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Abstract

Ischemic heart disease is the leading cause of death with acute coronary syndrome accounting for more than 30% of causes of mortality in the elderly population. The rate of growth of the older segment of the population has increased exponentially and will become more pronounced in the future. Historically, there has been a paucity of clinical trials investigating the challenges and outcomes of more invasive treatment strategies such as percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) for that very segment of the population. However, the safety, efficacy, and outcomes of PCI in the older population have started to receive more attention, leading to some changes in their trends. There are several factors that make interventional cardiologists more resistant to direct the elderly to PCI. Most of these challenging factors, such as the complexity of coronary lesions, frailty, hematological and vascular changes, are discussed in this review. In addition, more advanced technologies have been introduced to PCI platform such as second- and third-generations stents, several alternative approaches have been adopted like transradial approach and the usage of bivalirudin instead of heparin and GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor, and several imaging modalities have been optimized to assess patients’ outcome and prognosis more accurately. Several recent studies have shown better results when these strategies are adopted. The most recent recommendations regarding performing PCI in the elderly are also discussed in this review.
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INTRODUCTION
Ischemic heart disease is one of the major challenges that encounter healthcare providers all over the world. It is considered the leading cause of death with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) accounting for more than 30% of causes of mortality in the elderly population (aged 65 years or older)[1]. The elderly group of population has grown substantially. For many reasons, the rate of growth has increased exponentially and will become more pronounced in the future, especially in the developed world. In 1970, the population aged 65 years and older constituted only 9.8% of the total population in the United States, however, in 2012 it increased to 13.7% and it is expected to exceed 20% by the year 2030. Octogenarians and older populations constituted a smaller segment of only 3.7% comparing to their younger counterparts in 2012 but it is also expected to jump up to 3.9% and 5.4% by 2020 and 2030, respectively[2]. Despite the recent advancements that have been achieved in both clinical and interventional cardiology realms, the management of coronary artery disease (CAD) in the elderly is still a major concern, both for cardiac interventionists and surgeons. Historically, older patients often receive conservative management rather than invasive procedures and there is a paucity of clinical trials investigating the challenges and outcomes of more invasive treatment strategies for that very segment of the population. Therefore, this relative under-representation of elderly in clinical trials and the consequent lack of knowledge made many cardiology interventionists more reluctant to perform percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) for very elderly patients which hinders their optimal evidence-based therapy[3]. Recently, safety and outcomes of PCI in the older population has started to receive more attention, therefore, changes in its trends have to be studied thoroughly. In this review, we discuss age and its impact on older patients’ stratification and prognosis, the most relevant challenges that make PCI more difficult in this group of patients, recent changes in trends of PCI in the elderly, and the latest guidelines and recommendations.

AGE AND PCI
There is no specific age beyond which PCI cannot be performed, however, with increasing age less invasive therapy is usually preferred. In the literature, even a few centenarians underwent successful PCI procedures[4,5]. The oldest reported case was a 106-year-old lady who presented with inferior wall ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction (STEMI)[5]. It is also difficult to assign a clear-cut age threshold to classify patients based on their ages as risky vs. non-risky patients. However, according to the data from the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE), patients aged 75 years or more had more cardiovascular risk factors such as history of congestive heart failure (CHF), myocardial infarction (MI), hypertension, atrial fibrillation, diabetes mellitus, and stroke comparing to younger patients[3]. Also, patients aged 75 years and older were considered a special group in the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association (ACCF/AHA) guidelines[6,7]. Thus, in this review, we define risky old patients as patients aged 75 or more.

Age of patients presenting with ACS has a significant prognostic value and it is considered the second most important predictor of mortality after Killip class as it has been shown that the in-hospital mortality risk of a patient with ACS increases by 1.7 fold for each 10 years and by 2 folds for each Killip class deterioration[6]. Risk stratification plays a crucial and decisive rule during the initial management of ACS patients, as it helps to determine the appropriate site of care and the intensity of therapy. Age among other patients’ demographic characteristics profoundly affects this stratification as well as the initial estimate of death and/or other cardiac events even before performing any physical examination or reviewing electrocardiograms (ECG) and laboratory results[6]. This is why, age is usually a vital criterion in several scoring systems that are used to estimate the in-hospital, 30 days, and even 1-year mortality rates of patients presenting with ACS and risk of complications as well. Among these scoring systems, the GRACE risk score[6,9], the thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) risk score[11,12], and the “platelet IIb/IIIa in unstable angina: receptor suppression using integrilin therapy” (PURSUIT) risk score[13]. According to the GRACE score, age of more than 75 adds a mortality risk score of 73. For TIMI score, age of more than 65 adds a 5% risk at 14 days of: all-cause mortality, new or recurrent MI, or severe recurrent ischemia requiring urgent revascularization.
As far as the PURSUIT score, age of 70 or more adds a mortality only risk of 4 points and a risk of mortality and infarction of 11 points.

