Development and assessment of a cutaneous tissue stretch device as a novel scar therapy
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Aim: Scar prevention and reduction is an area of therapeutic opportunity and unmet medical need. With no current effective scar therapy, patients are often disappointed in their appearance post surgery and re-present to surgeons, only to be turned away. The purpose of this study was to develop and test a device that produces intermittent parallel stretch on new scars and to analyze its potential to reduce scarring.

Methods: Mice were randomized into 5 scar stretch treatment groups: 1 control, 1 sham, and 3 stretch models (0.5×, 1×, or 2× device strength) and treated for 10 days. Scars were scored using the Vancouver Scar Scale. Scar tissue samples were compared by histology and transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF-β1) expression between control and treatment groups.

Results: Scar scores of 0.5× and 1× groups were significantly lower than the control group (P < 0.05). Scar scores from the 1× treatment group were also significantly lower than the 0.5× group (P < 0.05). Sham, control scar and 2× groups showed more collagen deposition and a thicker dermal scar than the 0.5× and 1× groups. Unstretched scars had fewer fibroblasts with more collagen deposition than the 0.5× and 2× groups. TGF-β1 levels were significantly lower in the 0.5× (342.1 ± 9.2) and 1× (254.1 ± 3.1) groups than in the control group (P < 0.05). TGF-β1 levels in the 1× treatment group were also significantly lower than the 0.5× treatment group (P < 0.05).

Conclusion: Intermittent cutaneous tissue stretch parallel to scars during the proliferative phase of wound healing decreases fibrosis on a macroscopic, microscopic and biochemical level.
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INTRODUCTION

Scar formation can be a debilitating consequence of surgery, burns, trauma, or disease. Scarring can result in loss of function, restriction of movement, adverse psychological effects due to appearance and reduced quality of life.[1-5] Patients across wide demographic groups, gender, age, ethnicity, and geographic region...
have similar concerns about scarring and value even small improvements in scarring.\[6-11\] Changes in texture, coloration and elevation of scar are of equal concern to patients with minor and severe scars.\[6,12\]

In addition to scars on the face, patients are often dissatisfied with scars from donor graft sites used for breast reconstruction, heart surgery, and elective procedures such as abdominoplasty.\[13,14\]

Scar prevention and reduction is an area of therapeutic opportunity and unmet medical need. There is no single therapy that is accepted as the standard of care for treatment of scars.\[15-17\] Many patients seek surgery for scar revision but surgeons often turn away patients, as they believe that improved results cannot be obtained with current techniques and therapies.\[18\] In the US alone, 45 million patients undergo procedures yearly that would benefit from scar reduction therapy.\[19\]

Current scar therapies lack a clear mechanism of action and have unpredictable efficacy. Non-surgical therapies include topical creams and preparations, wound dressings, laser treatments, and skin substitutes. Additional therapies such as massage and mechanical manipulation have also been often recommended to patients for treatment of scars with variable results.\[19-21\]

To better understand then mechanism behind scar formation, the role of mechanical force in scar formation has been explored extensively.\[22-25\] Studies have shown that tension resisting wound closure can worsen scar formation.\[25\] However, recent data suggests that the timing, duration, and direction of force on a scar plays an important factor in scar formation, and properly aligned and timed mechanical forces could potentially decrease scar formation.\[26-29\] A previous study by Alenghat and Ingber\[30\] showed that mechanotransduction directly affects a variety of cellular processes involved in scar formation. Although direct manipulation of these cellular processes is still being investigated, there has been an assortment of models examining the effects of direct skin manipulation on scar formation. A randomized-control trial (RCT) showed that using tape after surgery helped prevent hypertrophic scar formation in 70 patients.\[31\]

Another study showed using a mouse model that when an incision is under mechanical stress, inflammatory cells become activated and apoptosis of the healing cells increases.\[32\] Additionally, a review on all currently hypothesized physical treatment modalities for scar prevention and found that the success of compression therapy, silicone therapy, adhesive tape, and occlusive dressing therapy, relies on mechanotransduction mechanisms.\[24\] Furthermore, recent studies evaluating tension on wounds in a pig model formed the foundation for the Embrace device, which functions to reduce scar formation by applying mechanical stress to oppose wound edges.\[23,34\]

Langevin et al.\[26-28,35\] and Bouffard et al.\[29\] have published a series of studies that demonstrate the decreased collagen and transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF-β1) expression, major contributors to scar formation, after longitudinal stretch parallel to a wound. Based on these data, the present study aims to develop a longitudinal stretch device that might enhance aesthetic outcome of scarring through modulation of the cellular processes involved in scar formation.

