Diabetes and NAFLD: a high-risk cohort with definite therapeutic potential
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Abstract

Despite the fact that non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and its severe clinical forms [non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and NASH-cirrhosis] are highly prevalent in the general population, there are no licensed drugs for NAFLD, and lifestyle intervention remains the only treatment accepted by international guidelines. This is despite massive investments in research by pharmaceutical companies. In the presence of type 2 diabetes, novel anti-diabetic drugs offer an opportunity to reduce the burden of NAFLD, by adequate control of glucose and lipid metabolism, also reducing the risk of NASH progression, advanced fibrosis, and finally hepatocellular carcinoma. We extensively reviewed the literature, based either on registration studies, ad hoc randomized studies or real-world data, to define the effectiveness of anti-diabetic drugs in the treatment of NAFLD and prevention of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Metformin provides the best evidence for decreased risk of HCC, pioglitazone was associated with decreased progression to fibrosis, glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists offer a possible opportunity to reduce NAFLD progression coupled with a definite protection for cardiovascular outcomes, and sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors are likely to reduce lipid burden, simultaneously reducing the risk of progressive renal and heart failure. For the latter two drug classes, the effects on NAFLD might largely explained by decreased body weight, in keeping with the beneficial effects of intensive lifestyle intervention.
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INTRODUCTION

The clinical and economic burden associated with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is becoming of paramount importance for national health systems globally. Most recent data indicate that approximately 25% of adults may be classified as NAFLD[1], one in 4 to 5 patients with NAFLD have non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)[1], and 1.5% have advanced fibrosis[2], the hallmark of disease progression to cirrhosis[3].

The hepatic disease is part of a multifaced involvement of other tissues and organs, primarily the cardiovascular system and the kidney[4], within the frame of the metabolic syndrome[5], that adds to the liver in driving long-term outcomes[6]. For this reason, there is a compelling need to adjust treatment to minimize cardiovascular risk in all patients with NAFLD, as suggested by national[7] and international[8] guidelines.

Despite much research and investment by pharmaceutical companies, no drugs have so far been approved for treatment by regulatory authorities, and adherence to healthier lifestyle remains the only accepted treatment strategy[9]. Several drugs failed the agreed treatment outcomes (reduced fibrosis without worsening of NASH or reduced necroinflammation, no worsening of fibrosis[10]) for approval during phase 2 or phase 3 randomized controlled studies (RCTs)[11]; only obeticholic acid fulfilled the targets in a phase 3 study[12], but the Food and Drug Administration required additional studies considering the low benefit/risk ratio[13].

Individuals with Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) constitute a large cohort of NAFLD cases. The prevalence of NAFLD in T2DM is as high as 60%[14], and T2DM increases the risk of disease progression to cirrhosis as well as the occurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)[15-17]. The relationship between T2DM and NAFLD appears to be bidirectional, with T2DM increasing the risk of NAFLD and NAFLD favoring the development of altered glucose regulation and T2DM[18]. Initially considered the hepatic manifestation of metabolic syndrome[19], and consequently as a likely effect of diabetes[20], it has also been suggested that liver fat accumulation and NAFLD might indeed be the metabolic driver of T2DM[21]. This evidence makes the development of T2DM an additional outcome of NAFLD treatment and prompts the need for strict control of glucose metabolism in NAFLD cases.

In the past 15 years the treatment of T2DM has completely changed. Second-generation sulfonylureas and glinides, very effective oral drugs long considered the standard of treatment before prescribing insulin injection, have been moved as third-line treatment and limited to rare settings in most recent international guidelines, because of poor durability and a high risk of hypoglycemia and coronary artery disease[22-24]. Very effective and safer drugs dipeptidylpeptidase-4 inhibitors (DPP-4Is), glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists [(GLP-1Ras) and sodium-glucose transporter-2 inhibitors (SGLT-2Is)] were added to the classical armamentarium (metformin, acarbose, sulfonylureas and glinides, insulin) with definite advantages on the impending risk of hypoglycemia, cardiovascular disease and heart failure[22]. Their efficacy and safety has been demonstrated in registration studies as well as in large cardiovascular outcome trials (CVOTs) required by regulatory agencies such as the FDA and European Medicines Agency[25]. The effects on liver fat accumulation have been tested vs. sulfonylureas/glinides, or are under investigation. Also, pioglitazone, an insulin-sensitizer of limited use following a series of warning data on class safety, initially involving rosiglitazone[26], has shown positive effects on cardiovascular outcomes[27]. In patients with NAFLD, irrespective of the presence of T2DM, is was associated a reduced risk of advanced fibrosis[28], and its use is now recommended by national and international guidelines[4].

