

Perspective

Open Access



Genome-edited rabbit, a prospective alternative model for neurological diseases

Zhongtian Zhang^{1,#}, Yuning Song^{1,#}, Liangxue Lai^{2,3}, Zhanjun Li¹

¹Key Laboratory of Zoonosis Research, Ministry of Education, College of Animal Science, Jilin University, Changchun 130062, Jilin, China.

²Jilin Provincial Key Laboratory of Animal Embryo Engineering, Institute of Zoonosis, College of Veterinary Medicine, Jilin University, Changchun 130062, Jilin, China.

³Guangzhou Regenerative Medicine and Health Guangdong Laboratory (GRMH-GDL), Guangzhou 510005, Guangdong, China.

[#]Contributed equally to this article.

Correspondence to: Prof. Zhanjun Li, Key Laboratory of Zoonosis Research, Ministry of Education, College of Animal Science, Jilin University, Changchun 130062, Jilin, China. Email: lizj_1998@jlu.edu.cn

How to cite this article: Zhang Z, Song Y, Lai L, Li Z. Genome-edited rabbit, a prospective alternative model for neurological diseases. *Ageing Neur Dis* 2022;2:16. <https://dx.doi.org/10.20517/and.2022.15>

Received: 7 Jun 2022 **First Decision:** 8 Jul 2022 **Revised:** 8 Sep 2022 **Accepted:** 15 Sep 2022 **Published:** 21 Sep 2022

Academic Editors: Wei-Dong Le, Xiao-Jiang Li **Copy Editor:** Peng-Juan Wen **Production Editor:** Peng-Juan Wen

Abstract

Animal models have great importance in the research of human neurodegenerative diseases due to their value in symptom mimicking, mechanism investigation, and preclinical tests. Although non-human primate and large animal models have good performance in disease modeling due to their high maintenance cost and critical ethical standards, rodent models are commonly used. Rodent models have been successfully applied in modeling many neurological diseases; however, their genetic background, neuroanatomical features, and nervous system development are different from those of humans. Moreover, the short lifespan and small body size of rodent models also limit the monitoring of disease progression and observation of clinical symptoms in studying neuronal disorders that are late-onset or have a long course of progression. In comparison with rodents, rabbits are phylogenetically closer to humans and have closer similarities to humans in brain development, thus are an alternate animal model for human neurological diseases.

Keywords: Neurodegenerative diseases, genome editing, animal model, rabbit, Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, for any purpose, even commercially, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



INTRODUCTION

Neurodegenerative diseases (NDDs) are associated with progressive neuron losses, most of which are linked with genetic disorders^[1]. At present, neurological disorders are considered as one of the major causes of mortality and disability worldwide^[2]. Unfortunately, many NDDs are late-onset and hard to detect in the early stage; for instance, Parkinson's disease (PD) and Alzheimer's disease (AD) have high morbidity in elderly patients, while amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) does not exhibit clear symptoms at the early stage of the disease. Moreover, due to the irreversibility of neuronal death, no effective therapeutic approaches are available at present. Therefore, a thorough investigation of these diseases is essential for the development of disease-specific and effective prognostic, diagnostic, and therapeutic strategies. Model organisms are essential platforms for the above research; cell lines and animal models are frequently used. Although cell models can be used for the investigation of pathological pathways and molecular mechanisms of disease pathogenesis^[3], due to the limitation in modeling organogenesis and human physiology^[4], they cannot mimic histological, morphological, and behavioral changes in human diseases. Therefore, animal disease models that partially recapitulate the aspects of human diseases are essential. Additionally, animal models have irreplaceable value in preclinical tests; they are also important for the development of prognostic and therapeutic strategies.

In comparison with non-mammalian animals such as zebrafish and *Drosophila melanogaster*, mammalian models have greater similarities to humans in genetics, metabolism, and physiology and can therefore mimic some of the biological and clinical features of human disease^[5,6]. In practical research, large animal models are often used because of the biological characteristics of these animals for modeling human NDDs^[7]. With the development of genome editing tools and somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT) techniques, genetically modified large animal models can be effectively produced, which can promote the utilization of these models^[8]. Unfortunately, maintenance costs, space requirements, and ethical standards are still problems for the use of large animal models in biomedical research. Compared with large animal models, rodents have a small body size, low maintenance cost, and can be easily handled, making them cost-efficient models. Moreover, rodents have a relatively high genetic identity and physiological similarity to humans, and genetic modification capabilities can facilitate the modeling of genetic disorders^[9]. Therefore, currently, rodents are the main experimental animal for biomedical research and disease modeling. From 1950 to 2010, approximately 80% of animal-based biomedical studies were performed on rodents (59% on mice and 18% on rats)^[10].

Rodents have been applied in modeling many neurological diseases and have adequate precision in mimicking the pathology and physiology in some cases. However, due to factors such as lifespan, genetic differences from humans, and small body size, rodent models have some limitations in studying neuronal disorders^[9]. For example, they cannot replicate the exact pathological hallmarks in some human diseases because of physiological and genetic differences. PD mouse models (α -synuclein transgene or knockout of *LRRK2*, *PRKN*, and *PINK1*) do not show degeneration of nigrostriatal dopaminergic neurons^[11] and striatal neurons remain viable, which is different from the pathological features in human disease^[11]. The absence of intranuclear inclusion body formation in neuronal cells of ALS mice overexpressing mutant *hSOD1* is inconsistent with the phenotype of human ALS disease. Thus, finding an alternative animal species for modeling is needed to produce better models for diseases that cannot be recapitulated in mice.

