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Abstract

The Fatty Liver Index (FLI) is a non-invasive biomarker proposed, in 2006, by Bedogni’s group, to aid in identifying patients with suspected nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) to be submitted to liver ultrasonography to confirm steatosis. Criteria of Assessment of Narrative Review Articles, a scale for the assessment of quality of narrative review articles, inspired our review article, which aims at evaluating the scope of published articles on FLI issued over the last 15-year period. The analysis of retrieved data identified the following conclusions. First, given that FLI and NAFLD share the same risk factors, FLI can be used to identify NAFLD among populations at risk to be submitted to screening. Second, FLI is able to identify the hazard of atherosclerosis, both at a subclinical stage and as an overt disease. Third, FLI detects incident diabetes and chronic kidney disease. However, evidence supporting the notion that FLI also predicts the metabolic syndrome, some endocrine disorders, certain tumor types, and overall and cause-specific mortality appears to be more limited. In conclusion, 15 years after its first publication, FLI has been validated as a robust biomarker of both steatosis and NAFLD. Moreover, the scope of FLI has been expanded to previously unexpected areas. Finally, we discuss FLI limitations and a research agenda aimed at further improving the accuracy of FLI scores in predicting liver-related outcomes, endocrine-metabolic disorders, cancer risk, and survival.
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BACKGROUND AND AIMS
Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: definition and natural course of hepatic and extra-hepatic involvement

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) describes hepatic fatty changes which are bi-directionally associated with the metabolic syndrome (MetS) and its individual components\(^1\). By definition, NAFLD requires the exclusion of competing causes of liver disease.

As a systemic disorder, NAFLD has a “hepatic” as well as an “extra-hepatic” natural history. The former includes manifestations such as simple steatosis, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), fibrosis, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma\(^2,3\). The latter comprises involvement of the cardiovascular and endocrine systems, chronic respiratory disorders, the musculoskeletal system, the skin, and extra-hepatic tumors\(^4\). Recently, NAFLD has also been clearly identified as a strong risk factor for incident chronic kidney disease (CKD)\(^5\).

According to the European Association for the Study of the Liver, further to ultrasonography, assessment of steatosis can also be accomplished with biomarkers such as the Fatty Liver Index (FLI), SteatoTest, and NAFLD Fat score\(^6\).

A brief history of FLI development and original aims

In 2006, Bedogni et al.\(^7\) published an article entitled: “The Fatty Liver Index: a simple and accurate predictor of hepatic steatosis in the general population”. This study validated an algorithm, based on body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, triglycerides, and gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT), originally developed based on the analysis of 216 individuals with suspected liver disease compared to 280 controls without liver disease belonging to the general population of the Dionysos Nutrition & Liver Study (DNLS)\(^8\).

FLI, with a range between 0 and 100, can be calculated using the following two steps.

Step 1. Calculate the linear predictor (LP):
\[
LP = 0.953 \ln(\text{triglycerides (mg/dL)}) + 0.139 \pm \text{BMI (kg/m}^2) + 0.718 \ln(\text{GGT (IU/L)}) + 0.053 \times \text{waist circumference (cm)} - 15.745
\]

Step 2. Calculate the probability of fatty liver and multiply it by 100:

\[
\text{FLI} = \left( e^{LP} / (1 + e^{LP}) \right) \times 100
\]

In is the natural logarithm and e is the base of natural numbers. FLI can be calculated using many freely available online calculators, for example the MdCalculator (https://www.mdcalc.com/fatty-liver-index).

In the DNLS, values of FLI < 30 ruled out (negative likelihood ratio = 0.2) and values ≥ 60 ruled in (positive likelihood ratio = 4.3) fatty liver with a discrimination of 0.84 (95%CI: 0.81-0.87) as detected by the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve\(^7\). It is important to cite the precise words used by Bedogni et al.\(^7\) to explain what role they attributed to FLI: “FLI may help physicians to select subjects for liver ultrasonography and intensified lifestyle counseling, and researchers to select patients for epidemiologic studies”. As reported in this article, however, this prediction has largely been overcome by an extraordinary
number of publications using FLI for purposes quite different from those originally suggested by the authors.

**How the components of the FLI algorithm fit in the natural course of hepatic and extra-hepatic NAFLD**

Bedogni et al.\[8\] used data from the DNLS to develop the FLI algorithm for the prediction of fatty liver. Age, sex, and alcohol were not associated with steatosis in any of the multivariable models leading to the development of FLI. The fact that BMI, waist circumference, GGT, and triglycerides were the independent predictors chosen for the final prediction model is in full agreement with our current understanding of the role of overall and regional adiposity\[9\], lipid phenotype\[10\], and GGT as an accurate surrogate index of insulin resistance in NAFLD\[11\]. Unfortunately, the role of GGT as a predictor of fatty liver seems to have generally been neglected by American and British physicians, possibly because GGT is not considered a primary liver test in these countries.

**Scale for the quality Assessment of Narrative Review Articles**

The present review article adheres to the Scale for the quality Assessment of Narrative Review Articles (SANRA)\[12\]. Without covering originality, topicality, conflicts of interest, or plausibility, and although not designed to provide a precise estimate of the quality of all theoretically possible manuscripts, this scale is based on formal criteria intended to help editors, reviewers, and readers in assessing the quality of a given narrative review article\[12\]. To this end, SANRA utilizes a simple sum scoring system based on quite limited scoring options (0, 1, and 2)\[12\]. In short, SANRA supports six qualifying points including: (1) justification of the article’s importance for each journal’s readership; (2) statement of concrete (single or multiple) aims or formulation of questions; (3) transparency about the sources of information on which the text is based and accurate description of search history; (4) extensive backing key statements with adequate referencing to key statements; (5) introducing appropriate arguments underlying scientific reasoning; and (6) appropriate presentation of data\[12\].

**Bibliographic research strategy and aims**

The PubMed database was searched using those articles, without any language restrictions, exhibiting “Fatty Liver Index” in their titles. The search was completed on 1 June 2021. Overall, 93 articles were retrieved, 43 of which were retained based on the agreement of the authors and 50 were deemed as out of the aims of the present study. The reasons for exclusion were studies either based on limited case series or not relevant to illustrate the main topic of the present study, which aims to report on the scope of FLI use in contemporary medical literature.

**RISK FACTORS FOR FLI**

Five studies published thus far, as summarized in Table 1, have addressed the risk factors predicting FLI, considered to be a surrogate marker of NAFLD\[13-17\].

