**Supplementary Materials** 

Anti-angiogenic therapy in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma current limitations and future directions

Felix Nieberle<sup>1,#</sup>, Steffen Spoerl<sup>1,#</sup>, Alicja Głuszko<sup>2</sup>, Juergen Taxis<sup>1</sup>, Gerrit Spanier<sup>1</sup>, Ramona Erber<sup>3</sup>, Silvia Spoerl<sup>4</sup>, Mirosław J. Szczepański<sup>2</sup>, Philipp Beckhove<sup>5,6</sup>, Torsten E. Reichert<sup>1</sup>, Theresa L. Whiteside<sup>7,8</sup>, Nils Ludwig<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, University Hospital Regensburg, Regensburg 93053, Germany.

<sup>2</sup>Chair and Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw 02-097, Poland.

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Pathology, University Hospital Erlangen, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU), Comprehensive Cancer Center Erlangen-EMN, 91054 Erlangen, Germany.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Internal Medicine 5, Hematology/Oncology, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg, 91054 Erlangen, Germany.

<sup>5</sup>Division of Interventional Immunology, Leibniz Institute for Immunotherapy (LIT),

Regensburg 93053, Germany.

<sup>6</sup>Department of Internal Medicine III, University Hospital Regensburg, Regensburg 93053, Germany.

<sup>7</sup>Departments of Pathology, Immunology and Otolaryngology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA.

<sup>8</sup>UPMC Hillman Cancer Center, Pittsburgh, PA 15232, USA.

<sup>#</sup>Authors contributed equally to this work.

**Correspondence to:** Dr. Nils Ludwig, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, University Hospital Regensburg, Franz-Josef-Strauß-Allee 11, Regensburg 93053, Germany. E-mail: <u>nils.ludwig@ukr.de</u>

## Supplementary Table 1

Target of anti- angiogenic therapy	Ligand-directed antibodies			
Treatment modality	Bevacizumab, and chemotherapy or radiotherapy			
Clinical results in other tumors	<b>Clinical trial:</b> phase II in patients with recurrent glioblastoma or anaplastic glioma (NCT01743950). <b>Results:</b> pending trial.			
	Clinical trial: locoregionally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients (NCT00408694).			
	<b>Results:</b> the 5- and 7-year rates were 79.5% (95% CI, 67.6%-91.5%) and 69.7% (95% CI, 55.9%-83.5%) for OS, 61.2% (95% CI, 46.8%-75.6%) and 56.3% (95% CI, 41.5%-71.1%) for PFS, 74.9% (95% CI, 61.4%-86.6%) and 72.3% (95% CI, 58.4%-84.7%) for LRPF interval, and 79.5% (95% CI,66.4%-90.0%) for both times for DMF interval, and low rate of distant metastasis (73).			
Target of anti- angiogenic therapy	Ligand-directed antibodies combined with receptor-directed antibodies			
Treatment modality	Bevacizumab and erlotinib, with or without chemotherapy			
Clinical results in other tumors	<b>Meta-analysis:</b> randomized controlled trials erlotinib plus bevacizumab versus erlotinib alone in patients with <i>EGFR</i> -positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer.			
	<b>Results:</b> combination significantly prolonged PFS but not OS (74).			
	Clinical trial: phase II trial of combined therapy with standard chemotherapy in NSCLC.			
	Results: treatment regimen is feasible in patients with advanced NSCLC (83).			
	Meta-analysis: efficacy in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma.			
	<b>Results:</b> significant and favorable PFS of combined treatment (84).			
Target of anti- angiogenic therapy	Receptor-directed antibodies combined with immune checkpoint inhibitors			

