Review



**Open Access** 

# The interplay between DNA topoisomerase $2\alpha$ post-

## Christophe Lotz<sup>1</sup>, Valérie Lamour<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Integrative Structural Biology Department, IGBMC, Université de Strasbourg, CNRS UMR 7104, INSERM U1258, Illkirch 67404, France.

translational modifications and drug resistance

<sup>2</sup>Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Strasbourg 67000 France.

**Correspondence to**: Dr. Valérie Lamour, Integrative Structural Biology Department, IGBMC, 1 rue Laurent Fries, Illkirch Cedex 67404, France. E-mail: lamourv@igbmc.fr

How to cite this article: Lotz C, Lamour V. The interplay between DNA topoisomerase  $2\alpha$  post-translational modifications and drug resistance. *Cancer Drug Resist* 2020;3:149-60. http://dx.doi.org/10.20517/cdr.2019.114

Received: 17 Dec 2019 First Decision: 13 Jan 2020 Revised: 19 Jan 2020 Accepted: 5 Feb 2020 Available online: 27 Feb 2020

Science Editor: Robert C.A.M. van Waardenburg Copy Editor: Jing-Wen Zhang Production Editor: Jing Yu

## Abstract

The type 2 DNA topoisomerases (Top2) are conserved enzymes and biomarkers for cell proliferation. The catalytic activities of the human isoform Top2 $\alpha$  are essential for the regulation of DNA topology during DNA replication, transcription, and chromosome segregation. Top2 $\alpha$  is a prominent target for anti-cancer drugs and is highly regulated by post-translational modifications (PTM). Despite an increasing number of proteomic studies, the extent of PTM in cancer cells and its importance in drug response remains largely uncharacterized. In this review, we highlight the different modifications affecting the human Top2 $\alpha$  in healthy and cancer cells, taking advantage of the structure-function information accumulated in the past decades. We also overview the regulation of Top2 $\alpha$  by PTM, the level of PTM in cancer cells, and the resistance to therapeutic compounds targeting the Top2 enzyme. Altogether, this review underlines the importance of future studies addressing more systematically the interplay between PTM and Top2 drug resistance.

Keywords: DNA topoisomerase, drug resistance, post-translational modifications, etoposide

#### INTRODUCTION

The type 2 DNA topoisomerases (Top2) are evolutionary conserved enzymes and biomarkers for cell proliferation<sup>[1]</sup>. They are involved in essential cellular processes such as DNA replication, DNA transcription, and chromosome segregation<sup>[2]</sup>. The human Top2 $\alpha$  isoform is highly expressed during

© The Author(s) 2020. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, for any purpose, even commercially, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.







**Figure 1.** Domain organization of Type 2 DNA topoisomerase and catalytic cycle. A: domain organization of the human Top2 enzymes. The Top2 enzymes are composed of three dimeric interfaces. The N-gate (in purple) is composed of a GHKL domain binding ATP and a transducer domain. The DNA-gate (in grey) comprises the WHD with the conserved catalytic tyrosine (Y805 in red) and the Toprim domain, that contains three acidic residues binding magnesium ions. The C-gate forms a dimeric interface where the DNA exits the enzyme at the end of the reaction. The CTD (dashed lines) differs between species and between the human isoforms Top2 $\alpha$  and Top2 $\beta$ . Residue numbering is that of the Top2 $\alpha$  isoform. B: catalytic cycle of Top2 relaxation activity. (1) The Top2 enzyme binds a DNA G-segment (in brown) at the dimeric interface at the DNA-gate. (2) Upon ATP binding (red stars), a second DNA fragment called T-segment (in blue) is trapped in the ATPase domain. (3) Introduction of a reversible DNA double strand break in the G-segment is coupled to the hydrolysis of an ATP molecule, leading to the release of ADP (yellow sphere) and an inorganic phosphate, and results in the transport of the T-segment through the break. Catalytic inhibitors such as bispiperazine (ICRF derivatives) trap a closed clamp intermediate, affecting ATP-driven conformational changes of the allosteric assembly. (4) Hydrolysis of a second ATP molecule triggers the release of the T-segment through the C-gate and resets the enzyme. Top2 poisons such as etoposide and doxorubicin prevent G-segment religation. Top2: type 2 DNA topoisomerase; CTD: C-terminal domain; WHD: winged helix domain; G: gated; T: transported; GHKL: Gyrase, Hsp90, Histidine Kinase, MutL domain; TOPRIM: topoisomerase-primase domain

mitosis and is essential for cell division<sup>[3]</sup>. Its main function is to regulate topological entanglements in DNA that can compromise cell division or gene transcription<sup>[4]</sup>.

Top2 are large multidomain enzymes that fold into a homodimer forming three-protein interfaces called "gates"<sup>[5]</sup> [Figure 1A]. The C-terminal domain (CTD) whose 3D structure is unknown is less conserved and seems to play a role in protein-DNA and protein-protein interactions<sup>[6]</sup>. To remove topological stress, Top2 introduce a reversible double strand break in a DNA molecule and transport another DNA duplex through the break<sup>[5]</sup> [Figure 1B]. This elaborate mechanism can be targeted by chemicals that affect the catalytic sites. In particular, the Top2 $\alpha$  isoform is a major target for antineoplastic agents that are widely used in cancer chemotherapy<sup>[7]</sup>. While catalytic inhibitors affect ATP hydrolysis, topoisomerase "poisons" stabilize the cleavage complex (Top2cc), leading to accumulation of lethal DNA double-strand breaks<sup>[8,9]</sup> [Figure 1B]. However, cancer cells may develop resistance that can be attributed to Top2 single point mutations, alteration of gene expression, or regulation of post-translational modifications (PTM)<sup>[10]</sup>.

