DOX 2.5 mg/kg i.p. on days 15 and 22. The ZOL group received a single dose of ZOL 0.1 mg/kg s.c. on day 15. The DOX + ZOL group received DOX (2.5 mg/kg i.p.) on days 15 and 22 and a single dose of ZOL (0.1 mg/kg s.c.) 24 h after the first dose of DOX, i.e, on day 16 (schedule of the combination group dosing is shown in Figure 1).

Blood samples were collected from saphenous vein to 100 \(\mu\)L Microvette serum tubes (Sarstedt AG&Co, Nümbrecht, Germany), on days 1, 9, 17 and 24. Terminal blood samples were obtained by cardiac puncture. Five intact animals of the same strain and age were sacrificed on day 25 in order to obtain the baseline level for blood Ca\(^++\) (ionized calcium). Femur and tibia were collected for histomorphometric analyses.

**Fluorescence imaging**

Metastatic growth of disseminated breast cancer cells was followed by fluorescence imaging using the LT 9 GFP imaging system LT-MACIMSYSPLUSC (Lightools Research, Encinitas, CA, USA) on days 10, 14 (randomization), and after sacrifice. Autofluorescence was reduced using the chlorophyll-free diet. The animals were anesthetized and imaged in both the ventral and dorsal position. The imaging settings (exposure 2.34 s, gain 6.7 and offset 238) were the same for all animals. The area of fluorescence was determined from the images using MetaMorph\(^\text{™}\) software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA).

**Radiography**

Development of bone lesions was monitored by radiography on days 10, 14 (randomization), and prior to sacrifice. The animals were anesthetized and radiographed (31 kV, 10 s, 2x magnification) in a prone position using the faxitron specimen radiographic system MX-20 DC-2 and Specimen Radiography Beta 2.0.0 software (both from Faxitron Biopetics, LLC, Tucson, AZ, USA). Lesion number and area were determined in tibias and femurs and the resulting data were analyzed using MetaMorph\(^\text{™}\) software.
Histomorphometric analyses
After fixation in 10% neutral buffered formalin and decalcification in 10% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid for two weeks, the bone samples were processed to paraffin blocks. Four µm thick midsagittal sections were obtained from the left hind limb (tibia and femur) and stained with hematoxylin, eosin, Orange G and phloxine B (HE + Orange G)\(^1\), tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP)\(^6\), Ki-67 (primary antibody clone SP6, Nordic BioSite AB, Täby, Sweden) and terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) methods (\textit{in situ} cell death detection kit, AP\(^{TM}\), Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Basel, Switzerland). Tumor area as well as trabecular and cortical bone areas were analyzed from the HE + Orange G stained slides as follows: first, micrographs were taken with a Leica DM4000 B Research Microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany), then brightness and contrast were optimized using the same settings for all images. Next, the tumor area was quantitated by drawing and bone area was quantitated by color thresholding using MetaMorph\(^{TM}\) software as described\(^8\). Areas expanding 5 mm from the articular surfaces of femur and tibia were analyzed. From a TRAP stained section, the number of osteoclasts at the tumor-bone interface in distal femur and proximal tibia was counted as described\(^18\), the whole section was analyzed using the MetaMorph\(^{TM}\) software. Apoptotic cells in tumor were manually counted as TUNEL stained cells with apoptotic morphology as described\(^6\). The whole tumor area was analyzed using a 20× objective Leica DM4000 B Research Microscope.

Biomarker analyses
Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration (corrected to pH 7.4 by the internal algorithm of the instrument) in whole blood was determined using a blood gas analyzer (ABL835 Flex blood gas analyzer, Radiometer Medical ApS, Bronshoj, Denmark) immediately after terminal bleeding. A comparison to the normal level of ionized calcium was performed using the values obtained from five intact animals of the same strain, sex and age. Serum tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase 5b (TRACP 5b) activity as a marker of osteoclast number was measured in serum samples obtained on days 1, 9, 17 and 24 using the MouseTRAP kit (IDS, Boldon, UK).

