

# Body contouring: “less is more and don’t throw anything away”

**Raffaele Rauso**

*Department of Dentistry, University of Foggia, 71121 Foggia, Italy.*

**Correspondence Author:** Dr. Raffaele Rauso, Centro Polispecialistico Santa Apollonia, Via Martiri del Dissenso 95, 81055 Santa Maria Capua Vetere (CE), Italy. E-mail: dr.raffaele.rauso@gmail.com

Year after year, body contouring (BC) request in getting higher, this is confirmed by the most respected society in the field of aesthetic plastic surgery;<sup>[1]</sup> this is related not only by post bariatric surgery’s patients seeking, but also by patients that due to bad habits, sedentary job, *etc.* lost their ideal physical shape and look for getting better and healthy. Results achieved after surgical BC procedures can be terrific, changing patient’s self esteem, however sometimes are not so good as patients can expect: why?

BC surgical procedures have more than a century of history, during this period were refined and improved, reducing surgical risk and gaining better results. An easy example of how BC procedures changed during the time can be shown by tummy tuck surgery.

Traditional abdominal plastic surgery result in a high rate of morbidity stemming from the necessity for a large undermining of the flap in which the perforating vessels are sectioned,<sup>[2-5]</sup> consequently, the vascularity of the remaining flap is supplied only by the intercostal, subcostal, and lumbar per-forating branches, which are situated in the back and flank regions.<sup>[6]</sup> Moreover the occurrence of ischemic processes with tissue necrosis and dehiscence of the suture has been described when ab-dominoplasty is associated with liposuction.

In 1995, Matarasso<sup>[7]</sup> focused on the complications of combined liposuction and abdominoplasty, he considered the back and the flanks safe areas, did not regard the lateral region of the abdomen as a safe area, and

considered the central region of the abdomen prohibited for lipo-suction.

In 2001, the Brazilian plastic surgeon Saldanha *et al.*,<sup>[8]</sup> for the first time, described the so called “li-poabdominoplasty”, a technique characterized by selective undermining between the medial borders of the rectus abdominal muscles combining abdominoplasty and liposuction.<sup>[9,10]</sup>

The new and conservative concept is based on the preservation of the abdominal perforating vessels (subcutaneous pedicle);<sup>[6]</sup> this technique conserves about 80% of the blood supply of the abdominal flap compared with traditional abdominoplasty, moreover, lymphatic nodes and nerves are preserved, which is an improvement on traditional abdominoplasty.<sup>[8-11]</sup>

This is a great example how in BC surgery: “less is more”; and how the exact knowledge of the anatomy let to achieve better and safer results.

The same concept can be extended in BC performed after massive weight loss; in buttock reshaping, as a logical extension of Millard’s principle: “don’t throw anything away”; it is imperative not just excising tissue’s excess, but use it for buttock’s shape remodeling.<sup>[12]</sup>

After massive weight loss, buttock lowers and flattens, in this clinical cases, the gluteal dermal flaps (the tissue that would be discarded in a simple dermolipsectomy) can be harvested and used to get better body proportions.<sup>[9]</sup>

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

**For reprints contact:** service@oapublish.com

**How to cite this article:** Rauso R. Body contouring: “less is more and don’t throw anything away”. *Plast Aesthet Res* 2016;3:271-2.

**Received:** 09-06-2016; **Accepted:** 21-06-2016

Access this article online	
<b>Quick Response Code:</b> 	<b>Website:</b> <a href="http://www.parjournal.net">www.parjournal.net</a>
	<b>DOI:</b> 10.20517/2347-9264.2016.44

One more big evolution in the field of BC has been also given by the structural fat graft.

Fat grafting is characterized by a simple concept, fat removal where it is in excess and re-injection of it in areas that need to be augmented: also with this technique “don’t throw anything away”!

However, fat grafting isn’t a “novel technique”; in 1912, Joseph,<sup>[13]</sup> a German surgeon, for the first time published photographic documentation of natural appearing changes after infiltration of fat into 2 patients with facial lipoatrophy; in 1926, Miller<sup>[14]</sup> described his experience with infiltration of fatty tissue through cannulas on 36 cases correcting cicatricial contraction on the face and neck; however, this technique never became widely used.