Aberrant PTM are often found in cancer cells and are one of their distinguishing features<sup>[11,12]</sup>. The earliest studies of PTM in Top2 proteins date back to the 1990s with the first report of a phosphorylation of purified Top2 $\alpha$  from mouse cells<sup>[13]</sup>. Since then, the identification and characterization of PTM have been accelerated by the development of proteomic techniques<sup>[14]</sup>. Because of their relevance in cancer therapy, Top2 $\alpha$  PTM have been characterized mostly in cancer cell lines, while the extent of PTM in the homeostasis of normal cells has been somewhat neglected<sup>[15]</sup>. Recently, we identified the phosphorylation and acetylation sites in human Top2 $\alpha$  overproduced in the yeast *S. cerevisiae* and in a hamster mammalian cell line (BHK21), thus providing a basal landscape of the modifications for Top2 $\alpha$  isoform<sup>[16]</sup>.

In this review, we analyze the different types of modifications affecting the human Top2 $\alpha$  in normal and cancer cells with a structure-function perspective. We also overview the relationships among the regulation of Top2 $\alpha$  by PTM, the level of PTM in cancer cells, and the resistance to anti-Top2 therapeutic compounds.

### **PHOSPHORYLATIONS**

Phosphorylation is the most studied modification of Top2 due to its importance in the regulation of the enzyme during the cell cycle and was shown to influence several aspects of Top2 $\alpha$  function. The level of phosphorylation reaches a maximum peak at G2/M phase along with the level of Top2 $\alpha$  expression<sup>[17]</sup>. Several studies have reported that Top2 $\alpha$  catalytic activity is modulated by phosphorylations<sup>[18,19]</sup>.

The majority of phosphorylations were identified in the Top2 $\alpha$  CTD in normal and cancer cells [Figure 2]. The CTD is important for the proper subcellular localization of the enzyme as its deletion leads to Top2 mislocalization<sup>[20]</sup>. In particular, the phosphorylation of Top2 $\alpha$  Ser1213 is important for its relocalization from the chromosome arms to the centromere<sup>[21]</sup>. Phosphorylation by Casein Kinase II (CKII), Protein Kinase C (PKC), or Extracellular signal Regulated Kinase II enhanced the decatenation and the relaxation activities, of both human and *Drosophila* Top2 $\alpha$ <sup>[17,19,22]</sup>. Inversely, incubation of Top2 $\alpha$  with the kinase PKC $\zeta$  inhibits the decatenation activity *in vivo* and *in vitro*<sup>[23]</sup> Phosphorylation of Ser1106 by Casein Kinase I was shown to regulate decatenation since its mutation to alanine slows down the decatenation and cleavage reactions<sup>[18,24]</sup>. However, the presence of a phosphorylated residue cannot always be directly correlated with the modulation of the enzyme activity<sup>[25]</sup>. Substitution of Ser1525 with an alanine does not affect decatenation activity, despite being a major substrate for phosphorylation by CKII, Polo-like kinase I, and p38g<sup>[26-29]</sup>.

In addition to the regulation of  $Top_{2\alpha}$  catalytic activity, phosphorylations appear to be important for the recruitment of protein partners in a chromatin context. In *HeLa* cells, the methylated tail of Histone 3 was shown to interact with the Top<sub>2</sub> $\alpha$  chromatin tether, a 30-amino-acid sequence at the end of the CTD<sup>[30]</sup>. In this region, three phosphorylations could be identified in cancer cells and only one thus far in normal cells, including phosphorylation on Ser1525, which could modulate binding of Top<sub>2</sub> $\alpha$  to the nucleosome [Figure 2].

In the conserved catalytic domains of Top2 $\alpha$ , three phosphorylation sites in the ATPase, topoisomeraseprimase domain (TOPRIM), and the coiled-coil domains were detected in normal cells [Figure 3]. Ten additional phosphorylations were identified in cancer cells in the GHKL and transducer domains and in the N-terminal arm closing the dimer [Figure 3]. Phosphorylation of Ser29 by PKC in the N-terminal arm of the ATPase domain stimulates the DNA relaxation activity *in vitro*<sup>[17]</sup>. Phosphorylations at this position could impact the allosteric movements of the ATPase domain and the dimeric interface.

The overall level of phosphorylation was shown to modulate the ATPase activity of Top2 $\alpha$  as well as the cleavage/religation reaction *in vitro*<sup>[22,31,32]</sup>. More phosphorylations can be found in cancer cells in the TOPRIM and winged helix domain (WHD) domains, and lining the coiled-coil region [Figures 2 and 3B]. Although less abundant, six phosphotyrosines in the Top2 $\alpha$  protein were identified in cancer cells [Figure 3B].