Collectively, the data suggest that, in European and Japanese populations, age, sex, and lifestyle habits, including dietary and sedentary behavior, modulate total and visceral obesity and affect insulin resistance thereby contributing to determining the risk of NAFLD as assessed by FLI \[Table 1\]. Integrating the conclusions of the original FLI paper and in agreement with general and recent notions pertaining to the epidemiology of NAFLD\[18,19\], age and sex were identified as important modifiers of FLI variability in these populations\[14\]. The finding that consumption of sweetened beverages predisposes to while eating fruit protects from FLI\[13\] is also consistent with studies conducted on NAFLD\[20\]. Finally, the study by Klisic et al.\[15\] also confirmed that the range of “normal” transaminases must be updated, as originally suggested by Prati et al.\[21\], and that risk factors for the development of NAFLD vary in adult men and
Table 1. Risk factors for FLI in European and Japanese studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year [Ref.]</th>
<th>Method*</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weber et al. [13] 2018</td>
<td>161 individuals with T2D and 62 T2D-free controls were extracted from the GDS Peripheral (M-value) and hepatic IR were assessed by hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamps with stable isotope dilution</td>
<td>A doubling of SSB-derived sucrose plus non-sucrose bound fructose intake associated with a reduction of the M-value by -2.6% (~4.9; ~0.2) and -2.7% (~5.2; ~0.1) among T2D, respectively, with an increase in the odds of fatty liver by 16% and 17%, respectively, among T2D (all ;0.05)</td>
<td>In this German study, peripheral insulin sensitivity was impaired by moderate intake of sugar sweetened beverages. In contrast, fruit-derived fructose intake was beneficial for liver fat content assessed with FLI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leone et al. [14] 2019</td>
<td>Cross-sectional analysis of 8103 Italian overweight and obese adults volunteering for participation in a structured weight loss program. Anthropometric measurements were taken and biochemical parameters measured. VAT and SAT were measured by ultrasonography.</td>
<td>FLI was higher in men and increased with increasing age, VAT, and SAT. The sex<em>VAT, age</em>VAT, sex<em>SAT, and age</em>SAT interactions negatively contributed to FLI, indicating a lower VAT and SAT contribution to FLI in men and in the elderly for every 1 cm of increment.</td>
<td>Deposits of abdominal adipose tissue are associated with FLI. However, their contribution is sex and age dependent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klisic et al. [15] 2019</td>
<td>771 volunteers recruited in the Primary Health Care Centre in Podgorica, Montenegro, during their routine checkup in a period from October 2012 to May 2016 were enrolled. FLI ≥ 60 was used as proxy of NAFLD. ROC curve analysis with the AUC was used to determine the cutoff values of ALT and SUA associated with FLI.</td>
<td>Cutoff values of ALT associated with the increased prevalence of NAFLD are sex-specific, i.e., ALT 19 IU/L (AUC = 0.746, sensitivity 63%, specificity 72%, ;0.001) in women and 22 IU/L (AUC = 0.804, sensitivity 61%, specificity 95%, ;0.001) in men. The cutoff value for SUA in women was 274 μmol/L (AUC = 0.821, sensitivity 68%, specificity 82%, ;0.001)</td>
<td>ALT was an independent predictor of FLI in both sexes. Conversely, SUA predicted FLI only in women.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nivukoski et al. [16] 2020</td>
<td>In total, 12,368 Finns (5784 men, 6584 women) extracted from a cross-sectional population health survey (The National FINRISK Study) carried out in six geographical areas in Finland were recruited. The material includes a nationally representative age- and gender-stratified sample, which was drawn from the population register according to an international protocol. The following criteria for exclusion were applied: clinically manifest liver disease, diabetes or abnormal oral glucose tolerance, ischemic heart or brain disease, chronic inflammatory diseases, malignancy, or active infection. Lifestyle was estimated with a total score.</td>
<td>The occurrence of FLI ≥ 60% indicating fatty liver increased from 2.4% in men with zero risk factors to 81.9% in those with a total risk score of 7-8 (;0.0005 for linear trend) and in women from 0% to 73.5% (;0.0005). The most striking individual impacts on the likelihood for FLI above 60% were observed for physical inactivity (;0.0005 for both genders) and alcohol consumption (;0.0005 for men). Interestingly, coffee consumption was also found to increase with increasing risk factor scores (;0.0005 for linear trend in both sexes).</td>
<td>A higher risk of hepatic steatosis assessed with FLI results from an unfavorable combinations of lifestyle risk factors in a Finnish population.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
assessment of alcohol use, smoking, adiposity, and physical activity such that higher scores indicated a more unhealthy lifestyle.

Tien et al.\(^\text{[17]}\) 2021

In total, 1588 Japanese adults (789 men and 799 women) were enrolled participating in the Japan Multi-Institutional Collaborative Cohort Study, Tokushima Prefecture. Participants were receiving health checkups, employees of local companies, or volunteers.

Factor analysis was applied to energy-adjusted intake of 21 nutrients, and nutrient patterns were extracted. Four nutrient patterns were extracted: Factor 1 (vitamins, dietary fiber, iron, and potassium pattern); Factor 2 (fats and fat-soluble vitamins pattern); Factor 3 (saturated fat, calcium, vitamin B2, and low carbohydrate pattern); and Factor 4 (sodium, protein, and vitamin D pattern).

After adjustment for sex, age, and other potential confounding factors, higher Factor 1 scores were significantly associated with lower ORs of NAFLD \((P\text{ for trend} < 0.05)\) Mediated by reduced overall and abdominal adiposity, a diet rich in vitamins, fiber, iron, and potassium was associated with a lower prevalence of NAFLD assessed with FLI in a large Japanese cohort.

There were significant inverse associations between Factor 1 scores and high BMI and large WC.

Factor analysis was applied to energy-adjusted intake of 21 nutrients, and nutrient patterns were extracted. Multiple LRA was used to analyze the relationships between nutrient patterns and FLI ≥ 60.

MEDICATED BY REDUCED OVERALL AND ABDOMINAL ADIPOSITY, A DIET RICH IN VITAMINS, FIBER, IRON, AND POTASSIUM WAS ASSOCIATED WITH A LOWER PREVALENCE OF NAFLD ASSESSED WITH FLI IN A LARGE JAPANESE COHORT.

*FLI was calculated in all studies summarized as described in A brief history of FLI development and original aims. ALT: Alanine-aminotransferase; AUC: area under the curve; BMI: body mass index; GDS: German diabetes study; IR: insulin resistance; LRA: logistic regression analysis; ORs: odds ratios; ROC: receiver operating characteristic; SSB: sugar sweetened beverages; SAT: subcutaneous adipose tissue; SUA: serum uric acid; T2D: type 2 diabetes; VAT: visceral adipose tissue; WC: waist circumference.