**PCI CHALLENGES IN OLDER POPULATION**

Providing proper management and rehabilitation for older patients could be very challenging. Certainly, this becomes more sophisticated if a more invasive procedure such as PCI is required. Factors that make interventional cardiologists more resistant to perform PCI for an elderly patient can be either general factors related to the patient’s general status such as frailty, co-morbidities, functionalities of their cardiovascular and other systems or local factors related to coronary lesions such as the complexity of these lesions. Here, we discuss the most relevant factors in more details.

**FRAILTY AND MULTI-MORBIDITY**

Frailty is often defined as gradual insufficiency and regress of multiple body systems that eventually lead to an ultimate state of low reserve, functional/ cognitive decline, and inability to cope with different stressors. It is also considered by geriatricians to be a clinical syndrome that makes patients vulnerable to a variety of adverse outcomes. Frailty becomes more apparent with aging, and unfortunately, even with the best healthcare and interventions provided to the elderly in order to support, improve, and maintain their quality of life, frailty is usually inevitable at a certain point of their age. Based on the analysis of data from 4735 out of 5888 participants of the Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS), the mean ages of non-frail, intermediate state, and frail patients were 71.5, 73.4, and 77.2 years, respectively. The same study demonstrated a higher prevalence of cardiac risk factors such as CHF, history of angina, MI, peripheral vascular disease (PVD), and carotid stenosis in frail patients. Some inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein (CRP) and some clotting factors like factor VIII and fibrinogen were found to be in higher levels in frail patients compared to non-frail ones, suggesting that the high prevalence of some PCI adverse outcomes such as thrombotic complications in the aging frail population can be explained by an inflammatory process yet to be understood. With aging, a variety of cardiac and non-cardiac morbidities usually exist concurrently with the patients’ coronary problems which makes it even more difficult for them to suit such procedures and to overcome any ominous adverse event. In the United States, the prevalence of cardiac diseases, hypertension, stroke, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), kidney diseases, arthritis, and a lot of cancers is higher among the population aged 75 and older more than any other age group.

**POLYPHARMACY**

With the increased prevalence of different morbidities among older patients, being on multiple medications at the same time is an expected consequence. Polypharmacy is more pronounced in the geriatric population and it makes patients more prone to many cardiac events which makes deciding PCI for them more unlikely. Data collected from 384 old frail patients participated in the Geriatric Evaluation and Management (GEM) Drug Study revealed that more than 40% of the participants were on 5 to 8 different medications and more than 37% had even more than 8 medications at the time of their discharge. In a prospective cohort study on old aged men with a mean age of 77 years, polypharmacy was associated with poor cardiovascular events such as MI and stroke with a statistically significant hazard ratio of 1.09 (95% CI: 1.06-1.12). In addition to that, many factors associated with advanced age such as the decrease in renal function, low glomerular filtration rate, decreased liver mass and blood flow can alter many drugs pharmacokinetics and reduce their hepatic and renal elimination predisposing patients to more adverse events. Another challenge that could be faced during dealing with any elderly who needs PCI, is adjusting the dose of their cardiac medications as changes in water-fat balance in their body composite affect drugs distribution and dosing to a significant extent. Older patients have a lower total body water that leads to a lower volume of distribution and a higher serum level of water soluble medications such as digoxin that necessitate reduction of its loading.
dose. In contrary, relative increase in total body fat resulted from reduction of adipose-free body mass in elderly people leads to increased volume of distribution of fat-soluble medications such as lidocaine and prolongation of their half-life[21]. Another issue is that the recommended use of dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) in the elderly PCI patients has many additional complications which may influence the choice of the stent and the mode of management of these patients, including; higher risk of bleeding, need for concomitant warfarin therapy for atrial fibrillation, the increased likelihood of having future non-cardiac surgery, and the increased risk of falls[13]. When added to therapy, anti-coagulants dosing is also altered with advanced age. It has been shown that old age is usually associated with a lower warfarin maintenance dose with patients aged 80 to 89 years usually requiring only half of a total weekly dose (TWD) of warfarin compared to patients aged between 20 and 59 years[22].