Rabbits are docile and easy to handle; their short reproductive cycle and high reproductive performance can guarantee an abundant sample size for experiments; and the efficiency of model production and the low demand for rearing and surgical operation equipment make rabbits easy to maintain and handle^[12]. Moreover, rabbits have an intermediate lifespan (longer than rodents but shorter than large animals such as

non-human primates), and, compared with rodents, rabbits are phylogenetically closer to humans^[13] and are more similar to humans in brain development^[14]; therefore, they may have better precision in disease modeling. With the development of targeted genome editing tools, producing targeted genome-edited rabbit models for human neuronal disorders has become attainable.

ADVANTAGES OF RABBITS AS ANIMAL MODELS OF NEUROLOGICAL DISEASES

Rabbits are phylogenetically closer to humans than mice

Genetic similarity to humans is linked with the identity of protein structure and function, and high genetic similarity could increase the precision of disease modeling. Compared with rodents, rabbits are phylogenetically closer to primates^[13], suggesting they may have better precision in disease modeling. Notably, some human genes do not have orthologs in mice: approximately 1% of human genes cannot find orthologs in mice's genomes. For instance, caspase 10, a gene that is linked to neurodegeneration via the extrinsic apoptosis pathway^[15], is absent in mice but has orthologs in rabbits^[11]. However, the phylogenetic similarity between rabbits and humans does not guarantee rabbits would be a better model for all human diseases; the performance of disease modeling is still dependent on the type of mutant gene, and the mechanism involved in the pathogenesis should also be considered.

The development of the central nervous system of rabbits has greater similarity to humans compared with rodents

Neurological features are critical for NDD modeling, and the CNS development of rabbits is highly similar to that of humans compared with that of rodents. Specifically, the phase of brain development and myelination in rabbits is more similar to humans than that of rodents, since such a process happens during the perinatal period in humans and rabbits but postnatally in rodents^[14]. Moreover, rabbits have a higher brain volume and cerebral surface area than mice. The time point of morphological configuration of major CNS structures of rabbits is closer to humans. The development of structures such as primitive streak, neural tube closure, and primary brain vesicles in rabbits is chronologically similar to that in humans^[14]. In addition, the development of white matter in rabbits is closer to that of humans^[14], and rabbits have a higher white matter ratio than mice (approximately 20% vs. 10%)^[16,17] [Table 1]. Moreover, rabbits have larger brain volume, cortex surface area, and number of neurons compared with rodents [Table 1], suggesting that rabbits may exhibit better cognitive, learning, and memory abilities.

Rabbits can be trained to learn basic skills (e.g., recall signals) through positive reinforcement^[25]. Rabbits also have both short- and long-term memory^[26,27] and can exhibit memory losses when mimicking NDDs such as AD^[27]. Specifically, in an AD rabbit model constructed by drug induction, the results of novel object recognition (NOR) and object location memory (OLM) tests suggest that the model can track cognitive impairment^[28]. In other studies, the results of conditional and unconditional response tests also suggest that the AD rabbit model has reduced learning ability^[29,30].

Additionally, axon degeneration is a common pathological feature of NDDs, and neurons with longer projections have a higher vulnerability to axon degeneration, which can be easily affected in NDDs^[31]. Some mice models of motor neuron diseases exhibit molecular pathological features in neurons but only exhibit mild or even no behavioral symptoms^[32,33]; vulnerability to axon degeneration might be the explanation for this phenomenon, since the axon length in rodents is shorter than that in larger animals. Collectively, rabbit models might have better accuracy in mimicking human neuronal diseases compared to rodents.

Rabbits have a relatively large body size for handling and sampling

In addition to the genetic and neurological features, rabbits also have a bigger body size compared with rodents, which can facilitate better animal handling and symptom observation. The relatively large body size

Table 1. Major differences in size and structures between rabbits and mice

	Human	Rabbit	Mice
Brain volume ^[18]	1300-1400 g	10-13 g	0.4-0.5 g
Spinal cord length ^[18]	43 to 45 cm	18 cm	7.5
Gray-white matter ratio ^[16,17]	40:60	80:20	90:10
Duration to reach adult brain volume ^[19,20]	20 years	4 months	2 months
Glia-neuron ratio (GNR) ^[21]	1.66	0.32-0.49	0.29-0.42
Number of neurons ^[22-24]	86,000 million	494.2 million	71 million

of the rabbits is also associated with larger organ size and blood volume (45-75 mL per kg body weight versus 1.5-2.5 mL)^[10,34], which can be beneficial for diagnostic investment, surgical operation, and sampling for pathological analysis. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and blood biomarker analyses are commonly used in the diagnosis of NDDs such as AD and FTD^[35-37]. Such assays are hard to perform in mice due to the poor sample size, especially for experiments that need continuous monitoring; in contrast, for larger animals such as rabbits, an adequate amount of sample can be collected with minimal harm to the animal.

In addition, medical imaging approaches are usually needed for the prognosis and diagnosis of NDDs^[38,39]. However, it is hard to perform high-definition medical imaging on small animals due to the limitation of the equipment. High-resolution magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in mice requires a scanner with an ultra-high field strength of 7 T or higher^[40], which is inaccessible for most researchers. Compared with rodents, rabbits have larger CNS [Table 1]; thus, a normal MRI scanner with a 3.0 T field strength is adequate for CNS imaging in rabbits^[41]. The large body size of rabbits can also benefit electromyography tests, which are commonly used in the diagnosis of neuromuscular diseases such as ALS. Additionally, the scale of the central neuron system also affects the maneuverability of tissue sampling and the intraparenchymal or epidural injection of therapeutic vectors such as AAV in future translational medical research.