The present review is aimed at defining the role of novel anti-diabetic drugs for the treatment of NAFLD in patients with T2DM, with particular reference to the prevention of HCC. Data were retrieved from ad
hoc RCTs, as well as from the re-analysis of large registration or CVOT trials. More recently, several large epidemiological surveys of real-world data became available, and their support to define the best treatment to prevent liver disease progression is also reported.

DATA SEARCH AND ANALYSIS

We searched PubMed and www.clinicaltrials.gov for studies on novel anti-diabetic drug use in patients with NAFLD or NASH. In PubMed we used the string [liver steatosis (MeSH Terms)] OR [NAFLD (MeSH Terms)] OR [HCC (Text Word)] OR [carcinoma (Text Word)] AND [adult onset diabetes mellitus (MeSH Terms)] AND [treatment (Text Word)] filtered by “humans”. The string retrieved 694 references published in the period 1988-2020. On www.clinicaltrials.gov we used the string “NAFLD OR NASH” as “condition or disease” field, while the names of the classes and later the names of the individual molecules were entered in the “other terms” field. On the left bar, in “Study Phase” we selected “Phase 2, 3, and 4”. Only studies with more than 10 participants were considered. Later, the references of all retrieved studies and review articles were scrutinized for missing references, and duplicate studies were removed. Data of the available evidence is summarized in Table 1.

RESULTS

Metformin

Metformin has long been considered the first-line drug for the treatment of T2DM and it is still indicated for all individuals who can tolerate its use without gastrointestinal discomfort. Despite its insulin-sensitizing activity, potentially reducing lipid burden, metformin is no longer specifically indicated for NAFLD, following a few studies and a review article where it failed to reduce histological severity of NAFLD\textsuperscript{[29]}. However, metformin is now living a second life, as it were, considering its HCC-preventive action\textsuperscript{[30-32]}, coupled with reduced all-site cancer risk\textsuperscript{[33]}. Continuation of metformin was also shown to improve overall survival in NASH-cirrhosis with Child-Pugh class A and B\textsuperscript{[34]}; these beneficial effects justify the statement of international guidelines suggesting the use of background metformin for all T2DM patients with NAFLD\textsuperscript{[35]}.

Pioglitazone

Pioglitazone is an anti-diabetic drug that activates peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-\(\gamma\) (PPAR\(\gamma\)), a nuclear receptor, mostly expressed in the adipose tissue, and to a lesser extent in other organs, including the liver. The activation of the PPAR\(\gamma\) quells the production of liver collagen by hepatic stellate cells,
promotes the differentiation of adipocytes, decreases leptin and IL-6 concentration, increases adiponectin levels, and above all, reduces insulin resistance, the driver of NAFLD. Pioglitazone has been tested in NAFLD at the target dose of 30-45 mg/day in several RCTs with histologic outcomes, showing a reduction of necroinflammation (NAFLD activity score - NAS), as well as improvement in fibrosis in a systematic meta-analysis. Pioglitazone also reduces the risk of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular outcomes, as well as of HCC (odds ratio, OR = 0.83, 95%CI: 0.72-0.95). This makes pioglitazone the treatment of choice of NASH, independent of the presence of T2DM. Notably, treatment discontinuation is followed by NASH recurrence. Pioglitazone treatment is associated with moderate weight gain, and the risks of non-osteoartotic fractures and, particularly, of heart failure are also increased; for these reasons the drug should not be used in elderly patients. Adverse events are probably rare at lower doses (15 mg/day), but the effects on the liver are also unknown. At present, the use of pioglitazone is off-label outside T2DM and informed consent is needed before treatment in individuals without diabetes.

Dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 inhibitors

DPP-4Is (sitagliptin, vildagliptin, saxagliptin and alogliptin) decrease blood glucose by preventing the rapid degradation in incretins, thus increasing glucose-dependent insulin release. This class of antidiabetic drugs has progressively entered the market in the past 15 years, showing a moderate effect on glucose control, and no risk of hypoglycemia or adverse cardiovascular outcomes. A meta-analysis by Carbone et al. on the effects of incretin treatment in patients with NASH and T2DM including 66 participants treated with sitagliptin for between 16 and 36 weeks found a significant mean reduction of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) in the two sitagliptin-treated cohorts (mean 17.7 U/L; 95%CI: 12.4-23.1; P < 0.001). In a small cohort with T2DM and NASH, the administration of sitagliptin 100 mg/day for one year determined a significant improvement in hepatocyte ballooning (P = 0.014) and total NAS (P = 0.04), as well as a decrease in ALT and aspartate aminotransferase (AST), an index more closely correlated with chronic liver damage. Similar data on liver enzymes were reported in 44 patients treated for six months with DPP-4Is, whereas the improvement of NAS was confirmed in 40 NASH patients, randomized to lifestyle changes vs. lifestyle changes associated with sitagliptin (NAS: -1.9 ± 1.4 vs. -0.7 ± 1.1; P = 0.006).