HEMATOLOGICAL AND VASCULAR CHANGES
A lot of changes that occur with aging may cause the elderly to paradoxically experience hemorrhagic or thrombotic complications after PCI. Age is a significant independent predictor of major bleeding in ACS patients who had PCI and it is associated with higher in-hospital mortality rates[23]. Case fatality has been shown to be more than 18% in patients who experienced any major bleeding following PCI while 5% in patients without major bleeding[23]. Interestingly, thrombotic complications such as stent thrombosis and restenosis occurred more frequently with advanced age as well. In a previous study, 47% of all patients aged 75 years and older who had PCI with stenting experienced a 50% or more restenosis at the stent site or adjacent to it compared to only 28% in younger patients. Also, older patients experienced more diffuse restenosis (1 cm or more in length) than their younger counterparts[24]. Increased risk of bleeding in elderly can be explained by several hematological alterations such as higher level of tissue plasminogen activator (tPA)[21], lower platelets aggregation[26], and the presence of more advanced and complicated vascular disease with more local changes, more atherosclerosis and hypertension[23]. In contrary, older people have a higher blood viscosity, higher activity of several coagulation factors, and a lower fibrinolytic activity as it has been proven that plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI-1) level increases with age[27,28]. These changes cause a prothrombotic state in older patients that potentially increases risk of post-PCI thrombotic complications as well. In addition, aging is associated with impairment of vascular structure and endothelial function caused by several interacting histological and molecular alterations such as increased collagen content, smooth muscle changes, and altered composition of the extracellular matrix of the arterial wall. This can lead to a gradual decrease in elastic fibers, arterial wall rigidity, and increased risk of atherosclerosis and arterial thrombosis[24]. Many PCI-related vascular complications such as large hematomas in femoral regions, pseudoaneurysms, and arteriovenous fistulas have been also associated with advanced age[29]. Also, the gradual impairment of endothelial cells function that occur with aging leads to lower production of nitric oxide and prostacyclin which play an important role in promoting vasodilatation as well as preventing platelets aggregation[28,30].

CORONARY LESIONS COMPLEXITY
Older patients usually have more complex and advanced coronary lesions which make PCI procedures more difficult with a higher risk of complications and a lower chance of procedure success. Batchelor et al.[31] compared the angiographic characteristics of 7472 octogenarian patients with 102,236 younger others undergoing PCI. Older patients had more left main coronary artery (LMCA) and proximal left anterior descending (LAD) lesions than patients aged 79 and younger, 7.3% vs. 5.7% (P < 0.01) and 24% vs. 20% (P < 0.01), respectively. In a different sample of patients, the angiographic characteristics based on the modified ACC/AHA criteria revealed that 863 out of 2551 (33.8%) patients aged less than 75 years had coronary lesions of type B1 or less, in contrast to only 37 out of 137 (27%) patients aged 75 years or more (P = 0.002). On the other hand, lesion types B2 and C were more prevalent in older than younger patients, 72% vs. 65% (P = 0.002), respectively[24]. Older patients had a higher number of affected vessels as well, as 55% of patients aged 75 or more had 3 diseased coronary
vessels compared to only 24% of younger patients \( (P = 0.0001) \). Also, 22% of older patients vs. 38% of their younger counterpart had only 1 diseased vessel \( (P = 0.0001) \)\cite{24}. The Synergy between Percutaneous Coronary Intervention with TAXUS and Cardiac Surgery (SYNTAX) score has been used to predict clinical outcomes in patients undergoing PCI especially those with LMCA lesions and/or multivessel coronary disease based on their lesions complexity\cite{32}. More recently, this scoring system has been integrated with some independent clinical variables such as the patient’s age, creatinine serum level, and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) to obtain the clinical SYNTAX score (CSS)\cite{33}. Both scoring systems have been shown to be valid in risk stratification and early mortality prediction among older patients with ACS undergoing PCI. While the SYNTAX score did not predict long-term clinical outcomes, the CSS was useful in predicting the 1-year major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events, reflecting the potentially significant impact of the patient’s clinical and demographic factors such as their ages on their clinical outcomes\cite{34}. Previous studies have also shown that age is significantly associated with increased coronary artery calcium score\cite{35,36}. Calcification of the coronary system is associated with coronary artery disease (CAD) and coronary artery calcium content is highly associated with increased cardiovascular events\cite{36}.