Collectively, the larger body size of rabbits makes it easier to handle and sample compared with small animals, which largely facilitate phenotype observation and surgical operation.

The lifespan of rabbits is long enough for the observation of disease progression

The onset of neurodegenerative disease and the speed of progression are affected by both genetic and environmental factors^[42]. For pathological mutations that induce late onset and slow progression, the lifespan of animal models should also be considered, since the effect of aging can interfere with the observation of clinical symptoms^[9]. Generally, NDDs are progressive diseases that last from years to decades. For instance, the median survival time of ALS patients is 20-48 months^[43], while that of AD patients can reach up to 30 years^[44]. Moreover, late-onset NDDs such as AD and PD develop late in life; both diseases usually begin at age 60 or older in human patients^[45]. However, the normal lifespan of mice is 12-36 months^[10], which means that for diseases that begin late or have a slow rate of progression, mice may not fully exhibit the whole course of the disease. For mutations that can only induce late-onset symptoms or slow progressive disease, mice models may not exhibit observable symptoms in their lifetime without extra administration, such as drug stimulation^[46]. Furthermore, due to the short lifespan of mice, it is hard to identify whether a symptom (e.g., vision loss) is caused by pathological neuronal death or age-related reasons^[9]. In the adult phase, 2.6 mice days is equivalent to one human year, while, in the post-senescence phase, 2.069 mice days is equivalent to one human year^[10]. Such fast senescence processes can largely limit the progression of disease and interfere with the observation of disease-related clinical symptoms. In contrast, the maximum lifespan of laboratory rabbits can reach up to 10 years under proper conditions, and

one human year is equivalent to 18.25 and 50.34 rabbit days in the adult and post-senescence phases, respectively^[34]. Thus, for most NDDs, the lifespan of rabbits is long enough for the observation of disease progression.

PRODUCTION OF GENOME-MODIFIED RABBIT DISEASE MODELS VIA CRISPR-CAS SYSTEM

The production of disease models that recapitulate the pathological features of human disease is an important approach to investigating the pathogenesis of the disease. Artificially induced disease models can exhibit clinical features of some NDDs. For instance, hydroxydopamine, 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine, rotenone, and paraquat are commonly used in the induction of Parkinson's disease^[47]. However, many NDDs are caused by pathological mutation of the disease-related gene, and an induction model cannot fully recapitulate the whole pathological pathway of diseases caused by genetic disorders^[1]. Therefore, to elucidate the whole pathogenesis process of neuron degeneration, the production of animal models that carry pathological mutations that mimic human disease is necessary.

With the development of gene-editing tools, efficient and accurate genome modification has become achievable. To date, various genome-edited rabbits have been constructed, as shown in [Table 2](#). In 2013, the CRISPR-Cas9 system was harnessed for efficient targeted genome editing in eukaryotic cells^[99,100]. Moreover, with the further development of research on CRISPR-Cas systems, the CRISPR-Cas systems and their derivatives can facilitate targeted gene knockout (KO), knockin (KI), activation, suppression, and single-base substitution. Presently, various genome editing tools based on CRISPR-Cas systems are widely used in multiple species, including non-human primates, large non-primate animals, rodents, and rabbits^[101-103].

The first CRISPR-Cas-mediated gene KO in rabbits was successfully generated in 2014^[101] [[Table 2](#)]; however, full-length gene KO can only recapitulate diseases caused by loss of function. To mimic diseases caused by gain-of-function mutation due to point mutation, more accurate gene manipulation is needed. Furthermore, more than 50,000 disease-causing mutations in humans are point mutations; therefore, a novel system that can mediate single base substitution is needed. Since 2017, the development of cytosine and adenine base editing systems can facilitate efficient C to T and A to G base substitutions, which can facilitate precise gene manipulation^[104]. Such systems were identified as having ideal editing efficiency in rabbits [[Table 2](#)]; the efficiency of cytidine base editor (CBE) and adenine base editor (ABE) in rabbits after co-microinjection of base editor mRNA and sgRNA are 53%-88% and 44%-100%, respectively^[90]. The following refinement of base editors has overcome or reduced the limitations of PAM sequences and the incidence of bystander activities^[92,105]. At this stage, base editing systems are capable of inducing disease causative missense and nonsense mutations in rabbits to generate disease models.

Although base editing systems can induce four transversion mutations, it is impossible for such systems to induce the other eight transversion mutations. Moreover, the generation of bystander mutations cannot be completely avoided when there are multiple C or A in the editing window. Importantly, conventional gene editing systems cannot induce efficient single base or oligonucleotide insertions and deletions. Therefore, it is hard to generate disease models with fragment shift mutations. Fortunately, the development of prime editing systems solved such problems in 2019. The system, which is based on the target binding capacity of the CRISPR-Cas9 system and the retro-transcription activity of retrotranscriptase, can facilitate the whole genome "search and replace" activity in organisms. Prime editor was successfully used in generating a Tay-Sachs disease (TSD) rabbit model in 2021 [[Table 2](#)], which is a model of neurological disease generated by prime editor-mediated four base insertion^[98].