IDENTIFYING NAFLD AND METABOLIC SYNDROME WITH FLI

FLI and NAFLD

The methodological criteria useful to evaluate the accuracy of FLI at identifying fatty liver and more specifically NAFLD have been discussed elsewhere.\(^\text{[22]}\) Seven published studies thus far have evaluated the capacity of FLI to detect NAFLD in various epidemiological scenarios \([\text{Table 2}]\)\(^\text{[23-29]}\).

Collectively, the studies summarized in Table 2 indicate that, while being a useful tool for evaluating NAFLD in high-risk populations [e.g., type 2 diabetes (T2D) and obstructive sleep apnea], in the individual patient, FLI has a limited liability of ruling in or out NAFLD.\(^\text{[22]}\) This conclusion is in agreement with the original report by Bedogni et al.\(^7\) and with the known limitations of prediction algorithms employed at the individual level.\(^\text{[30]}\) Moreover, it suggests that FLI should be used for epidemiological rather than clinical purposes. In this connection, Fedchuk et al.\(^\text{[31]}\) compared the performance and limitations of various biomarkers, FLI included, as related to the accepted NAFLD diagnostic standard, i.e., liver histology. It was found that, albeit being able to identify steatosis and insulin resistance, all non-invasive biomarkers had a limited clinical utility given that they are confounded by fibrosis and inflammation and do not accurately quantify fatty changes. It should be noted, however, that FLI was developed in the general population, and this should be taken into account when it is used for prediction purposes.\(^\text{[22]}\)
Table 2. FLI as a detector of NAFLD across various epidemiological scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year [Ref.]</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Klisic et al. [23] 2018</td>
<td>139 T2D patients (50.1% men) were cross-sectionally evaluated Anthropometric, blood pressure, and biochemical parameters were recorded</td>
<td>Multivariate LRA showed HDL-c and MDA independently predicted higher FLI scores (OR = 0.056 and P = 0.029 and OR = 1.105 and P = 0.016, respectively) ROC curve analysis showed that the addition of fatty liver risk factors* to each analyzed biochemical parameter (HDL-c, non-HDL-c, hsCRP, MDA, and AOPP) in Model 1 increased the ability to discriminate patients with and without FL (AUC = 0.832, AUC = 0.808, AUC = 0.798, AUC = 0.824, and AUC = 0.743, respectively) Model 2 (which included all five predictors listed above) improved discrimination abilities for fatty liver status (AUC = 0.909) Additionally, Model 2 had both higher sensitivity and higher specificity (89.3% and 87.5%, respectively) than each individual predictor in Model 1</td>
<td>T2D patients at a high risk of fatty liver disease may be identified through a structured approach, including biomarkers of oxidative stress, dyslipidemia, and inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al. [24] 2019</td>
<td>326 consecutive adults with and 105 without NAFLD were recruited All were newly diagnosed with OSAHS Steatosis was diagnosed with US Accuracy and cutoffs of the FLI and HSI in detecting NAFLD were assessed with AUROC curve and the maximum Youden index analysis, respectively</td>
<td>Both FLI and HSI values were significantly higher in patients with NAFLD than in controls The AUROC of FLI and HSI for predicting NAFLD was 0.802 (95%CI: 0.762-0.839) and 0.753 (95%CI: 0.710-0.793), respectively FLI had a significantly higher AUROC than HSI (P = 0.0383) The optimal cutoff value of FLI and HSI was 60 (sensitivity 66% and specificity 80%) and 35 (sensitivity 81% and specificity 60%)</td>
<td>Both FLI and HSI can serve as screening tools for NAFLD in adults with OSAHS FLI performs better than HSI to this end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsu et al. [25] 2019</td>
<td>From 9293 examinees who underwent routine health checkups, 4000 were enrolled, aged ≥ 20 years, with a BMI &lt; 24 kg/m² in our lean-NAFLD study population. NAFLD diagnoses were made according to the patients’ histories, laboratory values, and US criteria. Clinical variables, FPG, lipid, and liver profiles were evaluated using multiple LRA. The predictive ability and optimal cutoff values for NAFLD were determined according to the area under the ROC curve</td>
<td>Overall, 18.5% (n = 740) of the lean population had NAFLD. Male sex, BMI, body fat mass, FPG, SUA, ALT, TG, and FLI values were associated with NAFLD. FLI had the best discriminative ability to predict lean-NAFLD compared to the other biochemical markers. Using the Youden index test, an optimum cutoff value for FLI of 15 was found to have the highest discriminant ability</td>
<td>The prevalence of lean-NAFLD was not low. FLI was superior to other predictors including sex, liver function, and other metabolic factors, in the prediction of lean-NAFLD. FLI may be considered an easy to use, non-invasive marker to screen for lean-NAFLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbitt et al. [26] 2020</td>
<td>Patients attending the AMU over a 3-month period were invited to participate. Those with excess alcohol consumption or pre-existing liver disease were excluded Using established FLI cutoffs, 414 participants were grouped into low (FLI ≤ 30), medium (30 &lt; FLI ≤ 60), and high (FLI &gt; 60) risk of NAFLD High-risk patients were offered review including LSM and CAP score</td>
<td>In total, 134 patients were at low risk, 96 at medium risk, and 184 at high risk of NAFLD. Male sex (P &lt; 0.0001) and increasing age (P &lt; 0.0001) were associated with higher risk. Of the 120 high-risk patients who attended follow up, 13 participants had LSM &gt; 7 kPa. Higher FLI scores were associated with higher CAP scores (P &lt; 0.0001) but did not predict higher LSMs. FGP and HbA1c were found to be associated with higher LSM</td>
<td>About 44.4% of patients presenting to the AMU were at high risk of NAFLD according to the FLI Only 10.8% of the high-risk group and 3% of all those recruited had a LSM &gt; 7 kPa, suggesting development of fibrosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chen et al. [27] 2020

Community-based study conducted in Taiwan
Participants were subjected to a demographic survey, blood tests and abdominal US

746 individuals were classified in NAFLD group (mean age 56.3) years and 625 controls (average age 57.1)

The optimal cutoff points of FLI to discriminate FL by abdominal US were 20 in male and 10 in female (sensitivity 80.3% and 76.1%, respectively; specificity 66.9% and 65.5%, respectively)

FLI was correlated with the severity of US FL, predicted fat component percent and NAFLD fibrosis score, particularly in women

FLI can be used to select individuals to be submitted to abdominal US in population studies
To increase sensitivity, the FLI threshold might be set at 10 in women and 20 for men

Motamed et al. [28] 2020

This population-based study was based on the results of follow-up on individuals who did not have NAFLD during 2009-2010 but acquired the disease by 2016-2017 in northern Iran

In total, 2241 NAFLD-free individuals at the baseline evaluation in 2009-2010 were evaluated 7 years later by US to identify incident NAFLD cases

FLI was calculated based on data from Phase 1 (performed in 2009-2010) of the cohort study