**Figure 2.** Post-translational modifications of the human Top2 $\alpha$ . Phosphorylations and acetylations identified in the recombinant Top2 $\alpha$  protein produced in yeast or mammalian cells are reported below the domain diagram (Bedez *et al.*<sup>[16]</sup>, 2018). Modifications identified in cancer cells as reported in the PhosphoSiteplus database are indicated above the domain diagrams (Horneck *et al.*<sup>[40]</sup>, 2014). Phosphorylation sites are colored in red, acetylation in blue, ubiquitination in yellow, and SUMOylation in green. To date, about 104 phosphorylation, 28 acetylation, 67 ubiquitination, and 15 SUMOylation sites have been identified. Twenty-six out of 29 phosphorylations in normal cells and 46 out of 75 phosphorylations in cancer cells were found in the CTD. Fifteen SUMOylation sites were identified in cancer cells, half on the DNA gate and C-gate, four in the N-gate, and five in the CTD. Thirteen acetylations on the CTD and two in the ATPase domain were reported in cancer cells. Two phosphotyrosines were identified in the N-gate, three in the DNA-gate, and one on the CTD in cancer cells. Positions are numbered as indicated in the NCBI gene ID:7153, which results in a +1 shift in the residue numbering for some positions compared with their numbering in articles (for example, Thr1342 appears in the present figure as Thr1343, and Ser1524 as Ser1525). Top2: type 2 DNA topoisomerase; CTD: C-terminal domain



**Figure 3.** Distribution of the post-translational modifications on the structures of  $Top2\alpha$  catalytic domains. A: modifications found in normal homeostasis cells (Bedez *et al.*<sup>[16]</sup>, 2018) reported on the ATPase domain structure (PDBID: 1ZXM) (top) and DNA binding/ cleavage domain (PDBID: 5GWK) (bottom). B: modifications found in cancer cells reported in the PhosphoSitePlus database reported on the catalytic domains. The C-terminal domains for which no structure is available are not represented. Modifications appear as a blue sphere for acetylation, red for phosphorylation and yellow for ubiquitination. Positions that were found either ubiquitinated or SUMOylated appear as pale green spheres. Positions that were found either ubiquitinated or acetylated appear as pale blue spheres. Half of the SUMOylations were identified in the TOPRIM domain and C-gate. Only one position at residue 625 was found solely SUMOylated (dark green) and residue 1196 was found to be the site of acetylation, SUMOylation, and ubiquitination (orange). Positions specifically mentioned in the main text are indicated by a black line. Figures were generated using PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.0 Schrödinger, LLC. TOPRIM: topoisomerase-primase domain

Interestingly, the conserved catalytic tyrosine (Y805) was found to be phosphorylated in acute T-cell leukemia (Jurkat cells) and myelogenous leukemia K562 cells, and not in normal cells, suggesting direct modulation of the enzyme cleavage activity.

The phosphorylation status of Top2 in drug resistant cells has been the subject of contradictory observations. Takano *et al.*<sup>[33]</sup> observed that hyperphosphorylation of Top2 in etoposide-resistant KB cells correlated with a decrease in cleavage complex formation. CKII is hyperactivated in cancer while in fission yeast CKII phosphorylation of Top2 suppresses its sensitivity to the catalytic inhibitor ICRF-193, which inhibits Top2 by impairing its ATP hydrolysis activity<sup>[34]</sup>. In this context, phosphorylation of serine residues was identified in the CTD outside the ATPase domain. This indicates not only that distant positions can have a role in the response to ICRF, but also that the phosphorylation status of Top2 can affect its drug response. Hyperphosphorylation linked to CKII was also observed in drug resistant breast cancer cells<sup>[35]</sup>. In contrast, hypophosphorylated Top2 $\alpha$  was observed in etoposide-resistant K562 and HL-60 leukemia cells, being in the latter case linked to a reduced level of Ser1106 phosphorylation<sup>[36,37]</sup>.

## ACETYLATIONS

We identified acetylation sites almost exclusively in the structured catalytic core of  $Top2\alpha$  and only one in the CTD after overexpression in yeast and BHK21 cells<sup>[16]</sup>. This is consistent with the observation that acetylations are often found in structured regions of proteins<sup>[38]</sup>. In cancer cells, acetylations were mostly identified in the  $CTD^{[39-41]}$  [Figure 2]. Acetylations have not been studied as extensively as phosphorylations, which limits the conclusions that can be drawn from a comparison between normal homeostasis and cancer cells.

In healthy cells, the acetylations found in the DNA gate are located in the TOPRIM, coiled-coil, and C-gate domains. Those located close to the extremities of the DNA groove could affect DNA binding [Figure 3A]. Interestingly, 8 acetylated positions in healthy cells located in the DNA gate have also been found ubiquitinylated in cancer cell lines, suggesting a switch of modification in cancer cells<sup>[42-46]</sup>. Lys1196 was found to be a site for acetylation in Jurkat cells, ubiquitination in Jurkat and HEP-2 cells, and SUMOylation in *HeLa* cells<sup>[43,46,47]</sup>. This residue is located in a hinge region between the C-gate domain and the CTD and could be involved in the regulation of Top2 activities [Figure 3B]. Lys278 in a loop region of the transducer is acetylated in normal cells but found to be SUMOylated and ubiquitinylated in cancer cells [Figure 2]. Lys397, which lies in the transducer helix, is acetylated in cancer cells but can also be ubiquitinated. These positions are mostly located on the surface of the N-gate domain and therefore compatible with the addition of bulky modifications [Figure 3A]. Although buried at the dimeric interface, we also found an acetylation on Lys168 in Top2 $\alpha$  produced in the yeast expression system. Mutation of this residue showed that this position is important for the coupling of ATPase activity and dimerization<sup>[16]</sup>.