On the contrary, no differences in aminotransferases, liver fat content or liver stiffness were reported in a 24-week RCT including patients with pre-diabetes or early diabetes, treated with sitagliptin (100 mg per day), as well as in two studies in which sitagliptin was tested against placebo for 12 weeks (no differences in serum liver enzymes, hepatic fat content, fibrosis). No differences were reported in surrogate biomarkers of fibrosis, namely NAFLD fibrosis score [NFS], Fibrosis-4 score [FIB-4], aminotransferase-to-platelet ratio index [APRI]. In summary, the use of DPP-4Is in T2DM with NAFLD appears to be safe, but without any systematic advantage on progressive liver disease. There are no specific studies on their possible effects on the risk of HCC in T2DM.

Glucagon-like petide-1 receptor agonists

GLP-1RAs (exenatide, lixisenatide, liraglutide, dulaglutide, semaglutide) are potent injectable anti-diabetic drugs, mimicking the effects of endogenous incretins on insulin release, gastrointestinal motility, and the central nervous system (reduced appetite and food intake). CVOTs demonstrated that GLP-1RAs, as a class but with some differences between rapid- (exenatide b.i.d. and lixisenatide) and long-acting drugs, reduce the risk of major cardiovascular events in T2DM, and lead to a systematic weight loss. In patients with NAFLD and T2DM, liraglutide was initially reported to reduce liver inflammation (AST, ALT) and liver fibrosis scores(706,593),(992,627). These favorable effects might possibly derive from or be enhanced by the concomitant weight and HbA1c reduction. Eguchi et al. also found a reduction in NAS and Brunt’s classification grade after a 96-week treatment with liraglutide in ten patients with biopsy-proven NASH/NAFLD.
A beneficial role of liraglutide has been convincingly demonstrated in the pilot LEAN (Liraglutide Efficacy and Action in NASH) study\(^{[57]}\), a 48-week RCT in which liraglutide was tested vs. placebo in 52 patients with biopsy-confirmed NASH. The study included patients with stage 3 fibrosis (38% in liraglutide vs. 8% in placebo) and cirrhosis (8% vs. 15%, respectively), and 35% of the liraglutide group had T2DM (vs. 31% in placebo). Liraglutide led to histologic NASH resolution in 35% of cases, compared with 8% of placebo-treated patients [relative risk (RR) 4.5; 95%CI: 1.1-18.9; \( P = 0.017 \)]. Specifically, liraglutide led to the resolution of NASH in 3 out of 8 patients with T2DM (38%) \((RR = 4.7, 95\%CI: 0.3-75, P = 0.020)\), and only 9% of patients in the liraglutide group vs. 36% in the placebo group had fibrosis progression during treatment.

Less convincing data support a similar role for dulaglutide. A post-hoc analysis of the phase 3 AWARD studies [Assessment of Weekly AdministRation of LY2189265 (Dulaglutide) in Diabetes], involving 760 patients with T2DM and high likelihood of NAFLD/NASH based on elevated ALT values and exclusion of other hepatic diseases, showed a significantly greater reduction of ALT after 6-month treatment with dulaglutide 1.5 mg once a week (-2.1 IU/L; 95%CI: -3.9 to -0.3; \( P = 0.022 \)). Similar changes were observed when the results were adjusted for body weight (-8.7 IU/L; 95%CI: -10.1 to -7.3)\(^{[58]}\).

Exenatide also reduced ALT and AST levels in people with T2DM and elevated baseline ALT levels\(^{[59]}\) in a case series of eight patients with NASH treated for 28 weeks. Some patients also experienced an improvement in histological features, including fibrosis\(^{[60]}\). Furthermore, the previously mentioned meta-analysis by Carbone \textit{et al.}\(^{[48]}\) showed a significant mean ALT reduction in both the liraglutide and exenatide treated cohorts (mean 12.2 U/L; 95%CI: 4.9-19.4; \( P < 0.001 \)). Finally, exenatide effectively reduced hepatic triglyceride content compared to reference treatment (+12.5 ± 9.6%, \( P = 0.007 \), when assigned to 44 obese subjects with T2DM\(^{[61]}\), again in a weight loss-dependent manner; \( r = 0.47, P = 0.03 \)). Cuthbertson \textit{et al.}\(^{[62]}\) reported a 42% median reduction of intracellular fat content \((P < 0.0001)\), measured by magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), independently of weight loss, after six months of exenatide or liraglutide.