ROC analyses were performed to estimate the predictive ability of FLI in diagnosing incident NAFLD cases

In LRA, FLI was considered the predictor and incident NAFLD was the outcome

AUCs for FLI in men and women were 0.712 (95%CI: 0.675-0.749) and 0.721 (95%CI: 0.685-0.759), respectively

FLI was significantly associated with incident NAFLD in LRA in both men and women [OR (95%CI) = 1.038 (1.029-1.047), P-value < 0.001 in men and OR (95%CI) = 1.032 (1.023-1.041), P-value < 0.001 in women in multiple]

FLI was able to predict incident NAFLD cases

Castellana et al. [29] 2021

Four databases (PubMed, CENTRAL, Scopus, and Web of Science) were searched until January 2021. Original articles reporting the performance of FLI and using US, CT, or MR as a reference standard were included. The numbers of subjects with NAFLD in FLI classes < 30, 30-60, and ≥ 60, and the numbers of subjects classified as true/false positive/negative when adopting 30 and 60 as cutoffs were extracted. A random-effects model was used for pooling data

Ten studies, globally evaluating 27,221 subjects without secondary causes of FL, were included

The NAFLD prevalence in the three FLI classes was 14%, 42%, and 67%. Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, LR for positive results, LR for negative results, and diagnostic OR were 81%, 65%, 53%, 84%, 2.3, 0.3, and 7.8 for the lower cutoff and 44%, 90%, 67%, 76%, 4.3, 0.6, and 7.3 for the higher cutoff, respectively. A similar performance was generally found in studies adopting US vs. other imaging modalities

FLI had an adequate performance in stratifying the risk of NAFLD. However, it showed only weak discriminatory performance in excluding or diagnosing this disorder

FLI and the metabolic syndrome

It should be preliminarily noted that measurement of waist circumference and triglyceride serum concentration are included both in FLI and in MetS. Therefore, an agreement between FLI and MetS, in principle, has to be expected. Two publications have evaluated the association of FLI with the MetS.
In the first study, Khang et al.\textsuperscript{[32]} evaluated the association between FLI and metabolic disorders and determined the cutoff value of FLI to screen for MetS. To this end, 10,107 adults aged ≥ 19 years from the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys were selected. NAFLD, which was identified based on an increased FLI (≥ 60), after the exclusion of alcohol or viral liver disease, had an age-standardized prevalence = 10.0%. Individuals with the higher FLI scores had a higher prevalence of arterial hypertension, T2D, and MetS. At multivariate analysis, the group with higher FLI scores had a significantly higher risk for hypertension (OR = 2.92, 95%CI: 2.18-3.90, \( P < 0.001 \)), T2D (OR = 4.38, 95%CI: 2.96-6.49, \( P < 0.001 \)), and MetS (OR = 24.85, 95%CI: 17.33-35.64, \( P < 0.001 \)). The FLI cutoff value estimated to predict the presence of MetS was 20 (area under the curve 0.849, sensitivity 0.828, and negative predictive value 91.9%), suggesting that FLI might be employed as a screening tool to identify those individuals in need of early management of MetS.

The second study, by Lee et al.\textsuperscript{[33]}, enrolled 3936 women under care at Pusan National University Hospital Health Promotion Center from 2008 to 2014. The overall prevalence of the MetS was 11.6% with a wide variability based on menopausal status (pre-menopausal 7.0% and post-menopausal 14.6%). The area under the curve of the receiver operating characteristic curve of FLI was 0.93 among pre-menopausal and 0.88 among post-menopausal women, suggesting that FLI should be more carefully applied to post-menopausal women.

**FLI vs. US-FLI**

In 2012, by combining the ultrasonographic features of steatosis into a simple semi-quantitative index, Ballestri et al.\textsuperscript{[34]} proposed the so-called “Ultrasonographic Fatty Liver Index” (US-FLI), which was shown to be significantly correlated with metabolic derangements and individual criteria for the histological diagnosis of NASH. Visual examples of the elementary components of ultrasonographic semiotics of US-FLI have been published elsewhere. In short, the US-FLI scoring system ranges 2-8 based on the intensity of liver/kidney contrast, posterior attenuation of ultrasound beam, vessel blurring, difficult visualization of gallbladder wall, difficult visualization of the diaphragm, and areas of focal sparing. NAFLD is diagnosed by the minimum score 2. US-FLI, initially proposed to select which NAFLD patients should be submitted to liver biopsy, has been validated (reviewed in\textsuperscript{[35,36]}). Therefore, it is logical to ascertain whether FLI and US-FLI provide comparable clinical information. To answer this research question, Xavier et al.\textsuperscript{[37]} enrolled 96 NAFLD patients in whom transient elastography was performed. They demonstrated that US-FLI was significantly superior to the FLI scores in discriminating between different grades of steatosis, but that the two scores should be applied together to obtain a more precise diagnosis of fatty liver and NAFLD.

**DOES FLI GAUGE CARDIOVASCULAR RISK?**

Nine studies from Europe, Asia, and the US evaluated the ability of FLI to identify surrogate indexes of subclinical atherosclerosis and clinically relevant cardiovascular events, as summarized in Table 3\textsuperscript{[38-46]}.

