Supplementary Materials

A novel structure Ti/Fe₂O₃/Cu₂S/Co(OH)_x enhances the photoelectrochemical water splitting performance of iron oxide

Hao-Yan Shi^{1,2,3}, Yan-Xin Chen^{1,2,3,4,*}, Ming-Hao Ji^{1,3}, Qian-Qian Zhou^{1,3}, Ke-Xian Li^{1,3}, Hai-Long Wang^{1,3}, Rui Chen^{1,3}, Xiu-Mei Lin^{5,*}, Can-Zhong Lu^{1,2,3,4,*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou 350002, Fujian, China. ²School of Chemical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.

³Xiamen Key Laboratory of Rare Earth Photoelectric Functional Materials, Xiamen Institute of Rare-earth Materials, Haixi Institutes, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xiamen 361021, Fujian, China.

⁴Fujian Science & Technology Innovation Laboratory for Optoelectronic Information of China, Fuzhou 350108, Fujian, China.

⁵College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Environment, Fujian Province University Key Laboratory of Analytical Science, Minnan Normal University, Zhangzhou 363000, Fujian, China.

*Correspondence to: Prof. Yan-Xin Chen, Prof. Can-Zhong Lu, State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 155 Yangqiao Road West, Fuzhou 350002, Fujian, China. E-mail: yanxinchen@fjirsm.ac.cn; czlu@fjirsm.ac.cn; Dr. Xiu-Mei Lin, College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Environment, Fujian Province University Key Laboratory of Analytical Science, Minnan Normal University, 36 Xianqian Street, Zhangzhou 363000, Fujian, China. E-mail: xiu-mei.lin@xmu.edu.cn

1. Supplementary Calculations

Generally, the turnover number (TON) for H_2 generation is defined as the ratio of reacting molecules to active sites, as shown in Equation S1.

$$TON = \frac{Number of reacted molecules}{Number of active sites}$$
(S1)

According to the review article by Xiaobo Chen et al.^[1], the TON is usually used in molecular systems. However, evaluating semiconductor photocatalysts using Equation (1) is often difficult because their active sites cannot be accurately determined. Alternatively, the TON can be calculated using Equation S2 in semiconductor systems.

$$TON = \frac{Number of evolved H_2 \times 2}{Number of atoms in a photocatalyst}$$
(S2)

Clearly, the TON in Equation (2) is generally smaller than the real TON in Equation (1) due to the larger number of atoms compared to the number of real active sites.

The specific contents of Fe, Cu, and Co on the photoanode $Ti/Fe_2O_3/Cu_2S/Co(OH)_x$ were determined by ICP-MS. The final TON value for this photoanode after 3 hours of reaction was calculated as 1146 using Equation S3.

$$N = \frac{m}{M} N_{\rm A} \ (S3)$$

Here, N represents the number of atoms or molecules of the substance, m represents the mass of the substance, M represents the relative atomic mass of the element, and N_A represents Avogadro's constant.

The hole transport efficiency ($\eta_{surface}$) between the photoanode and the electrolyte was calculated to better illustrate the separation of charge carriers^[2]. The $\eta_{surface}$ is described by the following Equation S4:

$$\eta_{surface} = \frac{J_{H_2O}}{J_{Na_2SO_3}}$$
 (S4)

Here, J_{H_20} represents the LSV test result of the photoelectrode in a 1 M KOH electrolyte, while $J_{Na_2SO_3}$ represents the LSV test result of the photoelectrode in a 1 M KOH + 1 M Na₂SO₃ electrolyte. Na₂SO₃ is added because SO₃²⁻ has a low activation energy and fast oxidation kinetics. This ensures that all photogenerated holes reaching the photoelectrode/electrolyte interface are immediately depleted, and surface charge recombination can be neglected. Thus, Na₂SO₃ is used as a hole-scavenging agent.

2. Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1. (a) SEM image of Cu₂S nanoparticles loaded on photoanode Ti/Fe₂O₃. (b) Distribution of elements in the selected parts of the EDS. (c) Elemental content map of selected parts of EDS. The samples with the best photoelectric properties had low Cu₂S content, which was difficult to see on the SEM. To prove that Cu₂S nanoparticles were loaded on the surface of Fe₂O₃, the loading of Cu₂S was increased to ensure that the Cu₂S nanoparticles could be seen on the SEM. EDS also proved the presence of the elements of Cu and S with a ratio of the atoms of the two of 2:1, which suggests that it is Cu₂S.



Supplementary Figure 2. LSV curves under AM 1.5G (light intensity: 100 mW/cm²). Fe₂O₃ thin film was obtained by magnetron sputtering of an iron oxide target on a titanium sheet; Fe₂O₃ nanorods are the photoanode Ti/Fe₂O₃. Both were annealed at the same temperature. By testing, it can be found that the photocurrent of the iron oxide nanorods is significantly higher than that of the iron oxide films. This reflects the advantage of 1D morphology in observing high photocurrents.



Supplementary Figure 3. LSV curves under AM 1.5G (light intensity: 100 mW/cm²).
(a) Influence of different Cu₂S contents on the Ti/Fe₂O₃ performance of photoanodes.
(b) Influence of different Co(OH)_x contents on the Ti/Fe₂O₃ performance of photoanodes.



Supplementary Figure 4. Chronoamperometry data plots at 1.23 V *vs.* RHE. Stability test of photoanode Ti/Fe₂O₃/Cu₂S/Co(OH)_x.



Supplementary Figure 5. (a) SEM image of photoanode $Ti/Fe_2O_3/Cu_2S/Co(OH)_x$ before stability testing; (b) SEM image of photoanode $Ti/Fe_2O_3/Cu_2S/Co(OH)_x$ after stability testing. Since the main component of the photoanode used in this work is Fe_2O_3 , the amounts of Cu_2S and $Co(OH)_x$ are very small. Therefore, SEM mainly represents the properties of Fe_2O_3 . As can be seen from Supplementary Figure 5, the morphology of the samples before and after the stability test remained consistent. These two features further prove the stability of the samples.



Supplementary Figure 6. (a) SEM image of photoanode $Ti/Fe_2O_3/Cu_2S/Co(OH)_x$ before stability testing; (b-f) EDS image of photoanode $Ti/Fe_2O_3/Cu_2S/Co(OH)_x$ before stability testing; (g) SEM image of photoanode $Ti/Fe_2O_3/Cu_2S/Co(OH)_x$ after stability testing; (h-i) EDS image of photoanode $Ti/Fe_2O_3/Cu_2S/Co(OH)_x$ after stability testing. EDS (Supplementary Figure 6) also proved the presence of several elements, namely Fe, O, Cu, S, and Co. Together, the above tests proved the stability of the sample properties.



Supplementary Figure 7. LSV curves under AM1.5G (light intensity: 100 mW/cm^2). Comparison of LSV curves of photoanode Ti/Fe₂O₃/Cu₂S/Co(OH)_x before and after stability tests. The results of the LSV test (Supplementary Figure 7) showed that the photocurrent values of the samples before and after the stability test were almost unchanged.



Supplementary Figure 8. The TEM images of the photoanode Ti/Fe₂O₃/Cu₂S/Co(OH)_x after stability testing. The three-phase interface of Fe₂O₃, CuO, and Co(OH)_x is also clearly visible in the TEM photographs.



Supplementary Figure 9. XRD patterns of photoanode Ti/Fe₂O₃/Cu₂S/Co(OH)_x before and after stability testing. The samples before and after the stability test performed XRD characterization, as shown in Supplementary Figure 9. As can be seen from Supplementary Figure 9, the XRD patterns of the samples before and after the stability test were almost unchanged.