**PCI Adverse Events**

As a result of the previously mentioned factors, PCI outcome is expected to be worse in the older patients comparing to the general population. Indeed, the most devastating outcome would be death. Although studies have demonstrated reasonable short and long-term PCI outcomes in the elderly, the in-hospital, 30 days, and even 1 to 5 years follow-up all-cause mortality rates are still higher\cite{37-39}. Aside from death, there is a higher chance that older frail patients experience a variety of complications that can occur consequently as a result of this procedure and affect patients’ clinical outcome and quality of life than other younger patients\cite{40}. Many cardiac complications have been described such as cardiogenic shock, acute MI, acute ventricular septal rupture (VSR), iatrogenic coronary dissection, coronary perforation, and stent thrombosis. Other non-cardiac complications have also been reported such as hemorrhage, acute kidney injury, stroke, and access site complications like femoral or radial dissection and/or hematoma\cite{41,42}. Major bleeding is one of the complications associated with unfavorable clinical outcome. Pooled data from 5 different trials that participated in the RESOLUTE study program and included 5130 patients undergoing PCI with the resolute zotarolimus-eluting stent showed that rates of some complications such as MI and repeat revascularization in 1675 patients aged 70 years or older (33%) were similar to those of younger participants, however, significant bleeding events occurred more frequently among older population. In-hospital and 1-month follow-up bleeding complications occurred in 1.3% and 1.6% of patients aged 70 years or older, and 0.3% and 0.5% of younger participants \( (P = 0.009 \) and 0.014), respectively. Death occurred in 26% of old patients who experienced bleeding events with a median time of 21 days between the bleeding event and time of death\cite{38}. Another study showed an increase of 2.4% in over-all rates of bleeding events among patients undergoing PCI in their octogenarian years than younger patients\cite{37}. Many different bleeding complications have been reported to be associated with PCI such as, access site bleeding, pericardial bleeding that can lead to tamponade, retroperitoneal bleeding, and gastrointestinal bleeding as well\cite{41}. Beside age of the patient, many other variables have been proven to be an independent predictor of unfavorable outcome in the elderly undergoing PCI. Reduced cardiac function with left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) lower than 40%, Killip class of 3 or worse, cardiogenic shock, and hypotension with systolic blood pressure (SBP) lower than 100 mmHg have all been identified as independent predictors of an increased risk of 1-year mortality\cite{42}. Also, the activity of daily living (ADL) of old age patients after PCI can be used to predict mortality. ADL assessment by Barthel index (BI) at the time of admission and discharge has been investigated by Higuchi et al.\cite{44} to predict 1-year mortality in very old patients undergoing PCI for ACS. They have shown that lower BI at the discharge of the patient can be a predictor of higher mortality in patients aged 85 years and older with each 5 unites decrease in BI being associated with 1.1 fold increase in 1-year mortality risk.
Aside from this, the CHA2DS2VASc score is a recognized predictor of the risk of having cerebrovascular episodes. Patients aged 75 or more are assigned 2 on the scale, making the elderly patients more likely to end up with higher scores. Adding the factor of gender, elderly females have a risk of 3 at baseline without adding the risk attributed to the other comorbidities on the scale and the PCI procedure. Interestingly, CHA2DS2VASc score of 2 or more was also found to be predictive of atrial fibrillation after cardiac procedures. Table 1 summarizes the significance of CHA2DS2VASc score in predicting stroke and atrial fibrillation in the elderly population.

### PCI TEMPORAL TRENDS

Historically, there have been a lot of variations in the reported data regarding procedure outcomes and mortality rates among the older population undergoing PCI. Some of the papers published in the late eighties through nineties showed the success rate of percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA) in the elderly to be approximately 82%-84% and highly variable mortality rates, however, Both success and mortality rates have varied a lot in other papers published in the same era. Kern et al. reported in 1988 a clinical success rate of a 67% in a group of 21 patients who had undergone PTCA in their octogenarian years. After that, a clinical success rate of 57% was reported in 43 patients aged 75 years and older by other investigators. In contrary, Jeroudi et al. demonstrated PTCA angiographic and clinical success in 50 and 49 patients, respectively out of 54 octogenarian patients (93% and 91%, respectively). Procedure success has differed considerably between septuagenarians, octogenarians and older participants in the same group of old aged patients as it was 85%, 73%, and as low as 50% for patients aged 70 to 74, 75 to 79, and 80 years and older. Also, procedural mortality rate varied and reached up to 19% during the same era. Many cardiac and non-cardiac complications were reported and occurred in more than third of the participants in some of the previous studies. Although PCI has been proven to be feasible in the older population, the previous rates of success, procedural mortality, and consequent complications were unacceptable to many healthcare providers which created a high level of prudence and caution before deciding to perform PCI on such patients. Over years, several advanced technologies have been introduced, useful cardiac imaging modalities have been more available, less invasive approaches and protocols have been investigated to be adopted, and the operator techniques have been improved. As a result, a less conservative trend in performing more invasive procedures on older patients has gradually appeared. In a cohort of 31,758 patients who had undergone PCI between 2000 and 2007, the incidence of PCI in patients aged 75 and older has increased from 56/100,000 in 2000 to 216/100,000 in 2007. PCI share of older patients has increased even in the very old segment such as the nonagenarians. Among 26,696 PCI performed over 11 years, only 177 were performed on nonagenarians, however, the prevalence of PCI in this very subgroup of patients was 0.17% in 2004 and increased to 1.22% in 2014. Recent studies have also shown some changes in PCI mortality and complications trends. Generally, the success rate has improved, mortality and complications risk started to approach those of younger population. In a very recent study that was published in 2018, the outcomes of PCI in octogenarians and younger patients using second-generation cobalt-chromium everolimus-eluting stents were practically the same. Angiographic success was 98.4% in octogenarians and 98% in younger participants (P = 0.85). A lot of both in-hospital and 1-year follow-up post PCI-complications were also comparable between the 2 groups. In-hospital major bleeding events and cerebrovascular accidents have not occurred in either of the 2 groups, however, acute kidney injury occurred more frequently in octogenarians.