The data reported in Table 3 consistently show that high FLI scores predict both subclinical atherosclerosis (intracranial vertebrobasilar stenosis, arterial stiffness, and left ventricle mass) and overt disease (incident cardiovascular disease, cardiometabolic disease, heart failure, and adverse major cardiovascular events). These studies are in full agreement with common notions on NAFLD being associated with subclinical atherosclerosis and cardiovascular events\textsuperscript{[1,47-50]}. Collectively, studies suggest that, at least for epidemiological purposes, FLI is a reliable marker of the full pre-clinical and clinical spectrum of atherosclerosis at various anatomic sites.
Table 3. FLI and cardiovascular risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year [Ref.]</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qiu et al.[38] 2017</td>
<td>A cohort of 2281 Chinese adults recruited from the Wuxi center of PMMJS, which was established to analyze the epidemiologic features of chronic diseases in Jiangsu who did not have IVBS at baseline were enrolled in the 6-year follow-up study</td>
<td>At the baseline, FLI was positively associated with prevalent IVBS, and, compared to the participants with FLI &lt; 30, the adjusted ORs (95%CI) of IVBS were 2.07 (1.18-3.62) and 2.85 (1.39-5.18) in the groups of 30 ≤ FLI &lt; 60 and FLI ≥ 60, respectively</td>
<td>High FLI scores are an independent risk factor for asymptomatic IVBS in Chinese adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cicero et al.[39] 2018</td>
<td>1731 adult volunteers recruited in the Brisighella study were classified as NASH low-risk (BMI &lt; 28 and no diabetes), NASH intermediate-risk (BMI ≥ 28 or diabetes), or NASH high-risk (BMI ≥ 60 and diabetes)</td>
<td>In longitudinal analysis, those participants with FLI ≥ 60 compared to those with FLI &lt; 30 had an increased risk of asymptomatic IVBS [adjusted HR = 1.65 (95%CI: 1.05-2.60)]</td>
<td>The exclusion of people with hypertension, T2D, and MetS did not alter the associations between FLI and asymptomatic IVBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olubamwo et al.[40] 2018</td>
<td>1205 middle-aged men, free of CVD at baseline from the KIHDRFS cohort, were evaluated</td>
<td>Among low risk individuals, HSI (RR = 0.138, 95%CI: 0.105-0.170, P &lt; 0.001), FLI (RR = 0.024, 95%CI: 0.016-0.032, P &lt; 0.001), and LAP (RR = 0.014, 95%CI: 0.008-0.020, P &lt; 0.001) were significant predictors of AS</td>
<td>FLI was invariably associated with AS in subjects with different metabolic risk profiles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olubamwo et al.[40] 2019</td>
<td>501 Finnish men without CMD during the initial 4-year follow-up in the KIHDRFS cohort were enrolled</td>
<td>During a median 17-year follow-up, 690 incident cases of CVD and 269 cases of AMI were recorded through Finnish registries</td>
<td>Although FLI can predict incidents of CVD, the predictability of AMI using FLI is subject to interactions with metabolic factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Over the initial 4-year follow-up, 26.9% of individuals had a significant (≥ 10) FLI increase. The association of 4-year FLI increase with incident CMD was analyzed in multivariable-adjusted Cox regression models</td>
<td>In NASH-high risk participants, FLI and SUA were associated with PWV (RR = 0.150, 95%CI: 0.024-0.275, P = 0.019) were significant predictors of AS</td>
<td>Individuals with FLI scores falling in the moderate to high categories should be evaluated and monitored for either subclinical or overt CVD, including CAD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During a mean 15-year follow-up, 301 new CMD cases occurred

Compared to subjects with low baseline FLI and no significant 4-year FLI increase (used as the reference), subjects with intermediate baseline FLI and significant 4-year FLI increase, the HRs and 95% CIs for incident CMD in Model 1 [2.13 (1.45-3.13)] and Model 2 [1.73 (1.13-2.66)] exceeded values for subjects with similar baseline FLI without a significant 4-year change [HRs (95% CIs) were 1.36 (0.94-1.97) for Model 1 and 1.18 (0.81-1.70) for Model 2]
metabolic and inflammation biomarker factors (Model 2) They approached HRs (95% CI) for subjects who maintained high FLI over the 4 years [HRs (95% CIs) were 2.18 (1.54–3.10) in Model 1 and 1.85 (1.21–2.82) in Model 2]

Roh et al. [42] 2020 The association of FLI scores with new-onset HF was evaluated with multivariate Cox proportional-hazards models in 308,578 healthy people without co-morbidities who underwent the National Health checkups in the republic of Korea from 2009 to 2014 A total of 2532 subjects (0.8%) received a new diagnosis of HF during the study period (a median of 5.4 years)

Patients were categorized into quartile groups according to FLI scores (Q1, 0-4.9; Q2, 5.0-12.5; Q3, 12.6-31.0; and Q4, > 31.0)

The cumulative incidence of HF was significantly higher in the highest FLI group than in the lowest FLI group [Q1, 307 (0.4%); Q4, 890 (1.2%); P < 0.001]

Adjusted HRs showed that the highest FLI group was independently associated with an increased risk for HF (HR between Q4 and Q1, 2.709; 95% CI: 2.380-3.085; P < 0.001). FLI was significantly associated with an increased risk of new-onset HF regardless of baseline characteristics

Higher FLI scores were independently associated with increased risk of HF in a healthy Korean population

Kim et al. [43] 2020 3011,588 subjects in the KNHIS cohort without a history of CVD who underwent health examinations from 2009 to 2011 were identified Primary endpoint: a composite of cardiovascular deaths, non-fatal MI, and ischemic stroke

During the median 6-year follow-up period, there were 46,010 cases of MACEs (7148 cases of cardiovascular death, 16,574 of non-fatal MI, and 22,288 of ischemic stroke)

Higher FLI scores were linearly associated with a higher incidence of the primary endpoint

In the multivariable models adjusted for factors such as body weight and cholesterol levels, the HR for the primary endpoint comparing the highest vs lowest quartiles of the FLI was 1.99 (95% CI: 1.91-2.07). The corresponding HR (95% CI) for cardiovascular death, non-fatal MI, and ischemic stroke were 1.98 (1.9-2.06), 2.16 (2.01-2.31), and 2.01 (1.90-2.13), respectively (P < 0.001)

The results were similar when we performed stratified analyses by age, sex, lipid lowering agents, obesity, diabetes, and hypertension

FLI has prognostic value for detecting individuals at higher risk for MACEs

Iwasaki et al. [44] 2021 FLI score was estimated among 2437 Japanese men. Employees of a single construction company submitted to mandatory annual health checkups and who were free of any history of CVD. baPWV was also measured at the beginning of the study and after a 3-year follow-up

FLI was significantly correlated with the baPWV (r = 0.24, P < 0.01). Furthermore, the delta change of the FLI was significantly correlated with the delta change of the baPWV during the study period (r = 0.11, P = 0.01)

FLI was positively associated with LVM/LVMI, independent of traditional CVR factors. However, such relationships, which are more pronounced among women and black individuals, are attenuated by high physical activity

Li et al. [45] 2021 The association of FLI with LVM (assessed by two-dimensional guided M-mode echocardiography) and LVMI was prospectively investigated among 1962 participants from BHS (1995-2010) and 1547 participants from YFS (2001-2011) who were CVD-free at baseline

Significant and positive associations between FLI and LVM (BHS: β = 0.59, P < 0.001; YFS: β = 0.41, P < 0.001) and LVMI (BHS: β = 0.14, P < 0.001; YFS: β = 0.09, P < 0.001) were found in both study cohorts

The association of FLI with LVMI was stronger in women than men (BHS: P-interaction = 0.01; YFS: P-interaction < 0.01), and the relationship between FLI and LVM/LVMI was stronger in black than white individuals (LVM: P-interaction = 0.02; LVMI: P-interaction = 0.04)
Both the associations of FLI with LVM and LVMI were attenuated by high physical activity, especially in BHS (P-interaction = 0.02).

The mean FLI score in the study cohort was 44.9. Overall, 33.7% met the criteria for NAFLD.

At baseline, FLI scores were significantly associated with a wide spectrum of CVR factors.

During a mean 7.86-year follow-up the combined incidence of CVD was 6.92 per 1000-person years at risk.