Supplementary Figure 10. XPS plots of photoanode Ti/Fe₂O₃/Cu₂S/Co(OH)_x after stability testing. (a) Cu 2p; (b) S 2p; (c) Co 2p. XPS characterization (Figure S10) showed that Cu₂S was converted to CuO after the photocatalytic reaction (Figure 4D and Supplementary Figure 10a). Still, a significant portion of the S-O covalent bond was retained at the interface (Supplementary Figure 10b). As a reported^[3] co-catalyst for water oxidation, the generated CuO can counteract the unfavorable effect of the conversion of Cu₂S to CuO on the photoelectrochemical process^[4]. These results suggest that forming S-O chemical bonds between Cu₂S and Fe₂O₃ is essential for improving and stabilizing PEC performance. In addition, the XPS fitting results for Co 2p were similar before and after the photocatalytic reaction (Figure 4F and Supplementary Figure 10c), which suggests that the Co(OH)_x material will not be oxidized to metal oxides. The results after stability tests further highlight the critical role of ultrathin Co(OH)_x nanosheets in preventing photo corrosion and improving the performance of photoanode PEC.



Supplementary Figure 11. FT-IR spectra of photoanode $Ti/Fe_2O_3/Cu_2S/Co(OH)_x$ before and after stability testing. As can be seen from Supplementary Figure 11, the FTIR spectra of the samples did not change significantly before and after the stability test.



Supplementary Figure 12. $\eta_{surface}$ curves. The introduction of Cu₂S and Co(OH)_x enhances the separation efficiency of photogenerated electrons and holes in Fe₂O₃, as depicted in Supplementary Figure 12. Conversely, the Ti/Fe₂O₃/Cu₂S/Co(OH)_x photoanode exhibits the highest $\eta_{surface}$, indicating the lowest electron-hole conformity.

3. Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1. Comparison of PEC performance of

 $Ti/Fe_2O_3/Cu_2S/Co(OH)_x$ photoanode with other reported Fe_2O_3 -based photoanodes in this study.

	Photocurrent density at 1.23 V (vs.				
Fe ₂ O ₃ -based photoanodes	RHE) (mA cm ⁻² , AM 1.5G, 100 mW	Ref.			
	cm ⁻²)				
Ta:Fe ₂ O ₃ @CaFe ₂ O ₄	2.7	[5]			
ZnFe ₂ O ₄ /Fe ₂ O ₃	3.17	[6]			
Fe ₂ O ₃ @Co ₃ O ₄ /GQDs	3.63	[7]			
Fe ₂ O ₃ /Fe ₂ TiO ₅ /FeTi-LDH	3.54	[8]			
Hf:Fe ₂ O ₃ @HfO _x /NiCoFe(OH	113	[9]			
)x	т.15				
SAs Pt:Fe ₂ O ₃ -O _v	3.65	[10]			
NiFe(OH) _x /P _{Si} /Ge-PH	4.57	[11]			
Fe ₂ O ₃ /Ir	1.35	[12]			
Ti/Fe ₂ O ₃ /Cu ₂ S/Co(OH) _x	4.8	This work			

Supplementary Table 2. The fitting data of the equivalent circuit (Tested at 1.23V vs. RHE)

Sample	Rs	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	CPE ₁	CPE ₂	C ₁	$\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{w}}$
	(Ω)	(KΩ)	(KΩ)	(Ω)	(µF)	(µF)	(µF)	(KDW)
Ti/Fe ₂ O ₃	44.5	2.99	140	1220	5.61	0.183	42.1	19.4
Ti/Fe ₂ O ₃ /Cu ₂ S	2.37	4.88	6.07	566	16.6	0.0633	103	3.21
Ti/Fe ₂ O ₃ /Cu ₂ S/Co(OH) _x	16.3	4.93	82.2	509	19.1	0.0793	72.4	2.5