Table 2. Summary of genetically modified rabbits

System	Genes	Modification	Application	Refs.
ZFN	<i>IgM</i>	KO	Immunodeficiency	[48]
ZFN	<i>APOC3</i>	KO	Lipid metabolism and atherosclerosis	[49]
ZFN	<i>APOE</i>	KO	Lipid metabolism and atherosclerosis	[50]
ZFN	<i>CETP</i>	KO	Lipid metabolism and atherosclerosis	[51]
TALENs	<i>RAG1; RAG2</i>	KO	Immunodeficiency	[52]
TALENs	<i>FAH</i>	KO	Hereditary tyrosinemia type 1	[53]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>FBN1</i>	KO	Marfanoid progeroid lipodystrophy syndrome	[54]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>DMD</i>	KO	Duchenne muscular dystrophy	[55]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>ANOS</i>	KO	Muscular dystrophy	[56]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>α-Crystallin</i>	KO	Congenital cataracts	[57]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>GJA8</i>	KO	Congenital cataracts	[58]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>LDLR</i>	KO	Lipid metabolism and atherosclerosis	[59]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>MSTN</i>	KO	Muscle hypertrophy	[60,61]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>SRY</i>	KO	Sex reversal syndromes and hermaphroditism syndromes	[53,54]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>PHEX</i>	KO	X-linked hypophosphatemia	[62]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>LMNA</i>	KO	Premature aging syndrome	[63]
CRISPR/Cpf1	<i>WRN</i>	KO	Werner syndrome	[64]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>TYR</i>	KO	Oculocutaneous albinism	[65,66]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>DMP1</i>	KO	Mineralization defects	[67]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>GADD45G</i>	KO	Congenital cleft palate	[68]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>HOXC13</i>	KO	Hair and nail ectodermal dysplasia	[69]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>GCK</i>	KO	Maturity-onset diabetes of the young type 2	[70]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>HBB2</i>	KO	β-thalassemia	[71]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>WAS</i>	KO	Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome	[72]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>CBS</i>	KO	Congenital hyper-homocysteinemia	[73]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>LDLR; APOE</i>	KO	Lipid metabolism and atherosclerosis	[74]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>APOC3</i>	KO	Lipid metabolism and atherosclerosis	[75]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>CFTR</i>	KO	Cystic fibrosis	[76]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>CFTR</i>	KO ΔF508	Cystic fibrosis	[77]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>CLPG</i>	KO	Muscular hypertrophy syndrome	[69]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>FGF5</i>	KO	Long hair	[78]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>IL2RG</i>	KO	X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency	[79]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>MC1R</i>	KO	Block the synthesis of eumelanin and create a novel pale-yellow coat color	[80]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>XIST P1</i>	KO	X-chromosome inactivation	[73]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>MSTN</i>	KO	Muscle hypertrophy	[74]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>PCSK9</i>	p.S386A	Lipid metabolism and atherosclerosis	[75]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>ATP7B</i>	p. R778L	Wilson Disease	[81]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>TYR</i>	p. T373K	Oculocutaneous albinism	[82]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>TYR</i>	KO	Oculocutaneous albinism	[83]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>RAG; RAG2; TIK1; ALB; IL2RG</i>	Multiplex gene KO	Immunodeficiency	[84-86]
CRISPR/Cas9	<i>FUT1; FUT2; SEC1</i>	KO	Fucosyltransferases enzymes activity	[87]

CRISPR/Cas9	<i>ROSA 26</i>	KI	Safe harbor gene	[88,89]
BE3	<i>MSTN</i>	p.Q93stop	Muscle hypertrophy	[90]
	<i>TYR</i>	p.Q68stop	Oculocutaneous albinism	
ABE7.10	<i>DMD</i>	p.T279A	Duchenne muscular dystrophy	
eAID- BE4max	<i>TYR</i>	p.R299H	Oculocutaneous albinism	[91]
YFE-BE4max	<i>TYR</i>	p.Q68Stop	Oculocutaneous albinism	[92]
nNme2-CBE	<i>FGF5</i>	p.Q79Sstop	Long hair	[93]
eA3G-BE	<i>TYR</i>	p.Q48stop	Oculocutaneous albinism	[94]
NG-ABEmax	<i>HOXC13</i>	p.Q271R	Hair and nail ectodermal dysplasia	[95]
BE4max	<i>FGF5</i>	Start Codon Disruption	Long hair	[96]
ABE8.17	<i>TYR</i>	p.T325A	Oculocutaneous albinism	[97]
	<i>LMNA</i>	p.L530P	Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy	
PE3	<i>HEXA</i>	p.Y427fs	Tay-Sachs disease	[98]

PROSPECTS AND LIMITATIONS FOR EVALUATING RABBIT DISEASE MODELS

The observation of the clinical phenotypes of diseases is important for the evaluation of animal models. However, unlike the well-developed testing platforms for rodent models, currently, the evaluation criteria for rabbit NDD models are not well established.

In general, the diagnosis, prognosis, and autopsy criteria in human NDDs can be used in animal models. Such investigations can provide data that are comparable to human clinical reports and have better referential value. Indeed, commercialized analysis platforms, such as serological testing, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, MRI, electromyography, and histological analysis, are versatile and authentic tools for the assessment of both humans and animals including rabbits. However, it is impossible to apply the whole set of human diagnostic criteria to animals. For example, the investigation methods for behavioral and cognitive analyses in humans are hard to apply in animal models. Standardized and species-specific behavioral analysis platforms can support the assessment of animal disease models. For rodents, systematic behavioral analysis systems are well established and standardized; systems such as multivariate concentric square field and cylinder test are used to investigate traits such as sensory-motor function^[106]. In contrast, the behavioral and cognitive analysis platforms for rabbits are not well developed at present, and further development of these systems is necessary for the future use of rabbits in neurological disease modeling.