**METHODS**

**Device development and standardization**

AutoCAD was used to design a scar stretch device that could easily attach and detach from skin. The components of the device include a skin adhesive mechanism and an extension force mechanism, allowing for reliable attachment and detachment of the device [Figure 1]. The device prototypes were constructed using inert materials purchased from Small Parts Inc. (www.smallpartsinc.com): steel spring (Stainless Steel 316 Compression Spring), polyvinyl tubing (White Translucent Miniature PTFE Tubing), Teflon rods (PTFE Round Rod), and an adhesive. Three different spring strengths for the scar stretch devices were created and labeled as 0.5×, 1× and 2× to investigate a dose response (1× = 0.96 Newton, as per manufacturer specifications). The devices were standardized to ensure similar extension force using a small force gauge (Jonard Industries, Tuckahoe, NY).

**Animal model**

The experimental protocol was approved by the McGill University Animal Care Committee and Institutional...
Review Board. All mice were female, Balb/C weighing 19-21 g. Thirty mice were divided equally into 5 groups (control scar, sham, 0.5×, 1×, 2×), with 1 mouse not making it into the control group [Figure 2]. After anesthesia was induced with isoflurane, mice were shaved and a 3-cm incision was made with a scalpel in the middle of the back at the level of the scapula as per the procedure described by Bouffard et al. Incisions were closed primarily with Steri-strips™ and were carefully observed to maintain close primary approximation. Steri-strips remained in place for five days until the device adhesive was applied. Mice were observed daily to confirm continuous primary closure of the wounds.

**In vivo tissue stretch method**

On day 5 post-incision in all mice, 2 U-lock mechanisms were adhered cranial and caudal to the incision, without coming into contact with the wound. The device was aligned in parallel over the scar by attaching the ends of the device to the U-lock mechanism for a 20-min stretch period. Following the 20-min stretch period, the device was removed while the U-lock mechanism remained adhered on the dorsum of the mice. All mice underwent stretching of the trunk for 20 min once per day for 10 days, and mice in groups 2, 3, 4, 5 underwent stretch treatment with device under isoflurane anesthesia. Five days after the last stretch treatment, at 20 days post-incision, all mice were euthanized. The wounds were harvested and bisected with one piece fixed in formalin for histology and the other snap frozen in liquid nitrogen for biochemical analysis.

**Morphologic scar assessment**

Photos of scars 15 days after beginning tissue stretch (20 days post incision) were qualitatively analyzed by two blinded reviewers using the Vancouver Scar Scale.

**Histologic analysis**

Following formalin fixation, tissues were embedded in paraffin and sectioned at 7 μm. Samples were mounted and stained with Masson-Trichrome to demonstrate collagen and examined using light microscopy. Two independent blinded histology trained observers evaluated stained sections qualitatively.

**Cutaneous TGF-β1 assay**

Skin samples were harvested as above. Samples were then homogenized and immediately assayed for TGF-β1 protein using a human TGF-β1 ELISA assay as per manufacturer protocol to adjust for standard
level of the sample (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN), including sample acidification with 1N hydrochloric acid for activation of latent TGF-β1.