Sample	Rs	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	CPE ₁	CPE ₂	C ₁	$\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{w}}$
	(Ω)	(KΩ)	(KΩ)	(Ω)	(µF)	(µF)	(µF)	(KDW)
Ti/Fe ₂ O ₃	1.21	5.55	87.7	624	18.6	0.059	122	8.75
Ti/Fe ₂ O ₃ /Cu ₂ S	2.03	5.79	2.95	603	18.2	0.063	953	0.9
Ti/Fe ₂ O ₃ /Cu ₂ S/Co(OH) _x	12.0	4.64	36.8	584	17.4	0.070	193	1.17

Supplementary Table 3. The fitting data of the equivalent circuit (Tested at open circuit potential)

REFERENCES

1. Li X, Yu JG, Low JX, Fang YP, Xiao J, Chen XB. Engineering heterogeneous semiconductors for solar water splitting. *J Mater Chem A* 2015;3:2485-534. http://doi.org/10.1039/c4ta04461d.

2. Ji MH, Chen YX, Chen R, et al. A novel a-Fe₂O₃ photoanode with multilayered In₂O₃/Co-Mn nanostructure for efficient photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Int J Hydrogen Energy* 2024;51:66-77. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2023.08.061.

3. Wang WZ, Wang J, Wang ZZ, et al. p-n junction CuO/BiVO₄ heterogeneous nanostructures: synthesis and highly efficient visible-light photocatalytic performance. *Dalton Trans* 2014;43:6735-43. http://doi.org/10.1039/c3dt53613k.

4. Li JQ, Cui MM, Guo ZY, Liu ZX, Zhu ZF. Synthesis of dumbbell-like CuO-BiVO₄ heterogeneous nanostructures with enhanced visible-light photocatalytic activity. *Mater Lett* 2014;130:36-39. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2014.05.084.

5. Kang K, Tang C, Kim JH, et al. In situ construction of Ta:Fe₂O₃@CaFe₂O₄ coreshell nanorod p-t-n heterojunction photoanodes for efficient and robust solar water oxidation. *ACS Catal* 2023;13:7002-12. http://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.3c00932.

6. Hu X, Huang J, Cao Y, et al. Photothermal-boosted polaron transport in Fe₂O₃ photoanodes for efficient photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Carbon Energy* 2023. http://doi.org/10.1002/cey2.369.

7. Li C, Ma S, Zhao M, Jing M, Yuan W, Li C. Self-Assembled α-

Fe₂O₃@Co₃O₄/Graphene Quantum dot core-hybrid shell wormlike nanoarrays with synergistic effects for photoelectrochemical water oxidation. *ACS Sustainable Chem Eng* 2023;11:12102-13. http://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.3c02859.

8. Fouemina JCN, Li G, She X, et al. Surface self-transforming FeTi-LDH overlayer in Fe₂O₃/Fe₂TiO₅ photoanode for improved water oxidation. *Small* 2023. http://doi.org/10.1002/smll.202301114.

9. Li W, Guo H, Xu C, Tang C, Lee JS, Zhang H. Hole storage overlayer of amorphous hafnium oxide for boosting hematite-based solar water splitting. *Appl Catal B-Environ Energy* 2024;342. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2023.123465.

10. Gao R-T, Zhang J, Nakajima T, et al. Single-atomic-site platinum steers photogenerated charge carrier lifetime of hematite nanoflakes for photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Nat Commun* 2023;14. http://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-38343-6. 11. Ahn H-J, Yoon K-Y, Sung M, et al. Utilizing a siloxane-modified organic semiconductor for photoelectrochemical water splitting. *ACS Energy Lett* 2023;8:2595-602. http://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.3c00755.

12. Yuan S-Y, Jiang LW, Hu J-S, Liu H, Wang J-J. Fully dispersed IrO_x atomic clusters enable record photoelectrochemical water oxidation of hematite in acidic media. *Nano Lett* 2023;23:2354-61. http://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.3c00245.