While few studies are available on the acetylation of  $Top2\alpha$  in response to drugs, indirect inferences can be made. The association of Top2 with histone deacetylases (HDAC) was shown to modulate its activity and in particular etoposide-stimulated cleavage both *in vivo* and *in vitro*<sup>[48,49]</sup>. In addition, treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma cells with an HDAC inhibitor triggers the proteasomal degradation of Top2<sup>[50]</sup>.

Interestingly, acetylations that were identified in BHK21 were also found as targets for ubiquitination in cancer cells. Inversely, most acetylations identified thus far in cancer cells do not correspond to reported ubiquitination or SUMOylation sites, which indicates that regulation of some PTM depends on the cell state. Although further analysis is needed, these studies suggest a regulation of  $Top_{2\alpha}$  activity by acetylations and a potential interplay between other modifications such as ubiquitinations and SUMOylations.

## UBIQUITINATIONS AND SUMOYLATIONS

Ubiquitin is a small regulatory protein that when attached to proteins alter their cellular localization, protein activity, or molecular interactions, and may target them for proteasome degradation<sup>[51]</sup>. Ubiquitinations were identified throughout the Top2 $\alpha$  sequence in cancer cells [Figure 2]. In non-cancer cells (mouse embryonic fibroblasts), only one ubiquitination in the ATPase transducer domain has been reported thus far. Lys378 is ubiquitinated by the E3 ligase activity of the APC/C complex, promoting 26S proteasome degradation at G1 phase, thus modulating Top2 $\alpha$  levels for chromosome maintenance<sup>[52]</sup>. This residue interacts directly with the ATP molecule and could be accessible to modifications when the N-gate is open during the catalytic cycle.

Introduction or removal of ubiquitin is also a mechanism of drug resistance, as it modulates the Top2 $\alpha$  activities and protein levels through proteasome degradation<sup>[53-55]</sup>. Deficiency in the RNF168 E3 ubiquitin ligase in breast cancer cells, or elevated levels of ubiquitin ligase Mdm2 in osteosarcoma cells, confers resistance to the Top2 poison etoposide, by regulating Top2 activities<sup>[53,56]</sup>. Ubiquitin-mediated degradation

of Top2 also contributes to the level of drug resistance in solid tumors since proteasome inhibition leads to etoposide resistance<sup>[57]</sup>. In addition, the E3 ubiquitin ligase Bmi1/Ring1A controls the proteasomal degradation of Top2cc in *HeLa* cells upon teniposide treatment<sup>[58]</sup>.

Evidence for a functional and physical interplay between ubiquitination and SUMOylation have been reported at a larger scale, suggesting a coordination for proteasome degradation and the regulation of ubiquitin modifiers<sup>[59]</sup>. The Small Ubiquitin-like Modifier or SUMO proteins are considered as members of the ubiquitin-like protein family, although they are not directly related to protein degradation<sup>[60]</sup>. It was shown that the resolution of TOP2cc by tyrosyl-DNA phosphoesterase 2 is controlled by the SUMO ligase ZATT<sup>[61]</sup>. SUMOylation play a critical role in DNA condensation and chromosome segregation. Top2 $\alpha$  is directed to the inner centromere via the E3 ligase RanBP2-mediated SUMOylation for the resolution of sister centromeres<sup>[62]</sup>. The E3 ligase PIAS $\gamma$  was also shown to regulate the catalytic activity of Top2 $\alpha$  at the centromere for the proper segregation of chromosome<sup>[63]</sup>. Evidence of a crosstalk between phosphorylation and SUMOylation was shown in cancer cells, which targets the CTD<sup>[64,65]</sup>.

Strikingly, most of the SUMO sites in the catalytic domains were identified along the dimeric interface of the Top2 $\alpha$  structure [Figure 3]. Structure determination of Top2 has shown that the subunits form an intertwined dimer structure and that the buried surface could be accessible during the catalytic cycle [Figure 1B]<sup>[66-69]</sup>.

SUMOylation, similar to other post-translational modifications, is impacted by chemical adjuvants but little information is available thus far. Conjugation to the SUMO2/3 by the SUMO ligase PIASg in response to Top2 inhibitors alters the Top2 decatenation activity, essential for chromatid arm separation at mitosis<sup>[70]</sup>. Interestingly, SUMOylation in Top2 $\alpha$  is increased upon ICRF-193 or teniposide exposure, as well as following oxidative stress or heat shock<sup>[71]</sup>.

Altogether, ubiquitination and SUMOylation are important modifications that can have a direct impact on Top $2\alpha$  levels, interplay with other PTM, and consequently affect drug response.

#### TOP2 $\alpha$ MUTATIONS IN RESISTANT CELLS

Point mutations in the Top2 $\alpha$  gene that lead to podophyllotoxin resistance mostly affect the drug binding site or are located on the TOPRIM domain [Figure 4A]. A recent study in yeast identified mutations of Top2 conferring resistance against vosaroxin, a quinolone derivative in a phase II clinical trial<sup>[72]</sup>. Some mutations also appeared in the unstructured C-terminal region of human Top2 $\alpha$ , indicating that residues that are external to the binding pockets of the drugs can contribute to resistance mechanisms, due to the allosteric properties of the protein.