In the fully adjusted model, FLI was significantly associated with incident CVD.

FLI was significantly associated with incident CVD among subsets of patients stratified by either BMI or varying FLI scores (< 30, < 60, and ≥ 60).

Not only does FLI predict NAFLD diagnosis, but it also indicates prevalent and incident development of CVD over the long-term follow-up across the spectrum of weight categories and FLI scores.

Patients with high FLI scores should be warned on their increased risk of developing incident CVD.

AMI: Acute myocardial infarction; AS: arterial stiffness; baPWV: brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity; BHS: Bogalusa Heart Study; BMI: body mass index; CAD: coronary artery disease; CI: confidence interval; CMD: cardiometabolic disease; CVD: cardiovascular disease; FLD: fatty liver disease; FLI: Fatty Liver Index; HF: heart failure; HR: hazard ratio; HSI: hepatic steatosis index; IVBS: intracranial vertebrobasilar stenosis; KIHDRFS: Kuopio Ischemic Heart Disease Risk Factor Study; KNHIS: Korean national health insurance system; LAP: lipid accumulation product; LVM: left ventricular mass; LVMI: left ventricular mass indexed to body height; MACEs: major adverse cardiovascular events; MetS: metabolic syndrome; NASH: nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; PMMJS: the prevention of metabolic syndrome and multi-metabolic disorders in Jiangsu; RR: relative risk; SUA: serum uric acid; T2D: type 2 diabetes; PWV: pulse wave velocity; YFS: cardiovascular risk in young Finns study.

**FLI, PREDIABETES, AND DIABETES**

Robust evidence supports the notion that NAFLD is not only an effect of pre-existent impaired glucose tolerance and T2D but also a precursor of incident T2D and MetS[51-53].

Therefore, it is logical to postulate that FLI may also anticipate states of incident impaired glucose tolerance and overt diabetes. Eight studies published thus far have addressed this research question, as summarized in Table 4[54-61].

The studies summarized in Table 4 support the conclusion that there is a direct dose-response association between FLI scores and risk of incident T2D[59], as FLI ≥ 60 specifically predicted T2D among men without MetS[57]. Consistently, FLI < 30 predicts prediabetes reversal, particularly among individuals with a healthy lifestyle[61]. Therefore, in a primary care setting, FLI may screen individuals to be submitted to aggressive intervention to prevent the progression of prediabetes to overt T2D[56]. This is an originally unexpected but logical utilization of FLI based on the pathophysiology of the NAFLD-T2D association[62-64]. If FLI is indeed associated with incident T2D, we can postulate that FLI is also able to identify such a link between NAFLD and CKD.

**FLI AND CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE**

Recently, research and clinical interest has been raised on the independent association of NAFLD with CKD[5]. Two published studies thus far have used FLI to
Conclusion

Method

176 T2D patients admitted to Iwate Medical University Hospital, Yahaba, Japan, during the period from January 2017 to March 2019 were recruited. Criteria for exclusion were as follows: cancer, infectious diseases, collagen disorders, diabetic ketoacidosis, and advanced CKD (chronic kidney disease).

Serum CXCL14 concentrations were determined by ELISA. They examined the associations of serum CXCL14 levels with laboratory values, abdominal CT image information, and surrogate markers used for evaluating T2D, obesity, and atherosclerosis.

Findings

Multiple LRA showed serum levels of C-peptide ($\beta$ = 0.227, $P$ = 0.038) and the FLI ($\beta$ = 0.205, $P$ = 0.049) to be the only parameters showing independent statistically significant associations with serum CXCL14 levels.

Table 4. Published studies supporting the notion that FLI is a risk factor of incident diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year [Ref.]</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matsushita et al. [54] 2018</td>
<td>FLI was calculated at baseline for 1142 adult subjects with prediabetes attending primary care centers and classified into three categories: no steatosis (FLI &lt; 30), intermediate (FLI: 30-60) and hepatic steatosis (FLI ≥ 60). The incidence rate of T2D in each FLI category was assessed at 3 years of follow-up and calculated using fully adjusted Cox proportional hazard model</td>
<td>The main models were adjusted for constitutional factors, lifestyle factors, biomarkers of inflammation, and FLI categories high (≥ 60) vs. low (&lt; 30)</td>
<td>FLI is associated with the development of T2D regardless of sex and the presence or absence of IFG, and it may be a useful predictor of future risk of incident T2D even in individuals without IFG.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirata et al. [55] 2018</td>
<td>FLI was calculated for incident T2D for each group using an adjusted Cox proportional hazard model</td>
<td>During a mean follow-up period of 3.0 years, 176 cases of T2D in men and 320 cases in women were identified.</td>
<td>Serum CXCL14 levels were independently associated with serum CPR and FLI in T2D patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franch-Nadal et al. [56] 2018</td>
<td>FLI was calculated at baseline for 1142 adult subjects with prediabetes attending primary care centers and classified into three categories: no steatosis (FLI &lt; 30), intermediate (FLI: 30-60) and hepatic steatosis (FLI ≥ 60). The incidence rate of T2D in each FLI category was assessed at 3 years of follow-up and calculated using fully adjusted Cox regression models</td>
<td>The proportion of subjects with prediabetes and hepatic steatosis (FLI ≥ 60) at baseline was 55.7%. The incidence rate of T2D at 3 years follow-up was 1.3, 2.9, and 6.0 per 100 person-years for FLI &lt; 30, FLI 30 to &lt; 60, and FLI ≥ 60, respectively.</td>
<td>In a primary care setting, FLI is an easy to obtain and valuable early marker of high risk of incident T2D in patients with prediabetes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olubamwo et al. [57] 2019</td>
<td>This prospective study enrolled 1792 Finnish non-diabetic at the baseline in the KIHDRFS cohort</td>
<td>The association of baseline FLI with incident T2D was analyzed in multivariable-adjusted Cox regression models, considering their MetS statuses. The main models were adjusted for constitutional factors, lifestyle factors, biomarkers of inflammation, and FLI categories high (≥ 60) vs. low (&lt; 30). During a mean 19-year follow-up, 375 incident cases of T2D were recorded. In the full model, the HR (95%CI) for T2D was 3.68 (2.80-4.82). The association was attenuated, but maintained, with further adjustment for metabolic factors. When adjusted for MetS status instead of metabolic factors, the HRs (95% CIs) were 2.63 (1.92-3.59) for FLI ≥ 60 and 1.77 (1.35-2.31) for MetS. In MetS-stratified analysis, FLI predicted T2D only among persons without MetS.</td>
<td>FLI ≥ 60 specifically predicted T2D among men without MetS but not among men with MetS, for whom MetS alone already increases the risk. Both FLI and MetS can mutually complement each other in screening and surveillance of individuals at a high risk of T2D.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Wargny et al. [58] 2019

The IT-DIAB study, a 5-year, prospective, observational study carried out in occupational centers based in three French cities, included 389 individuals with prediabetes, defined as FPG $\geq$ 100 and $\leq$ 125 mg/dL. NOD conversion was defined as a first FPG value $\geq$ 126 mg/dL and prediabetes reversion as a first FPG value $< 110$ mg/dL.