### Table 1. Comparison of the predictive value of the CHA2DS2VASc score for stroke and atrial fibrillation in elderly patients based on gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elderly &gt; 75 years old</th>
<th>Baseline score according to age and gender only</th>
<th>Score after adding a single additional risk factor</th>
<th>Target high risk score for atrial fibrillation</th>
<th>Stroke risk (%) at baseline score</th>
<th>Stroke risk (%) after adding a single additional risk factor</th>
<th>Maximum possible score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.0-4.0</td>
<td>≥ 3.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.2-4.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0-5.0</td>
<td>≥ 3.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.0-6.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.7% vs. 1.5% ($P = 0.58$). One-year follow-up myocardial infarction occurred in 1.9% and 1.5% ($P = 1.00$) in octogenarians and younger patients, respectively. Interestingly, some complications occurred less frequently with the octogenarian group than its younger counterpart such as in-hospital subacute stent thrombosis and 1-year follow-up cerebrovascular accidents, 0% vs. 1.5% ($P = 1.00$) and 1.9% vs. 2.3% ($P = 1.00$), respectively. Although recent studies have also shown some variations in their results, in 2017 many papers have reported relatively high PCI success rates in the elderly that ranged approximately from 75% to 95% [55-57]. Many of these recent papers have reported better mortality and some complications trends than before [42,55,58,59]. Some of them even reported similar rates of major adverse events in both young adults and elderly in more critical situations such as patients with atrial fibrillation (A-fib) undergoing PCI. Lahtela et al. [60] conducted a post-hoc analysis of 925 A-fib patients’ data from the atrial fibrillation undergoing coronary artery stenting (AFCAS) registry and showed comparable incidence of in-hospital and 1-month major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events (MACCE) in octogenarian patients and younger ones [61]. It is worth mentioning that some recent studies still demonstrate a quít high adverse outcomes rates including in-hospital mortality that reached up to 20% [62,63].

**PROCEDURAL ASPECTS IN ELDERLY**

Many aspects regarding PCI procedure in the elderly can be modified, adjusted, and tailored in order to make this very segment of the population more suitable for such a procedure and to render the interventional cardiologists more comfortable to decide to perform these procedures in older patients. Among these aspects are the length of procedure, the volume of contrast agent, the access site, the nature of the intervention, the type of the stent, and the length of hospital stay.

**ACCESS SITE**

Generally, transfemoral approach has been the traditional standard of care for many years, however, previous studies have shown that the newer transradial route is superior to the transfemoral one as the former has been associated with better results and lower rates of complications and it has been increasingly used instead of the femoral access in the general population [44,65]. In terms of the use of this approach in the elderly undergoing PCI, the net benefit is still not totally clear. The differences between both approaches in older patients have been reported in some studies and they demonstrated a high percentage of old patients’ PCI in whom transradial approaches have been performed. The transradial access has been used in up to almost 80% of old aged patients of some cohort [44,67]. In a 1:1 propensity score analysis of data from 1098 patients aged 75 years and older who underwent PCI with either transfemoral or transradial approach, lower rates of in-hospital and 1-year follow-up major adverse clinical events, in-hospital MI, access site complications, and major bleeding were associated with the use of transfemoral access [60]. Other adverse clinical outcomes occurred less frequently with the transradial approach such as in-hospital death and target vessel revascularization but there were no significant statistical differences between the two groups. The same study demonstrated comparable rates of non-access site related major bleeding events with both approaches. In contrary, some recent studies have also shown a very low usage of the transradial approach with the elderly. Among 1945 octogenarian patients of the Korea Acute Myocardial Infarction Registry, 1609 participants (82.7%) underwent PCI using the transfemoral approach and only 336 (17.3%) with the transradial approach. Nevertheless, using the transradial access has been found to be a predictor of a lower in-hospital mortality in the same group of patients [66]. In the same study, intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP) had to be used in 103 (6.4%) patients in the transfemoral group vs. only 5 (1.5%) patients of the transradial group. Access site can also affect other variables like the time and volume of the contrast agent and the length of hospital stay, as the transradial approach was shown to be associated with a shorter hospital stay and a lower dose of contrast dye comparing to transfemoral one among older patients [60]. However, several other studies showed comparable contrast volume and procedure time with both approaches [41,66]. In terms of procedural success, several studies demonstrated almost similar PCI success in elderly using
both approaches\cite{41,68}, however, many investigators believe that older population can benefit more from the transradial approach and it should be used more often with the elderly undergoing PCI.