Point mutations conferring resistance to the bispiperazine compounds were found in the ATPase domain of Top2 $\alpha$  in small cell lung cancer and Chinese hamster ovary cells<sup>[73]</sup>. These mutations impact the dimeric interface and the formation of the ICRF binding pocket<sup>[74]</sup> [Figure 4]. Another study in yeast showed that drug resistance mutations are not restricted to the N-terminal domain but can also be found in the DNA-gate<sup>[75]</sup> [Figure 4B]. Single point mutations located in the N-terminal domain display a more resistant phenotype compared with those in the DNA-gate, with the exception of Gly551, a conserved residue in eukaryotic Top2. Interestingly, a Gly551Ser mutation confers resistance to both Top2 poison etoposide and the catalytic inhibitor ICRF<sup>[72,75]</sup>. The dual resistance could be explained by the proximity of the etoposide-binding site in the DNA groove and the allosteric properties of the enzyme, since movements of the DNA-gate are coupled to ATP hydrolysis [Figure 1B].

Although no drug resistant mutations targeting known PTM sites could be found in the literature except for vosaroxin resistant yeast cells<sup>[72]</sup>, it cannot be excluded that such events occur in the Top2 $\alpha$  gene of resistant



**Figure 4.** Distribution of point mutations providing drug resistance on the structures of the Top2 $\alpha$  catalytic domains. A: distribution of the positions presenting single point mutations that confer resistance to podophyllotoxin compounds (etoposide) (top) and bispiperazine (ICRF-187) (bottom), indicated on the domain diagram of Top2 $\alpha$ . B: single point mutations conferring resistance to anti-Top2 drugs are represented as black spheres reported on the structures of the catalytic domains. The DNA binding/cleavage domain (PDBID: 5GWK) of human Top2 $\alpha$  homolog bound to two etoposide molecules represented as yellow spheres (B). The ATPase domain of the yeast homolog (PDBID: 1QZR) bound to the ICRF-187 compound represented as blue spheres, with mutations also appearing in the DNA binding/cleavage domain (PDBID: 5GWK) (C). Residues mutated to serine in resistant cell lines appear in pale green. Positions specifically mentioned in the main text are indicated by a black line

cancer cells. Mutations have been reported that introduce a serine or threonine in etoposide-resistant cells in the TOPRIM or WHD domains<sup>[73,75]</sup> [Figure 4]. *In silico* prediction of phosphorylation sites indicates that mutation of Ala652, located between TOPRIM and WHD, would generate a phosphorylation site for PKC<sup>[76]</sup>. Mutation of Pro803 nearby the catalytic tyrosine shows a consensus sequence for Cdc2. Further

investigations would be required to find if these positions are targeted by kinases *in vivo* and contribute to the mechanism of resistance.

#### CONCLUSION

Most phosphorylations were found in the CTD of the Top2 $\alpha$  isoform, related to its role in the cell cycle. However, the conserved catalytic domains are also directly targeted by kinases and other modifying enzymes introducing acetylations, SUMOylations, and ubiquitinations, interfering with the structurefunction properties of the Top2 $\alpha$  isoform. Top2 PTM in cancer cells were mostly identified in targeted studies analyzing their regulation and interactions with modifying enzymes during the cell cycle. Further systematic analysis of Top2 PTM in cancer cells would be required to analyze the interplay between PTM and compare their modulation in response to different compounds, in order to identify potential biomarkers of cancer prognosis and drug resistance, as well as new therapeutic avenues targeting the Top2 $\alpha$ or modifying enzymes.

#### DECLARATIONS

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Robert Drillien for useful suggestions and proofreading the manuscript.

#### Authors' contributions

Generated the figures: Lotz C Wrote the manuscript: Lotz C, Lamour V

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

#### Financial support and sponsorship

This work was supported by the Fondation ARC and the grant ANR-10-LABX-0030-INRT (managed by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche under the frame programme Investissements d'Avenir ANR-10-IDEX-0002-02). The authors acknowledge the support and the use of resources of the French Infrastructure for Integrated Structural Biology FRISBI ANR-10-INBS-05 and of Instruct-ERIC.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

All authors declared that there are no conflicts of interest.

## Ethical approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

**Consent for publication** 

Not applicable.

Copyright

© The Author(s) 2020.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Ali Y, Abd Hamid S. Human topoisomerase II alpha as a prognostic biomarker in cancer chemotherapy. Tumor Biol 2016;37:47-55.
- 2. Mondal N, Parvin JD. DNA topoisomerase IIalpha is required for RNA polymerase II transcription on chromatin templates. Nature 2001;413:435-8.
- 3. Akimitsu N, Adachi N, Hirai H, Hossain MS, Hamamoto H, et al. Enforced cytokinesis without complete nuclear division in embryonic

cells depleting the activity of DNA topoisomerase II alpha. Genes Cells 2003;8:393-402.