Treatment modality	Ramucirumab, a monoclonal antibody targeting VEGFR2 and pembrolizumab, a monoclonal antibody, FDA-approved for treatment of HNSCC, against programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1)	Apatinib, VEGFR2 inhibitor, and camrelizumab, anti-PD-1 moncloonal antibody	Bevacizumab and atezolizumab, anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody
Clinical results in other tumors	Ramucirumab gained regulatory approval in NSCLC, gastric cancer, colorectal cancer, and hepatocellular carcinoma (16). <b>Results:</b> in patients with previously treated advanced NSCLC, gastro-oesophageal cancer or urothelial carcinomas combined therapy favorable antitumor activity (51).	Clinical trial: phase II clinical trial in platinum-resistant nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NCT04547088). Results: combined treatment effectiveness was associated with high expression of PD- L1, VEGFR2, and B-cell related genes signatures, with a measurable safety profile. Clinical trial: phase Ib/II (NCT03092895) in patients with liver cancer (NCT03092895). Results: promising antitumor activity with median PFS and OS 3,7 months and 13,2 months, respectively.	<ul> <li>FDA approved combined therapy in unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma.</li> <li>Clinical trial: in patients with untreated locally advanced or metastatic hepatocellular carcinoma (NCT03434379).</li> <li>Results: OS at 12 months was 67.2% for combined treatment in compared to 54.6% for sorafenib. Median PFS was 6.8 months and 4.3 months in the respective groups.</li> <li>Clinical trial: phase III combined therapy and chemotherapy for metastatic, persistent, or recurrent cervical cancer (NCT03556839).</li> <li>Results: significantly improves PFS (13,7 months with atezolizumab vs. 10,4 months with standard therapy bevacizumab and chemotherapy) and OS (32,1 months vs. 22.8 months, respectively).</li> </ul>

Target	of	anti-	Tyrosine	kinase	inhibitors

angiogenic

therapy

Treatment<br/>modalitySorafenib and/or sunitinib, targeting multiple receptors involved in angiogenesis, including VEGFRMeta analysis: comparative efficiency of sorafenib vs. sunitinib as a first-line therapy in renal cell carcinoma.<br/>Results: significantly better OS and ORR for sunitinib then sorafenib but higher toxicity (75).

Clinical trial: comparing the efficacy of <u>sunitinib</u> and <u>sorafenib</u>, <u>sunitinib</u> followed by sorafenib (SU/SO) or sorafenib followed by sunitinib (SO/SU), as first-line <u>treatment</u> of metastatic <u>clear cell renal cell carcinoma</u> (NCT01481870). **Results:** no statistically significant differences were found in first-line PFS, total PFS, or OS between the 2 treatment arms. **Clinical trial:** sorafenib and sunitinib efficiency in the treatment of RAI-refractory metastatic differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) first-line treatment with sorafenib subsequently switched to sunitinib, most due to disease progression. **Results:** PFS was 10.8 months with sorafenib and 6 months with sunitinib as a second-line treatment. Best overall response was partial remission (PR) with either agent – PR rate of 30.7% with sorafenib and 37.5% with second-line sunitinib (76).

Target	of	anti-	Histone	deacetylase	inhibitors	(HDACi	)
							,

angiogenic

therapy			
Treatment	Romidepsin - Zn-dependent	Vorinostat combined with chemotherapy	Vorinostat combined with pembrolizumab
modality	histone deacetylase inhibitor	and/or radiation therapy	_
Clinical results	Clinical trial: phase I/II in	Clinical trial: phase 1 in patients with	Clinical trial: phase I in patients with
in other tumors	patients with recurrent	gastrointestinal carcinoma (NCT00455351).	relapsed/refractory (RR) classical Hodgkin
	glioblastomas (NCT00085540).	<b>Results:</b> HDAC inhibitors have shown	lymphoma (cHL), diffuse large B-cell
	<b>Results:</b> ineffective (79)	radiosensitising activity in preclinical	lymphoma, and follicular lymphoma.
	Clinical trial: phase I in	tumour models (81).	(NCT03150329).
	patients with solid tumors for	Clinical trial: phase II in resistant refractory	<b>Results</b> : the overall response rate (ORR) was
	which no standard therapy	solid tumors (NCT00404508).	72 % and complete response (CR) rate was
	exists (NCT00053963)	<b>Results</b> : a clinical benefit was observed in 12	34 % (82).
	Results: 1 patient obtained a	(80%) patients: four PR, and eight SD (78).	
	partial response. The		
	recommended Phase II dose is		
	17.8 mg/m(2) (80).		