Statistical analyses
Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS (version 14.0). All statistical analyses were performed as two-sided tests and \(P < 0.05\) was considered statistically significant. The normality of residuals and homogeneity of variances were examined using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Levene’s tests, respectively. If these assumptions were fulfilled as such or after appropriate transformation, one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett \(t\)-test was used. If the assumptions were not fulfilled even after transformation, the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Mann-Whitney \(U\)-test was used. Fischer Exact test was used for comparison of proportions.

RESULTS
Effects of DOX and ZOL on body weight and tumor characteristics
Body weight of the mice decreased during the last five days of the study due to the disease progression. Although the weight loss was more pronounced in the DOX treated groups, statistically significant differences compared to vehicle group in the body weight change from day 0 were not observed [Figure 2B].
Anti-tumor effects of DOX, ZOL, and their sequential combination were studied by (1) measuring whole body tumor burden by fluorescence imaging; and (2) tumor area in bone by histomorphometry [Figures 2C and 3D, respectively]. According to fluorescence imaging at sacrifice, none of the treatments affected the...
whole body tumor burden [Figure 2C]. Furthermore, there was no difference in the numbers of metastases to bone or soft tissue sites between the treatment groups, based on fluorescence imaging [Table 1].

In accordance to these results, none of the treatments decreased the tumor area in bone analyzed from histological sections [Figure 3D]. All sections except sections from one animal in the DOX + ZOL group, contained tumor tissue. In many sections the tumor filled the entire intraosseous area. A thin layer of tumor on the periosteal surface resulting from disruption of the cortical envelope was sometimes observed. The anti-tumor effects were further examined by counting the number of apoptotic tumor cells and the proliferation index in histological samples [Figure 3E and F]. Even though the intraosseus tumor area was not affected, the number of apoptotic cancer cells analyzed by TUNEL staining was increased in the DOX and DOX + ZOL groups [Figure 3E]. However, the sequential combination of DOX and ZOL did not increase the amount of apoptotic cells compared to DOX alone.

### Effects of DOX and ZOL on bone

The effects of DOX and ZOL on bone were studied by measuring osteolytic lesion areas observed in X-ray images and trabecular bone area in histological sections. All mice in the control and DOX groups, 12.5% of the mice in the ZOL group, and 42.9% of the mice in the DOX + ZOL group had osteolytic lesions at sacrifice [Figure 4A]. The proportion of mice with osteolytic lesions was significantly lower in both groups treated with ZOL compared to the control group ($P < 0.001$ for ZOL and $P = 0.026$ for DOX + ZOL). Osteolytic lesion area measured from X-ray images was radically lower in the ZOL and DOX + ZOL groups compared to the control group [Figure 4B]. ZOL also increased trabecular bone area by a factor of 2 analyzed by histomorphometry and DOX + ZOL by a factor of 1.5 compared to control. However, in the DOX + ZOL group statistically significant difference to the control was not reached [Figure 4C].

### Effects of DOX and ZOL on TRACP 5b and Ca$^{2+}$ concentration in the blood

Because breast cancer patients with bone metastases often have hypercalcemia and increased serum TRACP 5b has also consistently been reported in these patients, we measured Ca$^{2+}$ in blood and serum TRACP 5b from the mice. In addition, osteoclasts were counted at tumor-bone interface of TRAP-stained sections. We found that ZOL alone rapidly decreased serum TRACP 5b values as early as two days after dosing, on day 17. Both the ZOL and DOX + ZOL groups showed decreased TRACP 5b on day 24 compared to the control group [Figure 5B]. The number of osteoclasts was 25%-50% lower at the tumor-bone interface in the ZOL and DOX + ZOL treated groups compared to the vehicle group [Figure 5C], but the differences were not statistically significant ($P = 0.595$ and 0.142, respectively). Both groups also had lower blood Ca$^{2+}$ compared to the control group (sd). The blood Ca$^{2+}$ in ZOL and DOX + ZOL groups was similar to the baseline Ca$^{2+}$ measured from five healthy animals of same age, sex and strain (1.268 mmol/L, SD 0.0205), whereas the control group had higher blood Ca$^{2+}$ compared to the healthy animals ($P = 0.038$). In the DOX group 4/8 animals were above the range of healthy mice (> 1.29 mmol/L), but the group average did not differ from the control group.
DISCUSSION