- 4. Collins I, Weber A, Levens D. Transcriptional consequences of topoisomerase inhibition. Mol Cell Biol 2001;21:8437-51.
- 5. Champoux JJ. DNA topoisomerases: structure, function, and mechanism. Annu Rev Biochem 2001;70:369-413.
- 6. Clarke DJ, Azuma Y. Non-catalytic roles of the topoisomerase II C-terminal domain. Int J Mol Sci 2017;18.
- 7. Nitiss JL. Targeting DNA topoisomerase II in cancer chemotherapy. Nat Rev Cancer 2009;9:338-50.
- 8. Roca J, Ishida R, Berger JM, Andoh T, Wang JC. Antitumor bisdioxopiperazines inhibit yeast DNA topoisomerase-Ii by trapping the enzyme in the form of a closed protein clamp. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1994;91:1781-5.
- 9. Wu CC, Li TK, Farh L, Lin LY, Lin TS, et al. Structural basis of type II topoisomerase inhibition by the anticancer drug etoposide. Science 2011;333:459-62.
- 10. Vassetzky YS, Alghisi GC, Gasser SM. DNA topoisomerase II mutations and resistance to anti-tumor drugs. Bioessays 1995;17:767-74.
- 11. Cicenas J, Zalyte E, Bairoch A, Gaudet P. Kinases and cancer. Cancers (Basel) 2018;10.
- 12. Han ZJ, Feng YH, Gu BH, Li YM, Chen H. The post-translational modification, SUMOylation, and cancer (review). Int J Oncol 2018;52:1081-94.
- Saijo M, Enomoto T, Hanaoka F, Ui M. Purification and characterization of type II DNA topoisomerase from mouse Fm3a cells: phosphorylation of topoisomerase-Ii and modification of its activity. Biochemistry 1990;29:583-90.
- 14. Mann M, Jensen ON. Proteomic analysis of post-translational modifications. Nat Biotechnol 2003;21:255-61.
- Chen T, Sun Y, Ji P, Kopetz S, Zhang W. Topoisomerase IIalpha in chromosome instability and personalized cancer therapy. Oncogene 2015;34:4019-31.
- Bedez C, Lotz C, Batisse C, Broeck AV, Stote RH, et al. Post-translational modifications in DNA topoisomerase 2α highlight the role of a eukaryote-specific residue in the ATPase domain. Sci Rep 2018;8:9272.
- 17. Wells NJ, Fry AM, Guano F, Norbury C, Hickson ID. Cell cycle phase-specific phosphorylation of human topoisomerase II alpha. Evidence of a role for protein kinase C. J Biol Chem 1995;270:28357-63.
- 18. Grozav AG, Chikamori K, Grabowski DR, Xu Y, Kinter M, et al. Casein kinase I delta/epsilon isoforms phosphorylate serine 1106 in the catalytic domain of human topoisomerase II alpha. Cancer Res 2006;47.
- 19. Shapiro PS, Whalen AM, Tolwinski NS, Wilsbacher J, Froelich-Ammon SJ, et al. Extracellular signal-regulated kinase activates topoisomerase II alpha through a mechanism independent of phosphorylation. Mol Cell Biol 1999;19:3551-60.
- 20. Adachi N, Miyaike M, Kato S, Kanamaru R, Koyama H, et al. Cellular distribution of mammalian DNA topoisomerase II is determined by its catalytically dispensable C-terminal domain. Nucleic Acids Res 1997;25:3135-42.
- 21. Ishida R, Takashima R, Koujin T, Shibata M, Nozaki N, et al. Mitotic specific phosphorylation of serine-1212 in human DNA topoisomerase II alpha. Cell Struct Funct 2001;26:215-26.
- 22. Devore RF, Corbett AH, Osheroff N. Phosphorylation of topoisomerase II by casein kinase II and protein kinase c: effects on enzymemediated DNA cleavage/religation and sensitivity to the antineoplastic drugs etoposide and 4'-(9-Acridinylamino) methane-sulfon-manisidide. Cancer Res 1992;52:2156-61.
- 23. Plo I, Hernandez H, Kohlhagen G, Lautier D, Pommier Y, et al. Overexpression of the atypical protein kinase C reduces topoisomerase II catalytic activity, cleavable complexes formation, and drug-induced cytotoxicity in monocytic U937 leukemia cells. J Biol Chem 2002;277:31407-15.
- 24. Chikamori K, Grabowski DR, Kinter M, Willard BB, Yadav S, et al. Phosphorylation of serine 1106 in the catalytic domain of topoisomerase II alpha regulates enzymatic activity and drug sensitivity. J Biol Chem 2003;278:12696-702.
- 25. Shiozaki K, Yanagida M. Functional dissection of the phosphorylated termini of fission yeast DNA topoisomerase-II. J Cell Biol 1992;119:1023-36.
- 26. Luo KT, Yuan J, Chen JJ, Lou ZK. Topoisomerase IIalpha controls the decatenation checkpoint. Nat Cell Biol 2009;11:204-10.
- 27. Wells NJ, Addison CM, Fry AM, Ganapathi R, Hickson ID. Serine 1524 is a major site of phosphorylation on human topoisomerase II alpha protein in vivo and is a substrate for casein kinase II in vitro. J Biol Chem 1994;269:29746-51.
- Li H, Wang Y, Liu X. Plk1-dependent phosphorylation regulates functions of DNA topoisomerase II alpha in cell cycle progression. J Biol Chem 2008;283:6209-21.
- 29. Qi XM, Hou SW, Lepp A, Li RS, Basir Z, et al. Phosphorylation and stabilization of topoisomerase II alpha protein by p38 gamma mitogen-activated protein kinase sensitize breast cancer cells to its poisons. J Biol Chem 2011;286:35883-90.
- Lane AB, Gimenez-Abian JF, Clarke DJ. A novel chromatin tether domain controls topoisomerase II alpha dynamics and mitotic chromosome formation. J Cell Biol 2013;203:471-86.
- 31. Corbett AH, Fernald AW, Osheroff N. Protein kinase C modulates the catalytic activity of topoisomerase II by enhancing the rate of atp hydrolysis: evidence for a common mechanism of regulation by phosphorylation. Biochemistry 1993;32:2090-7.
- Corbett AH, Devore RF, Osheroff N. Effect of casein kinase-II-mediated phosphorylation on the catalytic cycle of topoisomerase II. Regulation of enzyme activity by enhancement of ATP hydrolysis. J Biol Chem 1992;267:20513-8.
- Takano H, Kohno K, Ono M, Uchida Y, Kuwano M. Increased phosphorylation of DNA topoisomerase-II in etoposide-resistant mutants of human cancer KB cells. Cancer Res 1991;51:3951-7.
- 34. Nakazawa N, Arakawa O, Ebe M, Yanagida M. Casein kinase II-dependent phosphorylation of DNA topoisomerase II suppresses the effect of a catalytic topo II inhibitor, ICRF-193, in fission yeast. J Biol Chem 2019;294:3772-82.
- 35. Matsumoto Y, Takano H, Fojo T. Cellular adaptation to drug exposure: Evolution of the drug-resistant phenotype. Cancer Res 1997;57:5086-92.
- 36. Ritke MK, Allan WP, Fattman C, Gunduz NN, Yalowich JC. Reduced phosphorylation of topoisomerase II in etoposide-resistant human