CONCLUSION

Collectively, rabbits are more similar to humans in brain development, with more genetic similarities than rodents, and longer lifespan and larger body size, suggesting that rabbits can perform well in human neurological disease modeling in addition to traditional non-human primates, large animals, and rodent models. Therefore, it is expected that, in the near future, with the further development of genome editing technology and the establishment of phenotype assessment platforms for rabbit models, the value of rabbits in the research of neurological diseases can be maximized, not only for the understanding of pathological mechanisms but also for innovation of therapeutic approaches.

DECLARATIONS

Authors' contributions

Made substantial contributions to conception and design of the study and performed data analysis and interpretation: Zhang Z, Song Y

Performed data acquisition, as well as provided administrative, technical, and material support: Li Z, Lai L

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Financial support and sponsorship

This study was financially supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China Stem Cell and Translational Research (2019YFA0110700).

Conflicts of interest

All authors declared that there are no conflicts of interest.

Ethical approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Copyright

© The Author(s) 2022.

REFERENCES

1. Bertram L, Tanzi RE. The genetic epidemiology of neurodegenerative disease. *J Clin Invest* 2005;115:1449-57. DOI PubMed PMC
2. Chin JH, Vora N. The global burden of neurologic diseases. *Neurology* 2014;83:349-51. DOI PubMed PMC
3. Mirabelli P, Coppola L, Salvatore M. Cancer cell lines are useful model systems for medical research. *Cancers (Basel)* 2019;11:1098. DOI PubMed PMC
4. Heydari Z, Moeinvaziri F, Agarwal T, et al. Organoids: a novel modality in disease modeling. *Biodes Manuf* 2021;4:689-716. DOI PubMed PMC
5. Johan Arief MF, Choo BKM, Yap JL, Kumari Y, Shaikh MF. A systematic review on non-mammalian models in epilepsy research. *Front Pharmacol* 2018;9:655. DOI PubMed PMC
6. Götz J, Streffer JR, David D, et al. Transgenic animal models of Alzheimer's disease and related disorders: histopathology, behavior and therapy. *Mol Psychiatry* 2004;9:664-83. DOI PubMed
7. Murray SJ, Mitchell NL. The translational benefits of sheep as large animal models of human neurological disorders. *Front Vet Sci* 2022;9:831838. DOI PubMed PMC
8. Zhou X, Xin J, Fan N, et al. Generation of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene-targeted pigs via somatic cell nuclear transfer. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2015;72:1175-84. DOI PubMed
9. Fisher EMC, Bannerman DM. Mouse models of neurodegeneration: know your question, know your mouse. *Sci Transl Med* 2019;11:eaq1818. DOI PubMed
10. Dutta S, Sengupta P. Men and mice: Relating their ages. *Life Sci* 2016;152:244-8. DOI PubMed
11. Eckhart L, Ballaun C, Hermann M, et al. Identification of novel mammalian caspases reveals an important role of gene loss in shaping the human caspase repertoire. *Mol Biol Evol* 2008;25:831-41. DOI PubMed
12. Mapara M, Thomas BS, Bhat KM. Rabbit as an animal model for experimental research. *Dent Res J (Isfahan)* 2012;9:111-8. DOI PubMed PMC
13. Graur D, Duret L, Gouy M. Phylogenetic position of the order Lagomorpha (rabbits, hares and allies). *Nature* 1996;379:333-5. DOI PubMed
14. de Almeida da Anunciação AR, Favaron PO, de Morais-Pinto L, et al. Central nervous system development in rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus* L. 1758). *Anat Rec (Hoboken)* 2021;304:1313-28. DOI PubMed
15. Tang D, Kang R, Berghe TV, Vandenabeele P, Kroemer G. The molecular machinery of regulated cell death. *Cell Res* 2019;29:347-64. DOI PubMed PMC
16. Selçuk ML, Tıprıdamaz S. A morphological and stereological study on brain, cerebral hemispheres and cerebellum of New Zealand rabbits. *Anat Histol Embryol* 2020;49:90-6. DOI PubMed
17. Krafft PR, Bailey EL, Lekic T, et al. Etiology of stroke and choice of models. *Int J Stroke* 2012;7:398-406. DOI PubMed PMC
18. Butt M, Bolon B. Fundamental neuropathology for pathologists and toxicologists: principles and techniques. John Wiley & Sons; 2011. p. 551-4. DOI