GLP-1RAs have also been investigated in combination with lifestyle interventions or other drugs. Fan \textit{et al.}\(^{[63]}\) found a significant reduction in ALT, AST, and gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase, and an increase in the AST/ALT ratio in a cohort of 49 patients affected by both T2DM and NAFLD and treated by the combination of exenatide and lifestyle interventions. The MRS-assessed hepatic content was significantly higher in individuals receiving the combination of exenatide and pioglitazone for 12 months \((12.1 ± 1.7 to 4.7 ± 1.3\%)\), however, compared with pioglitazone alone \((11.0 ± 3.1 to 6.5 ± 1.9\%\))\(^{[64]}\).

A phase 2 study of semaglutide, a longer-acting, weekly dosing GLP-1 analogue, has recently been completed. A preliminary release reports that after 72 weeks of therapy with the highest dosage tested (0.4 mg), 33 of 56 patients (59%) with fibrosis stages F2 to F3 met the primary end-point of NASH resolution and no worsening in liver fibrosis, vs. 10 of 58 patients (17%) in the control arm\(^{[65]}\). Semaglutide is very effective on body weight; a phase 3-4 trial in obesity reported a mean weight loss of 14.9% with semaglutide 2.4 mg/week for 68 weeks, increasing to 17.4% at follow up\(^{[66]}\). An oral formulation of semaglutide is also being tested in pre-registration studies\(^{[67]}\).

Concern on the use of GLP-1RA in NASH cirrhosis was recently raised by the observation that liraglutide. While providing optimal control of blood glucose, HbA1c, and body weight in patients, it blunted the effect of beta-blockers on heart rate, possibly indicating a raised bleeding risk after starting GLP-1RA\(^{[68]}\). The researchers proposed a mechanistic molecular explanation of how a GLP-1RA might prevent beta-adrenergic receptor blockade\(^{[69]}\). For this reason, the treatment of T2DM with GLP-1RA in subjects at risk of bleeding requires additional studies.
Sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors (Gliflozins)

Empagliflozin, dapagliflozin, canagliflozin, ertugliflozin, and many other SGLT-2Is under development block renal exchange of glucose in the proximal tubule, being responsible for the reuptake of 90% of the pre-urinary glucose. They entered the market in the last decade; registration and CVOT trials showed that gliflozins reduce cardiovascular events and, particularly, heart failure, prevent the deterioration of renal function, and induce a moderate weight loss. The risk of genitourinary tract infections are the principal adverse events associated with gliflozin use.

Their effects of SGLT-2Is on liver fat have not been systematically studied, but a few data have recently become available, based either on RCTs or epidemiological studies. In a RCT involving 84 patients, dapagliflozin significantly reduced hepatic fat content measured by magnetic resonance imaging (dapagliflozin, from 17.3% to 15.1%, $P < 0.05$; placebo from 15.1% to 14.5%, $P$ not significant), as well as liver enzymes (AST, ALT, GGT) when compared to placebo. Similar results on liver fat were reported in a prospective RCT with empagliflozin involving 50 patients (mean difference between patients treated with and without empagliflozin, -4%; $P < 0.0001$), and in another RCT in 20 patients treated with canagliflozin (from 17.6% ± 7.5% to 12.0% ± 4.6% after 6 months and 12.1% ± 6.1% after 12 months; $P < 0.005$ for both).

In real-world studies, a larger reduction in liver enzymes is commonly observed during treatment with SGLT-2Is when compared with other antidiabetic drugs, such as sulfonylureas or DPP-4Is. In a large observational study involving 3,667 patients with T2DM, after a mean follow-up of 4.8 months, ALT levels (independently of weight and HbA₁c) were lower in the group treated with canagliflozin and dapagliflozin, compared with those treated with liaglutide and sitagliptin.

Very few data are available on SGLT-2Is and histological changes in NAFLD patients. In a prospective open-label study involving five patients who underwent serial liver biopsies, all patients treated with canagliflozin had an improvement in liver steatosis and NAS at 24 weeks, together with a decrease in fibrosis stage in two of them. The authors also confirmed these results in nine patients after 24 weeks of canagliflozin treatment, with reduced lobular inflammation, ballooning, and fibrosis stage in 33%, 22%, and 33% of patients, respectively.

A significant proportion of the beneficial effects of gliflozins might be derived by reduced body weight. A network meta-analysis of 29 RCTs confirmed that gliflozin treatment was significantly associated with a higher probability to achieve significant weight loss ($\geq 5\%$) vs. placebo. In a recent study, canagliflozin was also reported to reduce the risk of prostate, lung, and pancreatic cancers, without deleterious effects on HCC.