#### Lotz et al. Cancer Drug Resist 2020;3:149-60 | http://dx.doi.org/10.20517/cdr.2019.114

leukemia K562 cells. Mol Pharmacol 1994;46:58-66.

- Ganapathi R, Constantinou A, Kamath N, Dubyak G, Grabowski D, et al. Resistance to etoposide in human leukemia HL-60 cells: reduction in drug-induced DNA cleavage associated with hypophosphorylation of topoisomerase II phosphopeptides. Mol Pharmacol 1996;50:243-48.
- Choudhary C, Weinert BT, Nishida Y, Verdin E, Mann M. The growing landscape of lysine acetylation links metabolism and cell signalling. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2014;15:536-50.
- 39. Mertins P, Qiao JW, Patel J, Udeshi ND, Clauser KR, et al. Integrated proteomic analysis of post-translational modifications by serial enrichment. Nat Methods 2013;10:634-7.
- 40. Hornbeck PV, Zhang B, Murray B, Kornhauser JM, Latham V, et al. PhosphoSitePlus, 2014: mutations, PTMs and recalibrations. Nucleic Acids Res 2015;43:D512-20.
- 41. Wu Q, Cheng ZY, Zhu J, Xu WQ, Peng XJ, et al. Suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid treatment reveals crosstalks among proteome, ubiquitylome and acetylome in non-small cell lung cancer A549 cell line. Sci Rep 2015;5:9520.
- 42. Udeshi ND, Svinkina T, Mertins P, Kuhn E, Mani DR, et al. Refined preparation and use of anti-diglycine remnant (K-epsilon-GG) antibody enables routine quantification of 10,000s of ubiquitination sites in single proteomics experiments. Mol Cell Proteomics 2013;12:825-31.
- 43. Kim W, Bennett EJ, Huttlin EL, Guo A, Li J, et al. Systematic and quantitative assessment of the ubiquitin-modified proteome. Mol Cell 2011;44:325-40.
- 44. Povlsen LK, Beli P, Wagner SA, Poulsen SL, Sylvestersen KB, et al. Systems-wide analysis of ubiquitylation dynamics reveals a key role for PAF15 ubiquitylation in DNA-damage bypass. Nat Cell Biol 2012;14:1089-98.
- Wagner SA, Beli P, Weinert BT, Nielsen ML, Cox J, et al. A proteome-wide, quantitative survey of in vivo ubiquitylation sites reveals widespread regulatory roles. Mol Cell Proteomics 2011;10:M111.013284.
- 46. Akimov V, Barrio-Hernandez I, Hansen SVF, Hallenborg P, Pedersen AK, et al. UbiSite approach for comprehensive mapping of lysine and N-terminal ubiquitination sites. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2018;25:631-40.
- Hendriks IA, D'Souza RCJ, Yang B, Verlaan-de Vries M, Mann M, et al. Uncovering global SUMOylation signaling networks in a sitespecific manner. Nat Struct Molr Biol 2014;21:927-36.
- 48. Tsai SC, Valkov N, Yang WM, Gump J, Sullivan D, et al. Histone deacetylase interacts directly with DNA topoisomerase II. Nat Genet 2000;26:349-53.
- Johnson CA, Padget K, Austin CA, Turner BM. Deacetylase activity associates with topoisomerase II and is necessary for etoposideinduced apoptosis. J Biol Chem 2001;276:4539-42.
- Chen MC, Chen CH, Chuang HC, Kulp SK, Teng CM, et al. Novel mechanism by which histone deacetylase inhibitors facilitate topoisomerase II alpha degradation in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Hepatology 2011;53:148-59.
- 51. Gilberto S, Peter M. Dynamic ubiquitin signaling in cell cycle regulation. J Cell Biol 2017;216:2259-71.
- 52. Eguren M, Alvarez-Fernandez M, Garcia F, Lopez-Contreras AJ, Fujimitsu K, et al. A synthetic lethal interaction between APC/C and topoisomerase poisons uncovered by proteomic screens. Cell Rep 2014;6:670-83.
- 53. Guturi KKN, Bohgaki M, Bohgaki T, Srikumar T, Ng D, et al. RNF168 and USP10 regulate topoisomerase II alpha function via opposing effects on its ubiquitylation. Nat Commun 2016;7:12638.
- 54. Kang X, Song C, Du X, Zhang C, Liu Y, et al. PTEN stabilizes TOP2A and regulates the DNA decatenation. Sci Rep 2015;5:17873.
- 55. Fielding AB, Concannon M, Darling S, Rusilowicz-Jones EV, Sacco JJ, et al. The deubiquitylase USP15 regulates topoisomerase II alpha to maintain genome integrity. Oncogene 2018;37:2326-42.
- Senturk JC, Bohlman S, Manfredi JJ. Mdm2 selectively suppresses DNA damage arising from inhibition of topoisomerase II independent of p53. Oncogene 2017;36:6085-96.
- 57. Ogiso Y, Tomida A, Lei SH, Omura S, Tsuruo T. Proteasome inhibition circumvents solid tumor resistance to topoisomerase II-directed drugs. Cancer Res 2000;60:2429-34.
- 58. Alchanati I, Teicher C, Cohen G, Shemesh V, Barr HM, et al. The E3 ubiquitin-ligase Bmi1/Ring1A controls the proteasomal degradation of Top2 alpha cleavage complex a potentially new drug target. PloS One 2009;4:e8104.
- Sun Y, Miller Jenkins LM, Su YP, Nitiss KC, Nitiss JL, et al. A conserved SUMO-Ubiquitin pathway directed by RNF4/SLX5-SLX8 and PIAS4/SIZI drives proteasomal degradation of topoisomerase DNA-protein crosslinks. Bio Rxiv 2019. Available from: https://www. biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/707661v1 [Last accessed on 20 Feb 2020]
- 60. Hay RT. SUMO: a history of modification. Mol Cell 2005;18:1-12.
- 61. Schellenberg MJ, Lieberman JA, Herrero-Ruiz A, Butler LR, Williams JG, et al. ZATT (ZNF451)-mediated resolution of topoisomerase 2 DNA-protein cross-links. Science 2017;357:1412-6.
- 62. Dawlaty MM, Malureanu L, Jeganathan KB, Kao E, Sustmann C, et al. Resolution of sister centromeres requires RanBP2-mediated SUMOylation of topoisomerase II alpha. Cell 2008;133:103-15.
- Ryu H, Furuta M, Kirkpatrick D, Gygi SP, Azuma Y. PIASy-dependent SUMOylation regulates DNA topoisomerase II alpha activity. J Cell Biol 2010;191:783-94.
- 64. Antoniou-Kourounioti M, Mimmack ML, Porter ACG, Farr CJ. The impact of the C-terminal region on the interaction of topoisomerase II alpha with mitotic chromatin. Int J Mol Sci 2019;20.
- Edgerton H, Johansson M, Keifenheim D, Mukherjee S, Chacon JM, et al. A noncatalytic function of the topoisomerase II CTD in Aurora B recruitment to inner centromeres during mitosis. J Cell Biol 2016;213:651-64.
- 66. Chen SF, Huang NL, Lin JH, Wu CC, Wang YR, et al. Structural insights into the gating of DNA passage by the topoisomerase II DNA-