19. Harel S, Watanabe K, Linke I, Schain RJ. Growth and development of the rabbit brain. *Biol Neonate* 1972;21:381-99. DOI PubMed
20. Yin P, Li S, Li XJ, Yang W. New pathogenic insights from large animal models of neurodegenerative diseases. *Protein Cell* 2022;13:707-20. DOI PubMed PMC
21. Bahney J, von Bartheld CS. The cellular composition and glia-neuron ratio in the spinal cord of a human and a nonhuman primate: comparison with other species and brain regions. *Anat Rec (Hoboken)* 2018;301:697-710. DOI PubMed PMC
22. Herculano-Houzel S. The remarkable, yet not extraordinary, human brain as a scaled-up primate brain and its associated cost. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012;109 Suppl 1:10661-8. DOI PubMed PMC
23. Herculano-Houzel S, Ribeiro P, Campos L, et al. Updated neuronal scaling rules for the brains of Glires (rodents/lagomorphs). *Brain Behav Evol* 2011;78:302-14. DOI PubMed PMC
24. Herculano-Houzel S, Mota B, Lent R. Cellular scaling rules for rodent brains. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006;103:12138-43. DOI PubMed PMC
25. Bradbury AG, Dickens GJ. Appropriate handling of pet rabbits: a literature review. *J Small Anim Pract* 2016;57:503-9. DOI PubMed
26. Schreurs BG, Gusev PA, Tomsic D, Alkon DL, Shi T. Intracellular correlates of acquisition and long-term memory of classical conditioning in purkinje cell dendrites in slices of rabbit cerebellar lobule HVI. *J Neurosci* 1998;18:5498-507. PubMed PMC
27. Schreurs BG. Cholesterol and copper affect learning and memory in the rabbit. *Int J Alzheimers Dis* 2013;2013:518780. DOI PubMed PMC
28. Weiss C, Bertolino N, Prociassi D, et al. Diet-induced Alzheimer's-like syndrome in the rabbit. *Alzheimers Dement (N Y)* 2022;8:e12241. DOI PubMed PMC
29. Woodruff-Pak DS, Agelan A, Del Valle L. A rabbit model of Alzheimer's disease: valid at neuropathological, cognitive, and therapeutic levels. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2007;11:371-83. DOI PubMed
30. Woodruff-Pak DS, Vogel RW 3rd, Wenk GL. Galantamine: effect on nicotinic receptor binding, acetylcholinesterase inhibition, and learning. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001;98:2089-94. DOI PubMed PMC
31. Kneynsberg A, Collier TJ, Manfredsson FP, Kanaan NM. Quantitative and semi-quantitative measurements of axonal degeneration in tissue and primary neuron cultures. *J Neurosci Methods* 2016;266:32-41. DOI PubMed PMC
32. Tudor EL, Galtrey CM, Perkinton MS, et al. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis mutant vesicle-associated membrane protein-associated protein-B transgenic mice develop TAR-DNA-binding protein-43 pathology. *Neuroscience* 2010;167:774-85. DOI PubMed
33. Devon RS, Orban PC, Gerrow K, et al. Als2-deficient mice exhibit disturbances in endosome trafficking associated with motor behavioral abnormalities. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006;103:9595-600. DOI PubMed PMC
34. Dutta S, Sengupta P. Rabbits and men: relating their ages. *J Basic Clin Physiol Pharmacol* 2018;29:427-35. DOI PubMed
35. Blennow K, Zetterberg H. Biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease: current status and prospects for the future. *J Intern Med* 2018;284:643-63. DOI PubMed
36. Bridel C, van Wieringen WN, Zetterberg H, et al; the NFL Group. Diagnostic value of cerebrospinal fluid neurofilament light protein in neurology: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Neurol* 2019;76:1035-48. DOI PubMed PMC
37. Heller C, Foiani MS, Moore K, et al; GENFI. Plasma glial fibrillary acidic protein is raised in progranulin-associated frontotemporal dementia. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2020;91:263-70. DOI PubMed
38. Matsuda H. MRI morphometry in Alzheimer's disease. *Ageing Res Rev* 2016;30:17-24. DOI PubMed
39. Fyfe I. A clinically useful MRI marker of PD? *Nat Rev Neurol* 2019;15:2-3. DOI PubMed
40. Mandino F, Cerri DH, Garin CM, et al. Animal functional magnetic resonance imaging: trends and path toward standardization. *Front Neuroinform* 2019;13:78. DOI PubMed PMC
41. Müllhaupt D, Augsburger H, Schwarz A, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging anatomy of the rabbit brain at 3 T. *Acta Vet Scand* 2015;57:47. DOI PubMed PMC
42. Coppedè F, Mancuso M, Siciliano G, Migliore L, Murri L. Genes and the environment in neurodegeneration. *Biosci Rep* 2006;26:341-67. DOI PubMed
43. Chiò A, Logroscino G, Hardiman O, et al; Eurals Consortium. Prognostic factors in ALS: a critical review. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler* 2009;10:310-23. DOI PubMed PMC
44. Armstrong RA. Factors determining disease duration in Alzheimer's disease: a postmortem study of 103 cases using the Kaplan-Meier estimator and Cox regression. *Biomed Res Int* 2014;2014:623487. DOI PubMed PMC
45. Pagano G, Ferrara N, Brooks DJ, Pavese N. Age at onset and Parkinson disease phenotype. *Neurology* 2016;86:1400-7. DOI PubMed PMC
46. Nair RR, Corrochano S, Gasco S, et al. Uses for humanised mouse models in precision medicine for neurodegenerative disease. *Mamm Genome* 2019;30:173-91. DOI PubMed PMC
47. Bové J, Prou D, Perier C, Przedborski S. Toxin-induced models of Parkinson's disease. *NeuroRx* 2005;2:484-94. DOI PubMed PMC
48. Flisikowska T, Thorey IS, Offner S, et al. Efficient immunoglobulin gene disruption and targeted replacement in rabbit using zinc finger nucleases. *PLoS One* 2011;6:e21045. DOI PubMed PMC
49. Yang D, Zhang J, Xu J, et al. Production of apolipoprotein C-III knockout rabbits using zinc finger nucleases. *J Vis Exp* 2013:e50957. DOI PubMed PMC
50. Ji D, Zhao G, Songstad A, Cui X, Weinstein EJ. Efficient creation of an APOE knockout rabbit. *Transgenic Res* 2015;24:227-35.