**TYPE OF STENT**

As it was discussed above, the coronary lesions in the elderly tend to be more complex and extensive which may render them suitable only for plain old balloon angioplasty (POBA) due to a failure of stent delivery, or inability to stent lesions in distal or small diameter vessels\cite{49}. On the other hand, stenting technology has revolutionized during the last era. Since their first successful clinical application in 2002, drug-eluting stents (DES) have been utilized more frequently comparing to bare metal stents (BMS) as lower rates of stent restenosis, major adverse cardiac events, and revascularization of target lesions have all been associated with the use of DES\cite{69}. The second-generation DES even have a better stent design than the first-generation ones with a thinner strut and more biocompatible polymers which lead to a higher efficacy and lower complications\cite{70,71}. However, the use DAPT for at least 1 year to prevent stent thrombosis associated with DES raises concerns regarding increased risk of bleeding especially in populations with an already high risk of bleeding such as the elderly\cite{70}. Although some studies suggested reducing the DAPT to 3-6 months without an increase in the risk of many adverse clinical events\cite{72}. Recent data still suggesting under-utilization of DES in elderly patients undergoing PCI with stenting\cite{70}. The characteristics and clinical outcomes of 1564 high bleeding risk old patients aged 75 years or older who participated in the LEADERS FREE trial and underwent PCI with the deployment of either polymer-free DES or similar BMS and only 1 month of DAPT were analyzed\cite{73,74}. They showed a high yet similar bleeding rate in the 2 groups. However, rates of mortality, stent thrombosis, MI, and target lesion revascularization were lower in patients underwent stenting with DES reflecting superior safety and efficacy benefits compared to BMS\cite{74}. In addition to that, major bleeding rates did not differ significantly between octogenarian patients who received PCI with BMS and only 1-month mandatory DAPT and others with DES and a 1-year course of DAPT in the XIMA tria\cite{75}. Also, compared to the first-generation DES, the use of second-generation DES has been associated with better outcomes in the older population, as the latter has been associated with a lower risk of MI in the following year among patients aged 70 years or older with a hazard ratio of 0.40 (95% CI: 0.19-0.82); $P = 0.012$\cite{78}. Most recently, the SENIOR trial demonstrated lower rates of the 1-year all-cause mortality, MI, stroke, and revascularization in elderly patients who underwent PCI and received third-generation DES with bioabsorbable polymer and a short-term DAPT compared to those who received BMS\cite{76}. In the same trial, the duration of DAPT was decided before patients’ random assignment to the two different types of stents and it was recommended to be 1 month for stable patients and 6 months for unstable ones, however, the bleeding complications were comparable in both study arms.

**BLEEDING AVOIDANCE STRATEGIES**

With peri-procedural bleeding being one of the most concerning topics regarding PCI in elderly patients\cite{23}, several approaches and strategies have been developing aiming at reducing the amount of blood loss and improving the safety and efficacy of these procedures in populations of high risk. Bleeding avoidance strategies (BAS) include the usage of vascular closure devices (VCD), transradial approach instead of the transfemoral, and bivalirudin instead of heparin and GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor\cite{77}. Previous data showed that BAS have been associated with lower risk of Peri-PCI bleeding, nevertheless, these strategies are underutilized among patients with higher risk of bleeding suggesting what we call “risk-treatment paradox”\cite{77-79}. Khambatta et al.\cite{78} evaluated the data of 124,606 patients with different ages who underwent PCI over a period over 4 years to study the effect of BAS on rates of bleeding and other variables in different age groups. They have demonstrated a lower incidence of bleeding with the utilization of BAS with an adjusted odds ratio of 0.982 (95% CI: 0.980-0.984) compared to those without BAS usage in all age groups even patients older than 80 years. BAS was also associated with lower in-hospital mortality with an adjusted odds ratio of 0.993 (95% CI: 0.992-0.994). Interestingly, although the overall usage of BAS has been improving in all age groups over the whole study period, their utilization was still less frequent in old age patients\cite{79}.
TIME OF INTERVENTION
There are some conflicting data regarding the perfect timing of PCI in patients presenting with STEMI, however, several previous studies have shown that shorter door-to-balloon time (DTBT) is associated with better outcomes and many investigators believe that attempts to avoid any DTBT delay should be adopted regardless the patient’s baseline risks\cite{80,81}. Optimally, reperfusion should be attained within the recommended 90-minute window, however, many patients still undergo PCI beyond this time limit\cite{82}. DTBT has been shown to be longer among older populations compared to their younger counterparts in several recent studies\cite{57,62,79}. The median DTBT in a cohort of 2972 consecutive patients who underwent primary PCI for STEMI was 70, 76, and 80 min for patients aged < 75, 75 to 84, and ≥ 85 years, respectively ($P < 0.001$)\cite{57}. Being an old age patient per se, has been shown to be an independent predictor for the door-to-balloon delay\cite{83}. Other predictors have been described such as non-daytime presentation, the absence of typical chest pain, the need for hospital transfer, female sex, and non-white race\cite{83}. Elderly patients with ACS often present with non-specific and atypical symptoms like nausea, vomiting, diaphoresis, and dyspnea. Chest pain occurs only in approximately 40% of patients older than 85 years\cite{84}. Also, the higher prevalence of left bundle branch block (LBBB) in older population patients makes the electrocardiographic diagnosis of STEMI more difficult\cite{84}. These unusual presentations of ACS and time-wasting factors may cause some sort of delayed diagnosis and management which consequently leads to worse outcomes in elderly undergoing PCI that must be investigated thoroughly in the near future.