In the 1980s, Illouz<sup>[15,16]</sup> described great results for iatrogenic liposuction deformities and facial lipodystrophies, although his later reports were discouraging and claimed that grafted fat had a survival similar to that of injectable collagen. Later on similar results were reported by Ersek *et al.*<sup>[17,18]</sup> for first and then by Ellenborg,<sup>[19]</sup> both had disappointing results that discouraged plastic surgeon on this technique, even when a few years later, both of them, changing their fat processing technique reported long term results if fat grafting. Only in the 1990s, thanks to the American plastic surgeon Coleman,<sup>[20]</sup> who firstly described and codified the technique called “structural fat graft”, fat grafting gained popularity; although not all the surgeons use the technique described by Coleman,<sup>[20]</sup> fat grafting is performed routinely in plastic surgery, often and often as ancillary procedure in body contouring.

Although some question the long-term survival potential of fat transfer, nowadays there are hundreds of papers outlining how great is this surgical procedure, with a resorption that tends to be uniform in the first 3 to 6 post operative months; in fact patient are told that the final results are not fully evident until that time and, if at that time they desire further augmentation, this can be repeated, since patients looking for BC procedures frequently have multiple areas of lipodystrophy that may necessitate additional procedures.<sup>[11]</sup>

However, even fat grafting is only one of the thousands refinements that have been described in BC in last century...

This surgery is changed a lot in last years, is changing now, year after year, and will also changes a lot in the future, fundamental concepts are represented by doing

safe procedure and trying to reduce at least the use of prosthesis: “less is more” and “don’t throw anything away” seems to be paradigmatics. An appropriate preoperative plan is mandatory to get the best! However, surgeons have also to encourage patients, after BC, to have an healthy life style, get sport and follow a right dietary; only with a good surgeon and a good patient, unbelievable result can be achieved!

**Financial support and sponsorship**  
Nil.

### Conflict of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

### REFERENCES

1. The American Society for Aesthetic Plastic Surgery. Cosmetic Surgery National Data Bank Statistics. Available from: <http://www.surgery.org/sites/default/files/Stats2015.pdf>. [Last Accessed on June 20, 2016].
2. Callia WEP. Dermolipectomia abdominal. Sao Paulo, Brazil: Carlo Erb; 1963.
3. Cardoso de Castro C, Salema R, Atias P, Aboudib JH Jr. T abdominoplasty to remove multiple scars from the abdomen. *Ann Plast Surg* 1984;12:369-73.
4. Dillerud E. Abdominoplasty combined with suction lipoplasty: a study of complication, revisions, and risk factors in 487 cases. *Ann Plast Surg* 1990;25:333-8; discussion 339-43.
5. Pitanguy I. Abdominoplasty: classification and surgical techniques. *Rev Bras Cir* 1995;85:23-44.
6. Huger WE Jr. The anatomic rationale for abdominal lipectomy. *Am Surg* 1979;45:612-7.
7. Matarasso A. Liposuction as an adjunct to full abdominoplasty. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1995;95:829-36.
8. Saldanha OR, Pinto EB, Matos WN Jr, Lucon RL, Magalhães F, Bello EM. Lipoabdominoplasty without undermining. *Aesthet Surg J* 2001;21:518-26.
9. Saldanha OR, De Souza Pinto EB, Mattos WN Jr, Pazetti CE, Lopes Bello EM, Rojas Y, dos Santos MR, de Carvalho AC, Filho OR. Lipoabdominoplasty with selective and safe undermining. *Aesthetic Plast Surg* 2003;22:322-7.
10. Saldanha OR. Lipoabdominoplastia. 1st ed. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: Di-Livros; 2004.
11. Saldanha OR. Lipoabdominoplasty. 1st ed. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: Di-Livros; 2006.
12. Aly AS. Body Contouring after Massive Weight Loss. St. Louis, MO: Quality Medical Publish-ing, Inc; 2005.
13. Joseph M. Handbuch der kosmetik. Leipzig: Veit & Co.; 1912.
14. Miller C. Cannula Implants and Review of Implantation Techniques in Esthetic Surgery. Chicago: The Oak Press; 1926.
15. Illouz YG. The fat cel “graft”: a new technique to fill depression. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1986;78:122-3.
16. Illouz YG. Present results of fat injection. *Aesthetic Plast Surg* 1988;12:175-81.
17. Ersek RA. Transplantation of purified autologous fat: a 3-year follow-up is disappointing. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1991;87:219-27; discussion 228.
18. Ersek RA, Chang P, Salisbury MA. Lipo layering of autologous fat: an improved technique with promising results. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1998;101:820-6.
19. Ellenborg R. Free autogenous pearl fat grafts in the face: a preliminary report of a rediscovered technique. *Ann Plast Surg* 1986;16:179-94.
20. Coleman RS. Structural fat grafting: more than a permanent filler. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2006;118:S108-20.