[DOI PubMed](#)

51. Zhang J, Niimi M, Yang D, et al. Deficiency of cholesteryl ester transfer protein protects against atherosclerosis in rabbits. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2017;37:1068-75. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
52. Song J, Zhong J, Guo X, et al. Generation of RAG 1- and 2-deficient rabbits by embryo microinjection of TALENs. *Cell Res* 2013;23:1059-62. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
53. Song Y, Liu T, Wang Y, et al. Mutation of the Sp1 binding site in the 5' flanking region of SRY causes sex reversal in rabbits. *Oncotarget* 2017;8:38176-83. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
54. Song Y, Xu Y, Liang M, et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mosaic mutation of SRY gene induces hermaphroditism in rabbits. *Biosci Rep* 2018;38:BSR20171490. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
55. Sui T, Lau YS, Liu D, et al. A novel rabbit model of Duchenne muscular dystrophy generated by CRISPR/Cas9. *Dis Model Mech* 2018;11:dmm032201. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
56. Sui T, Xu L, Lau YS, et al. Development of muscular dystrophy in a CRISPR-engineered mutant rabbit model with frame-disrupting ANO5 mutations. *Cell Death Dis* 2018;9:609. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
57. Yuan L, Yao H, Xu Y, et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutation of α A-crystallin gene induces congenital cataracts in rabbits. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2017;58:BIO34-41. [DOI PubMed](#)
58. Yuan L, Sui T, Chen M, et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated GJA8 knockout in rabbits recapitulates human congenital cataracts. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:22024. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
59. Lu R, Yuan T, Wang Y, et al. Spontaneous severe hypercholesterolemia and atherosclerosis lesions in rabbits with deficiency of low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) on exon 7. *EBioMedicine* 2018;36:29-38. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
60. Guo R, Wan Y, Xu D, et al. Generation and evaluation of Myostatin knock-out rabbits and goats using CRISPR/Cas9 system. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:29855. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
61. Lv Q, Yuan L, Deng J, et al. Efficient generation of myostatin gene mutated rabbit by CRISPR/Cas9. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:25029. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
62. Sui T, Yuan L, Liu H, et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutation of PHEX in rabbit recapitulates human X-linked hypophosphatemia (XLH). *Hum Mol Genet* 2016;25:2661-71. [DOI PubMed](#)
63. Sui T, Liu D, Liu T, et al. LMNA-mutated rabbits: a model of premature aging syndrome with muscular dystrophy and dilated cardiomyopathy. *Ageing Dis* 2019;10:102-15. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
64. Wu H, Liu Q, Shi H, et al. Engineering CRISPR/Cpf1 with tRNA promotes genome editing capability in mammalian systems. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2018;75:3593-607. [DOI PubMed](#)
65. Honda A, Hirose M, Sankai T, et al. Single-step generation of rabbits carrying a targeted allele of the tyrosinase gene using CRISPR/Cas9. *Exp Anim* 2015;64:31-7. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
66. Song Y, Xu Y, Deng J, et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutation of tyrosinase (Tyr) 3' UTR induce graying in rabbit. *Sci Rep* 2017;7:1569. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
67. Liu T, Wang J, Xie X, et al. DMP1 Ablation in the rabbit results in mineralization defects and abnormalities in haversian canal/osteon microarchitecture. *J Bone Miner Res* 2019;34:1115-28. [DOI PubMed](#)
68. Lu Y, Liang M, Zhang Q, et al. Mutations of GADD45G in rabbits cause cleft lip by the disorder of proliferation, apoptosis and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT). *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis* 2019;1865:2356-67. [DOI PubMed](#)
69. Wan Y, Guo R, Deng M, et al. Efficient generation of CLPG1-edited rabbits using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. *Reprod Domest Anim* 2019;54:538-44. [DOI PubMed](#)
70. Song Y, Sui T, Zhang Y, et al. Genetic deletion of a short fragment of glucokinase in rabbit by CRISPR/Cas9 leading to hyperglycemia and other typical features seen in MODY-2. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2020;77:3265-77. [DOI PubMed](#)
71. Yang Y, Kang X, Hu S, et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated β -globin gene knockout in rabbits recapitulates human β -thalassemia. *J Biol Chem* 2021;296:100464. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
72. Zhou J, Yan Q, Tang C, et al. Development of a rabbit model of Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome. *FASEB J* 2021;35:e21226. [DOI PubMed](#)
73. Yao B, Liang M, Liu H, et al. The minimal promoter (P1) of Xist is non-essential for X chromosome inactivation. *RNA Biol* 2020;17:623-9. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
74. Zhang T, Lu Y, Song S, et al. "Double-muscling" and pelvic tilt phenomena in rabbits with the cystine-knot motif deficiency of myostatin on exon 3. *Biosci Rep* 2019;39:BSR20190207. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
75. Yan K, Zhang T, Zha Y, Liang J, Cheng Y. Construction of point mutation rabbits using CRISPR/Cas9. *Zhejiang Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban* 2021;50:229-38. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
76. Xu J, Livraghi-Butrico A, Hou X, et al. Phenotypes of CF rabbits generated by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated disruption of the CFTR gene. *JCI Insight* 2021;6:139813. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
77. Yang D, Liang X, Pallas B, et al. Production of CFTR- Δ F508 rabbits. *Front Genet* 2020;11:627666. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
78. Xu Y, Liu H, Pan H, et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated disruption of fibroblast growth factor 5 in rabbits results in a systemic long hair phenotype by prolonging anagen. *Genes (Basel)* 2020;11:297. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
79. Hashikawa Y, Hayashi R, Tajima M, et al. Generation of knockout rabbits with X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency (X-SCID) using CRISPR/Cas9. *Sci Rep* 2020;10:9957. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
80. Xiao N, Li H, Shafique L, et al. A novel pale-yellow coat color of rabbits generated via MC1R mutation with CRISPR/Cas9 system.