AUXILIARY CARDIAC IMAGING UTILIZATION
Over the last years, we have witnessed dramatic advancements in the field of cardiac imaging. Several imaging modalities such as transesophageal echocardiography (TEE), cardiac computed tomography (CT), cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMR), and intravascular ultrasonography (IVUS) have been evolving and their usefulness in the diagnosis and assessment of a variety of clinical and/or surgical situations has been studied\cite{85,86}. We believe that the optimal utilization of several cardiac imaging modalities can provide an additive benefit to the patients undergoing PCI, especially among older populations. Moreover, the integration of clinical and imaging data can assess patients’ prognosis and predict their clinical outcomes. It also can define and classify several procedural complications and guide healthcare providers to decide whether to adopt a conservative management strategy or to proceed with more aggressive options\cite{87}. For instance, intra-operative TEE has been used to guide several procedures in the catheterization theater and it provided useful assessments to some age-related PCI complications such as iatrogenic aortic intramural hematomas and helped to assess the patient’s outcome and to decide the best management\cite{87}. Furthermore, with the latest developments of three-dimensional TEE, more accurate intra-operative images of stent scaffolds can be obtained which enabled some investigators to more confidently diagnose and assess some PCI complications like LMCA stent protrusion and migration\cite{88,89}. Thus, and as we mentioned above, with the older populations usually having more frequent LMCA lesions than younger patients, they can benefit from this modality. Also, IVUS and optical coherent tomography (OCT) have been shown to be useful in the qualitative assessment and preparation of LMCA lesions and in stent sizing and optimization as well\cite{90,91}. In addition to that, post-PCI risk stratification has been proven to be helpful in evaluating STEMI patients’ prognosis. The use of CMR during the hyperacute phase of STEMI after primary PCI has been shown to be safe and feasible\cite{92}. Although the value of most of these imaging modalities in the elderly undergoing PCI as a separate high-risk group has not been investigated in large scales studies, many of them may provide useful contributions during the management of these patients in the future.

DIFFERENT INDICATIONS OF PCI IN ELDERLY
It has been shown that PCI was indicated for older population in a wide spectrum of different clinical situations with variable disease severities, from primary PCI in unstable old patients with STEMI and urgent PCI for those presenting with non-STEMI and unstable angina pectoris to elective PCI for old patients with stable CAD. Many previous studies have demonstrated that older patients who underwent PCI for unstable
ACS constituted the bigger portion of the total elderly underwent PCI. Among 102 CAD patients aged 85 years and older, PCI was indicated in an ACS setting in 72.6% of them and only 24.5% of PCIs were performed for patients with stable angina. In another cohort, 93% of 177 PCI performed on nonagenarians were indicated in ACS settings and only 7% were elective PCI. It is worth mentioning that clinical presentation and PCI indication are considered significant determinants of post-PCI clinical outcomes. As it has been shown that short and long-term clinical outcomes are usually superior in older patients who underwent PCI for stable CAD compared to unstable patients of the same age. Among 102 PCI performed for a variety of indications in very old patients, aged ≥ 85 years, there were 4 in-hospital deaths, all of them were patients presented to PCI due to acute STEMI. However, there were no deaths among very old patients from the same age group in whom PCI was indicated for stable coronary syndromes, post-STEMI, and other indications. Teplitsky et al. reported a zero percent cumulative mortality rate at 6-month after elective PCI performed for nonagenarian patients with stable CAD. The 6-month cumulative mortality rate in patients underwent emergent PCI for clinically unstable ACS was 23% in the same study.

