Magnetic-field driven domain wall evolution in rhombohedral magnetostrictive single crystals: a phase-field simulation

Yu-Xin Xu1,#, Ting-Tao Cai1,#, Cheng-Chao Hu¹ , Zhao Zhang¹ , Shou-Zhe Dong² , Hai-Hua Huang¹ , Wei Li¹ , Hou-Bing Huang² , Long-Qing Chen³ , Wei-Feng Rao⁴

¹School of Materials Science and Engineering, Liaocheng University, Liaocheng 252000, Shandong, China. ²Advanced Research Institute of Multidisciplinary Science, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China. ³Department of Materials Science and Engineering, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA. ⁴School of Mechanical Engineering, Qilu University of Technology (Shandong) Academy of Sciences), Jinan 250353, China, #Authors contributed equally.

Correspondence to: Dr. Chengchao Hu, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Liaocheng University, Liaocheng, Shandong 252000, China. E-mail: huchengchao@lcu.edu.cn; Dr. Zhao Zhang, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Liaocheng University, Liaocheng, Shandong 252000, China. E-mail: zhangzhao@lcu.edu.cn

Supplementary Note 1: The process of domain formation was simulated using the phasefield method, with a dimension of $512\Delta x \times 512\Delta x \times 32\Delta x$ **(** $\Delta x = 2$ **nm).**

The findings indicate that the 3D simulation exhibits similar mechanisms compared to the 2D simulation (**Figure 2**). Consequently, the behavior of the 109° and 71° domain walls in reaction to external magnetic fields can be comprehensively elucidated by the 2D simulation with periodic boundary conditions.

Figure S1. 3D simulation of the domain formation process. The colors represent the magnitude of magnetization along z direction.

Supplementary Note 2: The distribution of stress during the domain formation process of the rhombohedral (Tb-Dy)Fe² single crystal in Figure 2.

Figure S2. Stress distribution along the z direction during the formation of rhombohedral (Tb-Dy)Fe₂ single crystal domains.

Supplementary Note 3: In the domain configuration exclusively comprising 71° domain wall, the complex magnetization switching is observed in close proximity to the magnetic field's peak, provided that the applied magnetic field surpasses the coercive field.

Figure S3. The domain switching in the dashed box near $t = T/4$ in **Figure 4**. The Step = 200000, Step = 300000, and Step = 400000 are equivalent to $t = T/6$, $t = T/4$, $t = T/3$, respectively.

Figure S4. The domain switching in the dashed box near $t = 3T/4$ in **Figure 4**. The Step = 800000, Step = 900000, and Step = 1000000 are equivalent to $t = 2T/3$, $t = 3T/4$, $t = 5T/6$, respectively.

Supplementary Note 4: In the domain configuration exclusively comprising the 71° domain wall, the magnetostriction of the rhombohedral ferromagnetic single crystals.

Figure S5. The magnetostriction of the rhombohedral ferromagnetic single crystals in **Figure 4**. Upon reaching the peak values of magnetic fields, the applied magnetic field triggers the complex domain switching, resulting in the magnetostriction 'jump' effect of the rhombohedral single crystal. The red arrows depict the direction of the curve.

Supplementary Note 5: In the domain configuration exclusively comprising the 109° domain wall, the faster movement of the 109° domain walls under the constant magnetic field exceeding 20 kA/m.

Figure S6. The moving of the 109° domain walls and heterogeneous magnetization switching under the constant magnetic field 40 kA/m.