Direct anti-tumor effects of bisphosphonates on established tumors in bone have been reported in many preclinical studies\(^{5-7}\). Decrease in tumor growth has been observed also in a model with defective osteoclasts\(^{[9]}\). However, findings have not been positive in all models, and clear clinical proof has been lacking. Additive or synergistic effects when combined with chemotherapeutic agents observed in some preclinical models of bone metastases as well as primary tumors have further fueled the interest in the anti-tumor actions of bisphosphonates. Several clinical studies with combination of neoadjuvant
chemotherapeutic agent and ZOL have been reported. A retrospective analysis of sequential treatment showed benefit in a patient subgroup of postmenopausal women with estrogen receptor negative breast cancer [20]. Subsequent randomized controlled trials have suggested benefit, but failed to show statistically significant results [21-23]. This study aimed to examine whether a sequential combination of DOX and single dose ZOL has synergistic effects in the widely used MDA-MB-231(SA) bone metastasis model.

Aminobisphosphonates, such as ZOL, inhibit the mevalonate pathway and thus protein prenylation, resulting in inhibition of many subsequent cellular processes and induction of apoptosis in in vitro studies, but the results of in vivo studies are less conclusive [24]. ZOL alone did not affect tumor burden, proliferation or apoptosis, which is in line with previous findings, including models using MDA-MB231 cells or its sublines [5,8,11,12]. It is also in line with clinical data of ZOL not increasing overall survival in patients with...
established bone metastases. DOX on the other hand did increase the number of apoptotic cells, as expected. We did not observe any other significant effects with DOX on tumor growth or proliferation index, which may have been due to a suboptimal dose of DOX. Higher doses of DOX are able to decrease tumor burden in the same model. Ottewell et al. used a suboptimal dose of DOX and observed synergistic effects on tumor volume and number of apoptotic cells in bone. They did not observe any effects of DOX alone on apoptosis by caspase-3 staining or on tumor burden in the intravenous MDA-

Figure 5. Osteoclasts and blood Ca\(^{2+}\). Osteoclasts were counted from TRAP stained sections and serum TRACP 5b activity was measured at three time points (before inoculation of cells, days 17 and 24) as a systemic marker of osteoclast number. Blood Ca\(^{2+}\) was measured from terminal blood samples for detection of hypercalcemia. A: Representative images of the TRAP staining; B: statistically significant changes were not observed in the number of osteoclasts at tumor-bone interface; C: serum TRACP 5b was decreased in the ZOL group already on day 17 and in the ZOL and DOX + ZOL groups on day 24 compared to the control group; D: hypercalcemia was prevented in the ZOL and DOX + ZOL groups. Data are expressed as mean ± SD, n = 7-8 animals. Scale bars 50 μm, arrows osteoclasts. *Significantly different from control group (P < 0.05); ***significantly different from control group (P < 0.001); NS: non-significant; TRAP: tartrate resistant acid phosphatase; TRACP 5b: tartrate resistant acid phosphatase 5b; DOX: doxorubicin; ZOL: zoledronic acid.
MB231BO2 or intracardiac MDA-MB231 models. In contrast, we observed clear induction of apoptosis with DOX alone, but we did not observe any potentiation of apoptosis induction with the sequential combination. Furthermore, the sequential DOX + ZOL treatment did not decrease tumor area in our study, despite of increased apoptosis of cancer cells induced by DOX. The absence of any observed decrease in the GFP positive area representing total tumor burden supports the histological data.