The associations of both events with baseline FLI were studied separately using multivariate Cox models. Individuals with FLI $< 30$ and MetS had greater risk [HR = 4.31 (2.35-8.61)] and people with both FLI $\geq 60$ and MetS had the greatest risk [HR = 4.66 (3.42-6.35)].
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Busquets-Cortés et al. [61] 2021

This 5-year cohort study included 16,648 Spanish adult workers with prediabetes (i.e., FPG $\geq$ 100 and $\leq$ 125 mg/dL) selected from a population of 234,995 potentially suitable individuals who underwent periodic occupational health assessments. Exclusion criteria were diabetes, treatment with oral antidiabetic or systemic glucocorticoid, cancer, anemia, and pregnancy.

Prediabetes reversal was defined by FPG $< 100$ mg/dL.

Based on FLI scores, participants were classified as steatosis.

Individuals with FLI $< 30$ and MetS had greater risk [HR = 4.31 (2.35-8.61)] and people with both FLI $\geq 60$ and MetS had the greatest risk [HR = 4.66 (3.42-6.35)]. After a median follow-up of 3.9 years (range: 0.1-6.1), 138 individuals (35.5%) converted to NOD. FLI was associated with a higher risk of NOD conversion (unadjusted HR per SD = 1.54, 95% CI: 1.27-1.86, P $< 0.0001$), even after multiple adjustment on FPG, HbA1c, and diabetes risk score (adjusted HR per SD 1.31, 95% CI: 1.07-1.61, P = 0.008). FLI was also associated with pre-diabetes reversion: adjusted HR per SD = 0.85, 95% CI: 0.75-0.96, P = 0.0077. Changes in FLI were significantly associated with changes in FPG during follow-up (P $< 0.0001$). When compared to a full model including the diabetes risk score, FPG, HbA1c, and FLI only HbA1c added a significant prediction information (AUROC: 72.8% for full model vs. 69.4% for the model without HbA1c; P = 0.028), while the removal of FLI to the full model did not alter its predictive value (AUROC: 72.2%). The predictive value for NOD conversion was not significantly better for HOMA-IR compared to FLI (AUROC: 69.3% vs. 63.7%, P = 0.067).

Movahedian et al. [69] 2020

A systematic search of articles up to November 2019 was conducted. HRs with corresponding 95%CIs of studies were pooled using meta-analysis with DerSimonian and Laird random-effects models to find combined HRs. The dose-response effect of this relationship was also assessed. 27 studies totaling 70,918 participants were included in the meta-analysis. Pooled results show that the highest category of FLI was associated with an increased incidence of T2D (HR = 2.88, 95% CI: 2.18-3.81; P for heterogeneity: 0.001). The source of heterogeneity could not be explained by subgroup analysis (sex, continent, and quality of study).

Niu et al. [60] 2021

This prospective population-based sample cohort of residents from Beijing and Shanghai included 1781 Chinese aged 50-70 years and submitted to a 6-year follow-up. At 6-year resurvey, 463 participants developed T2D. After controlling for HbA1c, 9 of the initially identified 43 glycerolipids remained significant, including 2 DAGs and 7 TAGs, with RR (95% CIs) ranging from 1.16 (1.05-1.27) to 1.23 (1.11-1.36) per SD increment of glycerolipids.

However, additional adjustment for FLI largely attenuated these findings [RRs (95% CIs) were from 0.88 (0.81-0.95) to 1.10 (1.01-1.21)].

Mediation analyses suggested that the FLI explained 12%-28% glycerolipids-T2D associations (all P $< 0.01$).

FLI is a simple, practical score to further stratify the risk of conversion to NOD or the possibility of prediabetes reversal in clinical practice, independent of classical glucose parameters.
absent (FLI < 30), intermediate (FLI: 30-59), and steatosis present (FLI ≥ 60) better than FPG (AUC = 0.656; 95%CI: 0.648-0.664) particularly among physically active subjects with healthy eating habits.

*Age, sex, educational level, family history of diabetes, lifestyles, hypertension, lipid profile, and transaminases. ALT: Alanine transaminase; AUC: area under the curve; BMI: body mass index; CI: confidence interval; CKD: chronic kidney disease; CT: computed tomography; CXCL14: C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 14; DAGs: diacylglycerols; ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; FLD: fatty liver disease; FLI: fatty liver index; FPG: fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin; HR: hazard ratio; IFG: impaired fasting glucose; KIHDRFS: Kuopio Ischemic Heart Disease Risk Factor Study; LDL: low-density lipoprotein; LRA: linear regression analysis; MetS: metabolic syndrome; NOD: new-onset diabetes; ROC: receiver operator curve; RR: relative risk; SD: standard deviation; SUA: serum uric acid; TAGs: triacylglycerols; TG: triglycerides; T2D: type 2 diabetes; WC: waist circumference.

address this topic, and both seem to confirm the notion that FLI predicts CKD. Sun et al.\textsuperscript{[65]} conducted a population-based study on 9436 adult Chinese subjects. The data show that, in logistic regression analysis, compared to those within the lowest FLI quartile, the adjusted ORs of those in the highest FLI quartile were 2.30 (95%CI: 1.36-3.90) for increased urinary albumin excretion and 1.93 (95%CI: 1.18-3.15) for CKD. In the second study, Takahashi et al.\textsuperscript{[66]} evaluated the risk of CKD (defined by either estimated glomerular filtration rate < 60 mL/min/1.73 m\textsuperscript{2} or positive for urinary protein during a 10-year follow-up) in 14,163 subjects (male/female: 9077/5086) subjects submitted to annual health examinations. Multivariable Cox regression with restricted cubic spines adjusting for confounders showed that hazard ratios (HRs) of CKD development increased with increasing FLI at baseline in both men and women, and adding FLI to conventional CKD risk factors resulted in a significant improvement in predicting CKD, suggesting that, in a general population cohort study, high FLI scores predict incident CKD in either sex.

**FLI AND ENDOCRINE DERANGEMENTS**

NAFLD has also been associated with a variety of endocrine derangements\textsuperscript{[67-69]}, some of which predisposing to secondary NAFLD forms, whereas other endocrinopathies probably result from pre-existent NAFLD\textsuperscript{[70]}. Does FLI have a role in this setting? Two studies seem to suggest so, although this is a scarcely explored area.