**PCI AMONG OTHER REVASCULARIZATION AND REPERFUSION STRATEGIES IN ELDERLY**

Generally, PCI is the most commonly used reperfusion strategy among all age groups. In terms of revascularization in older patients with CAD, a variety of strategies have been utilized, from conservative management with no revascularization at all to the most invasive surgical revascularization. However, the decision to choose the best reperfusion strategy for this high-risk group of patients has never been simple. Peiyuan et al. have compared the clinical outcomes of 3 groups of 3082 STEMI patients aged 75 years and older. Reperfusion by PCI was performed in 1000 patients, fibrinolysis was administrated to 160 patients, and the third group of 1922 patients did not have reperfusion therapy. PCI group had a significant lower mortality rates than fibrinolysis and no reperfusion groups of 7.7%, 15%, and 19.9%, respectively, \( P < 0.001 \). Several adverse outcomes such as recurrent MI and MI-related complications like heart failure and cardiac arrest occurred less frequently in PCI group. Other previous studies from different populations have demonstrated better clinical outcomes in patients underwent PCI compared to those whom received fibrinolysis in all age groups including the elderly. A meta-analysis of 22 randomized trials that included 6763 patients, also showed higher death and adverse outcomes rates of patients whom received fibrinolysis compared to primary PCI group among all ages except patients aged 50 years and younger. Another strategy that involves combining fibrinolysis to urgent PCI can be potentially beneficial for elderly patients with ACS. The pre-hospital administration of a reduced-dose fibrinolytic agent before urgent PCI, termed FAST-PCI, showed better 30-day mortality rates than primary PCI alone, 4.2% vs. 18.1%, respectively, \( P < 0.01 \) in STEMI patients aged 75 years and older without an increase in rates of major bleeding events, stroke, or reinfarction. Despite that many health care providers are still hesitant to direct old patients toward PCI, it has been shown that with aging, the frequency of PCI increases and that of coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) decreases. Nicolini et al. compared the clinical outcomes and adverse events between PCI and CABG in a cohort of patients aged 80 years and older with multivessel disease or LMCA lesions. PCI was performed in 947 patients, while 441 underwent CABG. It is worth mentioning that, all nonagenarian patients included in the PCI group. Although the 1-month mortality rates of both study arms were comparable, many adverse outcomes were more frequent among patients who underwent PCI in the follow-up period such as cardiac mortality, MI, and target vessel revascularization. In another larger cohort of 10,141 patients aged 85 years or older with ACS and multivessel CAD, CABG was more frequently performed compared to PCI, and it was associated with better survival and freedom from composite morbidity at 3 years follow-up. Thus, it appears that CABG is still the best strategy of revascularization in patients with multivessel disease or LMCA lesions even in elderly.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

Several foundations and societies such as the ACCF, AHA, European Society of Cardiology (ESC), and the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions (SCAI) have tried to adopt some guidelines and
recommendations based on the latest available clinical trials and observational studies in order to make the decision of performing PCI on older patients clearer and more evidence-based. The management of older population with CAD should be patient-centered and the decision whether to direct the patient toward reperfusion therapy or to adopt a less invasive and more conservative management should not be taken solely based on the patient’s age. On the other hand, the patient’s preferences, life expectancy, all his other co-morbidities, and functional status should be considered before denying or recommending PCI. A report from the ACCF, AHA, and SCAI stated that the PCI clinical benefits in younger and older population are comparable. However, the increased risk of some adverse outcomes in elderly like bleeding events and stroke should be taken into consideration\[103\]. The latest ESC guidelines for management of patients presenting with acute STEMI have also emphasized maintaining a high level of suspicion when dealing with any elderly presenting with atypical symptoms to avoid any delay in the diagnosis and reperfusion therapy\[104\]. Primary PCI should not have an upper age limit and any patient can be qualified for PCI based on his individual circumstances. The transradial approach was also recommended whenever it is possible in these patients. In addition, dosing of thrombolytic therapy should be adjusted carefully according to the patients’ kidney function, other medications, and comorbidities. The ACCF and AHA have also recommended the use of bivalirudin, instead of a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor plus unfractionated heparin, both initially and at PCI in elderly presenting with non-ST segment elevation ACS, as the former is associated with lower bleeding risks. However, the dosing of all the medical therapy must be modified according to the patients’ body weight and creatinine clearance\[6\]. They have also stated that CABG can be preferred over PCI for appropriate candidates, especially those with diabetes mellitus and multivessel disease with SYNTAX score of more than 22 [Figure 1].

**CONCLUSION**

There are several factors that render PCI a more challenging procedure among the elderly such as more complex coronary lesions, co-morbidities, frailty, and hematological alterations. Historically, PCI clinical outcomes have been demonstrated to be worse among older populations compared to their younger counterparts. Moreover, the participation of the elderly in the clinical trials that investigated different aspects of PCI has been markedly under-represented which created a vague state of decision making capability that
consequently could hinder the optimal treatment for many old patients. Recently, more advanced technologies have been introduced to interventional cardiology platforms, a variety of medical therapy options have been available, less invasive PCI approaches have been adopted, more advanced cardiac imaging modalities have been improving, and more attention to elderly undergoing PCI has been given. Although that PCI mortality rates are still practically higher among the elderly, many recent studies demonstrated that PCI is safe and effective for this segment of the population and some adverse clinical outcomes became similar to those occurring in younger patients. Thus, the decision to perform PCI should not merely rely on the patient’s age. Instead, many other considerations should be taken into account such as the patient’s functional and/ or cognitive status, preferences, co-morbidities, current medications, and life expectancy. In addition, more elderly must be a part of the future clinical trials and the safety and efficacy of all the available as well as the emerging less invasive PCI strategies have to be investigated more thoroughly in order to provide a clearer knowledge regarding the optimal utilization of PCI among the elderly.
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