The metastatic behavior between cell sublines can be strikingly different. Sublines of the MDA-MB231 cells derived through in vivo selection for bone metastases behaved differently, some formed metastases in adrenal glands and others in bone, some were fast with a high frequency and others were slow with a low frequency of metastases. However, the bone or adrenal metastatic sublines of the MDA-MB231 had similar growth rate when inoculated subcutaneously demonstrating that the cells were not generally more aggressive. Importantly, the bone metastatic subline cells exclusively induced osteoclast mobilization and recruitment. The MDA-MB231(SA) model is more aggressive and progresses faster than the MDA-MB231BO2 model, as the median survival time is 25 days in the former and 60 days in the latter, with the same number of inoculated cells. However, the different inoculation route is likely to play a role in the disease progression. It is possible that more cells are seeded to the bone marrow through the intracardiac route, than through the intravenous route, where the cells have to pass through the lung capillaries first. The MDA-MB231(SA) cells have been found to express, e.g., more serine and interleukin-11 compared to the parental MDA-MB231 cells, whereas the MDA-MB231BO2 cells were found to have decreased expression of the miRNA30 family regulating several osteomimicry genes, compared to the parental cells. Although the history of the two sublines is very different, the BO2 subline being derived through in vivo selection and the cells from San Antonio having occurred spontaneously, a common finding for both MDA-MB231(SA) and -BO2 sublines compared to the parental line is the strong downregulation of miRNAs 200a and 429. These miRNAs are involved in the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. Positive effects on tumor growth and survival have also been observed in a model of MDA-MB436 intratibial tumors using intensive, weekly sequential administration of DOX + ZOL. The MDA-MB436 cells are triple negative like MDA-MB231 cells, and both represent the claudin-low subtype.

As expected, ZOL efficiently prevented the progression of osteolytic lesions as both monotherapy and in combination with DOX. However, trabecular bone area was not statistically significantly higher in the DOX + ZOL group compared to the control group. The lesions visible in X-ray images present mainly lesions in cortical bone, whereas the reduction of trabecular bone is typically not so clearly observed in the X-ray images. This could partly explain the difference in radiography and histological data. Furthermore, a lesion has lost 50% of the bone volume by the time it is visible with planar X-ray imaging. MicroCT analysis would provide volumetric information of both cortical and trabecular compartments. Unfortunately, this imaging modality was not available for this study. Furthermore, there were no statistically significant differences in the numbers of osteoclasts at the tumor-bone interface between the groups. The variation of osteoclast number measurement was high, affected by the variable amount of measurable tumor-bone interface in the samples. The reason for not observing significant reduction in numbers of osteoclasts at the tumor-bone interface might be that the ZOL-induced reduction in the number of osteoclasts has been reported to be transient, observed three days after ZOL dosing to mice, but non-observable already five days after ZOL administration due to the formation of new osteoclasts. Consistently, serum TRACP 5b was decreased three days post-dosing compared to the control group. Serum TRACP 5b remained low at later time points in the groups treated with ZOL, suggesting that the osteoclasts may have been smaller, or that the number of osteoclasts along normal bone surface at tumor-free areas was lower. Indeed, Brown et al. have reported decreased number of osteoclasts in tumor-free bone but not in tumor-bearing bone 13 days after administration of ZOL. Very importantly, however, the function of the osteoclasts at the tumor-bone interface was inhibited, as shown by the decreased osteolytic lesion area in the ZOL and DOX + ZOL groups, the increased trabecular bone area in the ZOL group, and the prevention of hypercalcemia in the ZOL and DOX + ZOL groups.
High variation was a problem in this study and may have masked treatment effects on osteoclast number. Larger groups and more sensitive methods, such as µCT and luciferase labelled cells could have helped in reducing the variation. Intraosseous tumor area can only be analyzed by histology, which was restricted to analyzing the midsagittal sections in order to standardize the analysis. However, analyzing several levels could have reduced the variation. In order to further clarify the reasons underlying the insensitivity of the MDA-MB231(SA) tumors in bone to the combination treatment, as well as the unresponsive portion of patient population, the ZOL uptake of the MDA-MB231(SA) cells after DOX administration requires investigation. Longer treatment schedules with repeated cycles of sequential combinations would better present the clinical situation, but such studies are unfortunately not possible in this model due to the time frame of disease progression. Furthermore, the strong bone forming ability of the young, fast growing mice used in this model presents a major difference to the elderly breast cancer patients. New bone formed after the ZOL administration is not protected by ZOL, and is thus vulnerable to cancer induced degradation. This could have mitigated the effects of ZOL in this study, but because the treatment period is so short, the amount of new bone formed in that time period is not likely to influence the results.

In summary, we examined the anti-tumor effects of a single dose of ZOL alone and in sequential combination with DOX on established bone metastases in the intracardiac MDA-MB231(SA) model. DOX induced apoptosis in the tumor cells and ZOL prevented tumor-induced bone destruction. However, anti-tumor effects of ZOL were not observed, nor additive or synergistic effects of the sequential combination of DOX and ZOL.
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