**Statistical analysis**

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni correction for multiple variables was performed to test for differences of TGF-β1 level and Vancouver Scar Scale scores between treatment groups. ANOVA was used to analyze the effects of stretch on TGF-β1 concentrations after 5 days of the 10 consecutive days of stretch therapy. For these analyses, TGF-β1 data were log transformed prior to analysis in order to satisfy the normality and homogeneity of variance assumptions associated with the ANOVA. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS statistical software (PROC MIXED). P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Development of a scar stretch device**

A total of 29 devices were created and grouped into 4 different stretch strength categories. The force produced by each device, except the sham, was measured. The strength categories were: (1) a sham device, which consisted of the device without any spring mechanism that produced no extension force; (2) a 0.5× device which exerted a mean force of 265.6 ± 1.5 g; (3) a 1× device which exerted a mean force of 532.4 ± 1.8 g; and (4) a 2× device which exerted a mean force of 1,068.4 ± 3.4 g.

**Morphologic scar assessment**

Photos of scars 15 days after beginning tissue stretch (20 days post incision) were qualitatively analyzed using the Vancouver Scar Scale [Figures 3 and 4]. Control scars averaged 12.4 ± 1.0, sham scars 12.8 ± 1.16, 0.5× stretch treatment group 9.4 ± 1.0, 1× stretch treatment group 6.6 ± 1.5, 2× stretch treatment group 12 ± 1.4. Scar scores from the 0.5× and 1× stretch groups were significantly lower when compared to the control scar group (P < 0.05). Scar scores from the 1× treatment group were also significantly lower when compared to the 0.5× group (P < 0.05). On examination 20 days post incision (5 days after last stretch treatment) scars remained most visible in the sham, control, and 2× treatment groups [Figure 4].

**Qualitative histologic analysis**

Sham, control and 2× treatment groups showed greater collagen deposition and a thicker dermal scar than the 0.5× and 1× treatment groups [Figure 5]. The dermis in unstretched scars (sham and control treatment groups) had fewer fibroblasts and more collagen between cells than the 0.5× and 2× treatment groups, where fibroblasts were closely spaced [Figure 5].

**Cutaneous TGF-β1 assay**

TGF-β1 protein levels in cutaneous scars 20 days after incision were significantly higher in the control (471.9 ± 13.8 pg/mL), sham (383.3 ± 49.2 pg/mL) and 2× stretch (401.3 ± 41.1 pg/mL) treatment groups. As shown in Figure 6, TGF-β1 levels were
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*Figure 3: Morphological comparison of scars using Vancouver Scar Scale. *Significant difference from control scar group (P < 0.05); ^Significant difference from 0.5× stretch group (P < 0.05). Standard deviation is represented by error bars
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*Figure 4: Representative mice from 5 groups 20 days after incision, 5 days after last stretch treatment. Control mouse without scar is not shown
significantly lower in the stretch treatment groups 0.5× (342.1 ± 9.2 pg/mL) and 1× (254.1 ± 3.1 pg/mL) when compared to the control scar group ($P < 0.05$). Furthermore, TGF–β1 levels in the 1× treatment group were significantly lower than the 0.5× treatment group ($P < 0.05$) [Figure 6].

DISCUSSION

Results of the present study demonstrate that linear stretch parallel to incisional wounds reduces scarring. Using a newly developed cutaneous stretch device, animals treated with the device demonstrated less scarring from a morphologic, histologic, and molecular perspective. Benefits included improved scar appearance, decreased collagen deposition in the dermis and decreased TGF–β1 production.

This study demonstrates that application of linear cutaneous stretch parallel to incisional wounds reduces scarring on both macroscopic and microscopic levels. Critics of other scar reducing device papers found that only examining the aesthetic outcome of the scar is not sufficient in determining the success of the device. The Vancouver scar scale is comprised of four variables, which are extremely recognizable to the patient: vascularity, height/thickness, pliability, and pigmentation. This scale was selected due its relative common use in scar research, user objectivity, ease of use, and assessment of variables important to patients. The correlation of the reduction in scarring grossly and histologically supports the utility of linear cutaneous stretch in treatment of scars.