Liu et al.\textsuperscript{[71]}, by studying 552 Taiwanese aging men, found that FLI scores were associated with the risk of testosterone deficiency, especially in those without MetS.

Ahn et al.\textsuperscript{[72]}, in their study on 4264 Koreans, found a novel nexus linking liver and bone that increases the risk of osteoporosis in men with NAFLD.

Clearly, much research remains to be conducted to ascertain which other endocrinopathies may be associated with FLI scores.
FLI AND TUMORS

Various pathomechanisms potentially link NAFLD and various types of tumors, colon adenoma and carcinoma in particular. Three studies regarding FLI and tumors have been published thus far, two of them focusing on colorectal adenoma and carcinoma.

In the first study, Ze et al., based on a retrospective observational study on 2976 consecutive > 40-year-old subjects undergoing routine checkups, found that a high FLI may be useful in predicting colorectal adenoma in relatively healthy Asian populations.

A second study, by Choi et al., was conducted in Korea on data from the National Health Insurance Corporation 2009 to 2012. Although FLI ≥ 60 was associated with colorectal cancer (CRC) regardless of BMI, the association was more prominent among individuals with a normal BMI. In particular, NAFLD was more closely associated with CRC in the absence of T2D, hypertension, or dyslipidemia than when (one or more of) these conditions were present.

The third study regards FLI and breast cancer. Park et al., using the Korean National Health Insurance Corporation, found that FLI scores of 30-60 and ≥ 60 were significantly associated with increased breast cancer risk in post-menopausal women hazard ratio (HR = 1.07, 95%CI: 1.04-1.11; and HR = 1.11, 95%CI: 1.05-1.17, respectively), while no such an association was found in pre-menopausal women.

DOES FLI PREDICT MORTALITY?

Whether FLI is able to assess the risk of death has to be answered cautiously because of the many methodological issues associated with the identification of independent risk factors for mortality. Given that NAFLD carries an excess of mortality owing to cardiovascular, cancer, and liver-related causes, it is plausible that FLI may be a good marker of increased risk of mortality. Three studies addressed this research question.

Lerchbaum et al., by calculating FLI scores among 3270 subjects submitted to coronary angiography, found that, following a median follow-up time of 7.7 years, patients with high FLI scores compared to those with the lowest FLI scores were independently associated with increased mortality owing to all-causes, cardiovascular causes, and non-cardiovascular causes. The excess risk owing to fatal cancer was of borderline significance.

Based on a median 29-year follow-up of a cohort of 1552 middle-aged men from the Kuopio Ischemic Disease Risk Factor Study, Setti et al. found that those men who had both renal hyperfiltration (RHF) - which was associated with smoking - and fatty liver evaluated with FLI scores - which was associated with obesity - had the highest risk of mortality owing to all causes (HR = 1.96, 95%CI: 1.27-3.01). Conversely, having fatty liver associated with normal estimated glomerular filtration rate modestly increased the risk of all-cause mortality (HR = 1.35, 95%CI: 1.09-1.66). Finally, intermediate-risk profiles of all-cause mortality were found among those men who had RHF associated with normal FLI scores. The risk of mortality owing to cardiovascular causes was associated with RHF, rather than with FLI scores. Collectively, the data suggest that RHF and FLI scores are strongly associated with mortality owing to all causes as well as due to cardiovascular causes.

Using a study population of about 3 million individuals submitted to repeated evaluation for health screening purposes over four years, Lee et al. evaluated whether FLI measurements repeated over time could predict incident myocardial infarction (MI), stroke and mortality owing to all causes. They defined
“FLI points” as the number of times, ranging from zero to four, participants exhibited FLI scores $\geq 60$. This study found that the higher are the FLI points, the higher is the risk of mortality owing to all causes, MI, and stroke ($P$ for trend $< 0.001$, all). After adjustment for demographic confounders, metabolic cofactor, lifestyle habits, and income, those individuals with four FLI points had a higher risk of mortality owing to all causes (aHR = 1.86, 95%CI: 1.75-1.98, $P < 0.001$), incident MI (aHR = 1.3, 95%CI: 1.21-1.40, $P < 0.001$), and incident stroke (aHR = 1.27, 95%CI: 1.19-1.37, $P < 0.001$). By comparing the first to the last FLI points, the group of individuals with “incident NAFLD” exhibited an increased hazard of mortality compared to the “no NAFLD” group (aHR = 1.46, 95%CI: 1.37-1.55). Consistently, the “regression of NAFLD” group compared to the group with “persistent NAFLD” showed a decreased mortality risk (aHR = 0.83, 95%CI: 0.77-0.89). This study supports the notion that repeating evaluations of FLI scores over time may allow a better profiling of the risks of mortality, MI, and stroke. Moreover, changes of FLI scores over time may help clinicians in evaluating the efficacy of NAFLD treatment and re-modulating prognosis of these patients.

CONCLUSIONS AND RESEARCH AGENDA

Historically, FLI was proposed in the epidemiological arena as a surrogate index of NAFLD to be used for the identification of cases with suspected NAFLD to be submitted to further ultrasonographic assessment. The data presented in the present SANRA review demonstrate that this primary aim of FLI scores has now been largely overcome by a plethora of other indications. These span all aspects from diagnosis of NAFLD to its (mainly extra-hepatic) manifestations and complications such as atherosclerosis, diabetes, CKD, and tumors.

Importantly, repeating FLI scores over time may allow a non-invasive prediction of overall mortality and serve as a surrogate marker of NAFLD treatment response and a useful tool for selecting T2D patients to submit to liver biopsy. We expect that the future of FLI will see a further growth in the use of this simple biomarker in several metabolic diseases, NAFLD among them. Conversely, little has been published regarding the ability of FLI to predict liver-related outcomes such as cirrhosis and HCC.

While being based on robust markers of NAFLD pathophysiology, FLI should also be improved by incorporating major modifiers of NAFLD epidemiology, namely age, sex, and reproductive status, which were originally left out from the FLI algorithm. Of course, the fact that age and sex were left out from the multivariable model which gave birth to the FLI in a single population does not imply that they could not be predictors of NAFLD or other NAFLD-associated outcomes in different populations. The effect of age and sex and other variables of interest can be studied by using them as predictors of a given outcome together with FLI.

There are two additional limitations to the use of FLI in clinical practice. The first is the measurement of waist circumference, which, regrettably, tends to be disregarded in the general practice. The second limitation is the “grey zone” of indeterminate FLI scores, which is sex and age dependent and averaged 27.5% in a recent study. The best diagnostic strategy to follow among this substantial proportion of cases remains to identified.

In conclusion, additional studies are eagerly awaited given the importance of FLI as a non-invasive biomarker of NAFLD both in clinical practice and in the research arena.
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