CONCLUSION

Progress in pharmacotherapy of T2DM has opened interesting areas of research and treatment for patients with NAFLD. The use of old drugs should be systematically abandoned in favor of safer and effective treatments, also addressing the associated cardiovascular and cancer risks, as well as the impending risk of hypoglycemia that may be particularly harmful for frail patients with NASH and non-NASH cirrhosis. A decalogue summarizing the novel evidence is reported in Table 2. Needless to say that the use of novel drugs must be accompanied by intense lifestyle interventions, the only effective strategy to reduce the burden of NAFLD in the long term, as well as by adherence to international guidelines, supporting a change from treatment-to-target to treatment-to-cure, while being respectful of patients’ frailty and economic resources.

Insulin treatment remains the most effective therapy to control glucose metabolism in very advanced stages; the risk of hypoglycemia and insulin-associated lipogenesis and weight gain - as well as difficulties
to lose weight for subjects with obesity suggests that efforts should be aimed at limiting insulin use. The use of oral DPP-4Is and, later, of weekly-injectable GLP-1RAs or SGLT-2Is in comparison to basal insulin is under investigation\cite{87,88}. There is evidence that early initiation of GLP-1RAs may achieve similar or even better results than treatment with basal insulin\cite{89,90} and the improved ease of treatment is associated with better quality of life in advanced disease states. Fewer data are available for SGLT-2Is, but also this drug class appears to be non-inferior to add-on basal insulin as to effectiveness and safety\cite{91,92}.

In conclusion, we are living a totally new era in the pharmacologic treatment of type 2 diabetes and patients with NAFLD are likely to take the greatest advantage from novel agents. The beneficial effects of GLP-1RAs and SGLT-2Is on metabolic outcomes extend well beyond the area of diabetes, namely to obesity, cardiovascular risk, heart failure and renal disease\cite{93,94}, and might soon be available for NAFLD patients outside of T2DM\cite{95,96}.

DECLARATIONS

Authors’ contributions

Made substantial contributions to conception and design of the study and interpretation: Brodosi L, Musio A, Marchesini G, Petroni ML

Performed data acquisition, as well as provided technical, and material support: Barbanti FA, Mita D

Drafted the manuscript: Brodosi L, Marchesini G

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Financial support and sponsorship

Barbanti FA is supported by a contract financed by Italian Ministry of Health and Italian Regions (NET-2016-02364191).

Conflicts of interest

All authors declared that there are no conflicts of interest in relation to the material presented here.

Table 2. A decalogue for safe and effective NAFLD treatment in patients with T2DM

1. Implement a systematic, intensive, continuing lifestyle intervention (healthy diet and habitual physical activity) aimed at maintaining or slowly achieving a near-normal body weight. Physical activity is particularly needed to prevent sarcopenia.
2. Carefully assess NAFLD stage by surrogate biomarkers, as well as T2DM comorbidities (cardiovascular and renal involvement). In patients with cirrhosis determine Child-Pugh class and MELD score.
3. Define treatment targets on the basis of patients’ frailty and disease severity. Although HbA1c below 6.5% (48 mmol/mol) may be the desired target in subjects without comorbidities, in individual cases values up to 8% (64 mmol/mol) may be acceptable. Consider that HbA1c may be unreliable in the presence of recent hemorrhage, and random glucose monitoring may be advisable.
4. Background metformin (2 g/day) treatment should always be used and maintained also in compensated cirrhosis, although at reduced doses (1-1.5 g/day), as long as compatible with gastrointestinal symptoms and renal function.
5. Add pioglitazone (30-45 mg/day) in patients not at risk of heart failure or ascites. Further intensify lifestyle intervention to prevent weight gain.
6. Add DPP4-Is to improve glucose control to near-normal glucose targets in patients without comorbidities.
7. Add GLP-1RAs in patients at high risk of cardiovascular disease, including patients with previous cardiovascular events. Caution should be used in subjects with cirrhosis at risk of bleeding.
8. SGLT2-Is should be preferred in patients at risk of heart failure, as well as in patients with progressive decline of glomerular filtration rate. Consider the risk of genitourinary infection, particularly in women and in elderly men with prostate problems.
9. Avoid insulin use as long as possible, to reduce the risk of hypoglycemia and the impact on quality of life. Late insulin use may be needed in most advanced stages; whenever possible use basal or basal-bolus regimens. Combination of basal insulin with GLP-1RAs may be a likely option in selected cases.