Although the exact mechanism behind the improvement in scars with linear scar stretch is unknown, one explanation is that linear stretch may decrease scar formation by minimizing perpendicular tension across the wound and thus promoting approximation of wound edges. The stretch force and overall stretch...
time (total of 20 min per day) used in our study are both less when compared to other studies where skin was stretched continuously for long periods of time under higher tension. In these studies prolonged tissue stretch under high tension caused release of inflammatory mediators that promoted scar formation. Although such previous studies have demonstrated tissue stretch can induce scar formation, the discussion on timing, force and duration of stretch required to induce a scar remains unclear. The results from the 2× stretch group in the present study provides some support for the detrimental effects of high-tension tissue stretch, where scars in this group were comparable to controls (non-stretched scars). In addition to worse appearing scars, the 2× group also had more collagen deposition in the dermis, and higher levels of TGF-β1. Compared to the favorable scar results in the 0.5× and 1× groups, the latter data suggests that there is a threshold of tension, above which tissue stretch promotes scar formation and below which tissue stretch may decrease scar formation.

Substantial evidence describes the role of mechanotransduction in scar formation. Our study builds on preliminary findings that controlling tension in a proliferating scar modulates production of extracellular matrix proteins. Langenin et al. described several cellular and extracellular matrix changes that take place once skin is stretched that promote decreased scar formation. Tissue stretch causes fibroblast cell spreading, cytoskeletal and nuclear remodeling, decreased type 1 collagen production and increased production of TGF-β1. A recent paper by Suarez et al. describes the role of tension in keloid pathology, specifically tension dependent proteins: Hsp27, α2β1-Integrin, and PAI-2. Furthermore, the clinical correlation of reduced fibrosis associated with intermittent parallel longitudinal tension and significant improvements in scar appearance using parallel scar massage offers further support for the clinical utility of the device presented herein. This suggests that tissue stretch induces mechanical signals that may regulate gene expression and overall function of fibroblasts.

It is important to note that this study is not without limitations. A murine model was used as a preliminary model to evaluate the efficacy of this novel device in modulating scar formation during the proliferative phase of wound healing. The cost and previous use of a murine model to study wound healing made mice a logical first choice of animal model for this investigation. Mouse skin is significantly different from human skin in elasticity and healing potential, limiting the direct translation of these findings to humans. This study evaluated end-points correlating to the early remodeling phase of wound healing, offering assessment of fibrosis but not long-term scar remodeling. Despite these differences, there still remain substantial similarities between the underlying wound healing physiology, providing promise for the utility of this device. However, future experiments are still planned to measure the effects of the device long term on scarring. These studies, also proposed in mice, will also allow for more extensive biochemical assessment of the device by measuring parameters associated with mechanotransduction, including focal adhesion kinase levels to better describe the underlying mechanism of the observed reduction in fibrosis. Additionally, further quantitative analysis of the number of fibroblasts in numerous sections, thickness of the scars and the epidermal thickness would have added to the analysis of scar reduction.

To further elaborate the elegant mechanisms at work in the modulation of scar formation the authors plan to evaluate the device in a skin scarring animal model more indicative of human scar biology, such as the red Duroc pig. While this study provides substantial promise for the device presented here, subsequent studies will establish more precisely the optimal vector, force, and duration of tissue stretch needed to effectively and consistently reduce scar formation. Optimizing such parameters may permit the development of a novel scar treatment device that could be used to treat a wide variety of scars.

Scar formation has detrimental effects on social, psychological, and physical function. Current scar therapies are poorly understood and insufficient to insure optimal scar formation. In one promising study, Lim et al. showed in a randomized clinical trial that their Embrace device, which differs significantly from the device used in this study, managed to achieve statistically significant results. The Embrace device reduces perpendicular tension by direct opposition rather than by application of parallel stretch described in this study. The device presented herein, applied only intermittently rather than continuously as is the Embrace device, appears to function similarly in that it ultimately opposes the wound edges. The present device is only in its infancy, and further investigations of the optimal time interval of use, vector of stretch, and magnitude of stretch provide hope for an effective treatment strategy for the reduction of scar formation.

In conclusion, in this study we designed and manufactured a device that may provide parallel tissue stretch to a wound in order to improve scar formation. Our results show that the 1× strength device is overall superior
to other strengths and that tissue stretch is beneficial to scar formation in the molecular, histological and macroscopic levels. This device is a promising treatment to improve scar formation. It is safe and easy to use in clinical practice.
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