gate. Nat Commun 2018;9:3085.

- 67. Vanden Broeck A, Lotz C, Ortiz J, Lamour V. Cryo-EM structure of the complete E. coli DNA gyrase nucleoprotein complex. Nat Commun 2019;10:4935.
- Petrella S, Capton E, Raynal B, Giffard C, Thureau A, et al. Overall structures of mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA gyrase reveal the role of a corynebacteriales GyrB-specific insert in ATPase activity. Structure 2019;27:579-89.e5.
- 69. Wendorff TJ, Schmidt BH, Heslop P, Austin CA, Berger JM. The structure of DNA-bound human topoisomerase II alpha: conformational mechanisms for coordinating inter-subunit interactions with DNA cleavage. J Mol Biol 2012;424:109-24.
- Agostinho M, Santos V, Ferreira F, Costa R, Cardoso J, et al. Conjugation of human topoisomerase 2 alpha with small ubiquitin-like modifiers 2/3 in response to topoisomerase inhibitors: cell cycle stage and chromosome domain specificity. Cancer Res 2008;68:2409-18.
- 71. Mao Y, Desai SD, Liu LF. SUMO-1 conjugation to human DNA topoisomerase II isozymes. J Biol Chem 2000;275:26066-73.
- 72. Blower TR, Bandak A, Lee ASY, Austin CA, Nitiss JL, et al. A complex suite of loci and elements in eukaryotic type II topoisomerases determine selective sensitivity to distinct poisoning agents. Nucleic Acids Res 2019;47:8163-79.
- Wessel I, Jensen LH, Renodon-Corniere A, Sorensen TK, Nitiss JL, et al. Human small cell lung cancer NYH cells resistant to the bisdioxopiperazine ICRF-187 exhibit a functional dominant Tyr165Ser mutation in the walker A ATP binding site of topoisomerase II alpha. FEBS Lett 2002;520:161-6.
- Renodon-Corniere A, Jensen LH, Nitiss JL, Jensen PB, Schested M. Analysis of bisdioxopiperazine dexrazoxane binding to human DNA topoisomerase II alpha: decreased binding as a mechanism of drug resistance. Biochemistry 2003;42:9749-54.
- 75. Jensen LH, Wessel I, Moller M, Nitiss JL, Sehested M, et al. N-terminal and core-domain random mutations in human topoisomerase II alpha conferring bisdioxopiperazine resistance. FEBS Lett 2000;480:201-7.
- Miller ML, Ponten TS, Petersen TN, Blom N. NetPhosK Prediction of kinase-specific phosphorylation from sequence and sequencederived features. Febs J 2005;272:111.