- Front Genet* 2019;10:875. DOI PubMed PMC
81. Jiang W, Liu L, Chang Q, et al. Production of Wilson disease model rabbits with homology-directed precision point mutations in the ATP7B gene using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. *Sci Rep* 2018;8:1332. DOI PubMed PMC
 82. Song Y, Zhang Y, Chen M, et al. Functional validation of the albinism-associated tyrosinase T373K SNP by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated homology-directed repair (HDR) in rabbits. *EBioMedicine* 2018;36:517-25. DOI PubMed PMC
 83. Song Y, Yuan L, Wang Y, et al. Efficient dual sgRNA-directed large gene deletion in rabbit with CRISPR/Cas9 system. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2016;73:2959-68. DOI PubMed
 84. Song J, Wang G, Hoenerhoff MJ, et al. Bacterial and pneumocystis infections in the lungs of gene-knockout rabbits with severe combined immunodeficiency. *Front Immunol* 2018;9:429. DOI PubMed PMC
 85. Song J, Yang D, Ruan J, Zhang J, Chen YE, Xu J. Production of immunodeficient rabbits by multiplex embryo transfer and multiplex gene targeting. *Sci Rep* 2017;7:12202. DOI PubMed PMC
 86. Yan Q, Zhang Q, Yang H, et al. Generation of multi-gene knockout rabbits using the Cas9/gRNA system. *Cell Regen* 2014;3:12. DOI PubMed PMC
 87. Liu H, Sui T, Liu D, et al. Multiple homologous genes knockout (KO) by CRISPR/Cas9 system in rabbit. *Gene* 2018;647:261-7. DOI PubMed
 88. Yang D, Song J, Zhang J, et al. Identification and characterization of rabbit ROSA26 for gene knock-in and stable reporter gene expression. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:25161. DOI PubMed PMC
 89. Song J, Yang D, Xu J, Zhu T, Chen YE, Zhang J. RS-1 enhances CRISPR/Cas9- and TALEN-mediated knock-in efficiency. *Nat Commun* 2016;7:10548. DOI PubMed PMC
 90. Liu Z, Chen M, Chen S, et al. Highly efficient RNA-guided base editing in rabbit. *Nat Commun* 2018;9:2717. DOI PubMed PMC
 91. Liu Z, Shan H, Chen S, et al. Improved base editor for efficient editing in GC contexts in rabbits with an optimized AID-Cas9 fusion. *FASEB J* 2019;33:9210-9. DOI PubMed
 92. Liu Z, Chen S, Shan H, et al. Efficient base editing with high precision in rabbits using YFE-BE4max. *Cell Death Dis* 2020;11:36. DOI PubMed PMC
 93. Liu Z, Chen S, Jia Y, et al. Efficient and high-fidelity base editor with expanded PAM compatibility for cytidine dinucleotide. *Sci China Life Sci* 2021;64:1355-67. DOI PubMed
 94. Liu Z, Chen S, Shan H, et al. Precise base editing with CC context-specificity using engineered human APOBEC3G-nCas9 fusions. *BMC Biol* 2020;18:111. DOI PubMed PMC
 95. Liu Z, Shan H, Chen S, et al. Highly efficient base editing with expanded targeting scope using SpCas9-NG in rabbits. *FASEB J* 2020;34:588-96. DOI PubMed
 96. Chen S, Xie W, Liu Z, et al. CRISPR start-loss: a novel and practical alternative for gene silencing through base-editing-induced start codon mutations. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids* 2020;21:1062-73. DOI PubMed PMC
 97. Zhao D, Qian Y, Li J, Li Z, Lai L. Highly efficient A-to-G base editing by ABE8.17 in rabbits. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids* 2022;27:1156-63. DOI PubMed PMC
 98. Qian Y, Zhao D, Sui T, et al. Efficient and precise generation of Tay-Sachs disease model in rabbit by prime editing system. *Cell Discov* 2021;7:50. DOI PubMed PMC
 99. Cong L, Ran FA, Cox D, et al. Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. *Science* 2013;339:819-23. DOI PubMed PMC
 100. Mali P, Yang L, Esvelt KM, et al. RNA-guided human genome engineering via Cas9. *Science* 2013;339:823-6. DOI PubMed PMC
 101. Yang D, Xu J, Zhu T, et al. Effective gene targeting in rabbits using RNA-guided Cas9 nucleases. *J Mol Cell Biol* 2014;6:97-9. DOI PubMed PMC
 102. Kang Y, Chu C, Wang F, Niu Y. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing in nonhuman primates. *Dis Model Mech* 2019;12:dmm039982. DOI PubMed PMC
 103. Tu Z, Yang W, Yan S, Guo X, Li XJ. CRISPR/Cas9: a powerful genetic engineering tool for establishing large animal models of neurodegenerative diseases. *Mol Neurodegener* 2015;10:35. DOI PubMed PMC
 104. Gaudelli NM, Komor AC, Rees HA, et al. Publisher correction: programmable base editing of A•T to G•C in genomic DNA without DNA cleavage. *Nature* 2018;559:E8. DOI PubMed
 105. Liu Z, Shan H, Chen S, et al. Efficient base editing with expanded targeting scope using an engineered Spy-mac Cas9 variant. *Cell Discov* 2019;5:58. DOI PubMed PMC
 106. Hånell A, Marklund N. Structured evaluation of rodent behavioral tests used in drug discovery research. *Front Behav Neurosci* 2014;8:252. DOI PubMed PMC